



UNLOCKING THE SCIENCE OF INSURANCE



CORPORATE PROFILE

Founded in 1947, The National Security Group, Inc. (NSG) is dedicated to helping people in times of need by providing vital, easily understood insurance products and prompt professional service. At National Security we realize that your world is unique. That's why we're committed to insuring your world - whatever it may be. The Company is composed of three insurance companies: National Security Insurance Company (NSIC), National Security Fire and Casualty Company (NSFC) and Omega One Insurance Company (Omega), a wholly owned subsidiary of NSFC. These companies provide a diversified line of insurance coverage in communities primarily in the South. Natsco, Inc., is a wholly owned subsidiary formed in 1984. The insurance lines currently offered include: dwelling fire and extended coverage, homeowners (including mobile homeowners), ocean marine, and other liability, as well as traditional life, accident, and health insurance. The policies provided by The National Security Group, Inc. are marketed through independent insurance agents throughout the Southeastern United States.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

(In thousands except per share data)

For the Year

| | 2012 | 2011 | Percent of Change |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------|
| (Loss) Earnings Per Share | (2.70) | (2.01) | (34.3)% |
| (Loss) Earnings | (6,671) | (4,956) | (34.6)% |
| Net Premium Income | 51,815 | 56,243 | (7.9)% |
| Net Investment Income | 4,227 | 4,261 | (0.8)% |
| Return on Average Equity | (19.55)% | (12.12)% | (61.3)% |
| Average Shares Outstanding | 2,467 | 2,467 | 0.0% |

At Year End

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Shareholders' Equity Per Share | 12.25 | 15.41 | (20.5)% |
| Shareholders' Equity | 30,227 | 38,015 | (20.5)% |
| Total Assets | 135,716 | 132,951 | 2.1% |
| Shares Outstanding | 2,467 | 2,467 | 0.0% |

2012

| | Stock Bid Prices High | Low | Dividends Per Share |
|----------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------|
| First Quarter | 9.91 | 7.67 | 0.10 |
| Second Quarter | 9.36 | 8.01 | 0.10 |
| Third Quarter | 9.36 | 8.00 | 0.10 |
| Fourth Quarter | 8.58 | 7.58 | 0.025 |


2011

| | | | |
|----------------------|-------|-------|------|
| First Quarter | 13.13 | 11.18 | 0.15 |
| Second Quarter | 13.82 | 10.00 | 0.15 |
| Third Quarter | 11.84 | 9.51 | 0.15 |
| Fourth Quarter | 10.93 | 7.62 | 0.10 |

SOURCES OF REVENUE


(in thousands)

| | | |
|---|-----------|-------|
| Property & Casualty Company Premium | \$ 45,206 | 75.9% |
| Net Realized Investment Gains | \$ 2,790 | 4.7% |
| Investment and Other Income | \$ 4,947 | 8.3% |
| Life Company Premium | \$ 6,609 | 11.1% |




DIVIDENDS

(per share)




ASSETS

(at year end in millions)




MARKET PRICE

(at year end)



BOOK VALUE

(per share)





Insuring your world.

Unlocking the Science of Insurance

Throughout its existence the insurance industry has always been perceived as a risk business that is built strictly upon the accumulation of historical statistics concerning many aspects of the world around us, including our health, our propensity for accidents and nature's ability to destroy. Over thousands of years, civilizations have learned the advantages of the distribution or transfer of risks from individuals or businesses to large masses through participation of individual parties in a pooled resource able to overcome losses that might otherwise be insurmountable. Businesses began selling life insurance in the U.S. in the late 1700's. Benjamin Franklin helped to popularize the practice of property insurance to spread the risk of loss from fire. In 1752, he founded the Philadelphia Contributionship for the Insurance of Houses from Loss by Fire.

Throughout the modern age the insurance industry has refined a simple business model and greatly honed its skills in predicting future outcomes, whether life, health or property related. With the amazing advancement of modern technology in the late twentieth and early twenty-first centuries, insurance is fast becoming a science.

When National Security Insurance was founded immediately following World War II, the equipment used to run an insurance company consisted primarily of the telephone, adding machines, innumerable ledgers and countless hours spent on each. Life insurance rates were constructed around rudimentary actuarial tables predicting life expectancy based on the available government and private data at the time. Health and property premiums were set mostly on best guess. Some rates were even based on how many policies could possibly be sold. Insurance was a business of high risk. A company's success or failure sometimes literally swung on the direction of the wind.

The onset of the digital age changed everything. Many say the launch of Sputnik was the beginning. Actually, this was just the foreshadowing of the plethora of satellites that now circle the globe, relaying trillions of bits of information per second concerning our world. With today's high-speed computers and detailed programming, property can now be located and mapped to within inches. Weather patterns can be accumulated, storms can be tracked, and very accurate storm models can be created, saving thousands of lives each year. Sophisticated radar can predict with extreme accuracy where a tornado is likely to sprout, providing advanced warning to those in its path. Actuarial tables for life expectancy and healthcare needs and costs can now be rendered with much greater accuracy. The science of insurance has surely been unlocked with the emergence of so many avenues of digital research and development.

In recent history, the most affected area to be enhanced by the digital age is property insurance. The National Security Group, Inc. is making the best use possible of all the new technology available for the maximization of success. The company is currently geomapping thousands of currently insured properties to more accurately rate premiums and ensure the least amount of losses when storms do occur. This ongoing geomapping process, along with more sophisticated weather modeling, should better prepare the company for the future and allow a much greater efficiency and faster reaction time with property risk ratings.

But science can only go so far in any industry. Careful evaluation of product lines, territorial coverage, and investment guidelines continue to be a vital part of careful management. National Security is constantly evaluating the way it does business. In the past months, following losses both legally and through excessive claims, the Company has refocused on its core business of dwelling fire and life insurance, eliminating nonprofitable offerings such as automobile.

Over its 65 year history, The National Security Group, Inc. has always attempted to be in the forefront of the industry, and including the emerging science of insurance into its conservative management philosophy is a forward step into a successful future.

Letter to Shareholders

The year 2012 will go down in our Company history as one in which we closed a tumultuous chapter. Progress was made in many areas but unfortunately, the progress is not reflected in our financial results. The most significant event of the year was the settlement of litigation regarding the 2007 sale of our investment in Mobile Attic. As we have disclosed in our previous public financial filings, this settlement was the major contributor to our poor financial performance as we settled the matter just before trial in June of 2012 for a total of \$13 million. The total cost of this settlement, including defense cost, is reflected in our December 31, 2012 financial statements and resulted in total charges to 2012 earnings of \$13,328,000. This charge was in addition to approximately \$2.5 million in defense cost that we spent over the previous three years vigorously defending the claim. As we discussed in prior filings, we were disappointed with this outcome. However, we were in a tough situation and events unfolded, including unfavorable rulings and settlement by other parties involved, immediately prior to trial that we felt stacked the deck against us heading into trial in June. Even after the jury trial, should we have proceeded, the long arduous appeal process could have continued for some time, possibly years and caused further damage to our Company. These unknown costs would have placed constant pressure on our capital position and could have potentially become insurmountable, not to mention several more months or even years of intangible costs of impairing our ability to fully focus on our operations, thus our decision to end the matter and move forward.

In addition to the litigation settlement, we incurred \$3,390,000 in losses from Hurricane Isaac. Hurricane Isaac did not garner much media attention compared to some of the major storms of the past but the storm had a significant impact on the Gulf Coast and a significant impact on our P&C operating results. The storm did not cause enough damage to exceed our cat reinsurance retention but put a damper on what otherwise would have been a good average year in our P&C operations.

The poor financial performance for the year definitely took its toll. At December 31, 2012, our book value was \$12.25 per share, down from \$15.41 at December 31, 2011. And, of course, due to a string of events over the past five years, we were forced to cut our shareholder dividend yet again. While this decision was difficult, it was necessary as we have to protect our capital position and allow time to restore the capital drain that has occurred starting with the financial market meltdown and two hurricanes in 2008, the historic 250 year tornado event in April of 2011 and what culminated in the settlement of the lawsuit. We remain cautious in the short term regarding the payment of dividends but believe this caution is vitally important for the long term viability of our Company.

With the cloud of uncertainty from the Mobile Attic litigation behind us, albeit at great cost, we have reason for optimism as we move into 2013. A few of the forward looking highlights for 2012 include:

- Our P&C operations posted GAAP pre-tax income of \$2.5 million, including \$1.1 million in capital gains, even after incurring \$3.4 million in losses from Hurricane Isaac.
- We completed the run-off of our most unprofitable program, non-standard auto, in late 2012. This should set us up for better underwriting results as we move forward.
- We have started a significant project to better adjust our rates based on modeled catastrophe losses, which should allow us to improve margins and better reflect our cost of reinsurance in our rate structure. The first program under this new rating structure began renewing in the first quarter of 2013 and while we have a ways to go in cycling through all of our programs, this should help improve underwriting profits as we move forward.
- Our Life operations posted a fifth consecutive year of statutory underwriting profitability and in December, its standalone AM Best rating was upgraded one notch to B+.
- As mentioned last year, we continue to push initiatives to improve efficiency in our insurance operations.

The management and staff of National Security are very aware of the pain we have all felt as shareholders over the past five years, beginning with the "Great Recession", along with hurricanes Gustav and Ike, in 2008, the historic tornado outbreak in 2011, and the major lawsuit settlement and Hurricane Isaac in 2012. We are moving swiftly to get our Company headed in the right direction. Our shareholders have endured much pain with dividend reductions along with a significant hit to book value over the past five years. We have a long way to go to restore what has been lost but we are committed to learning from the past and are resolved to move forward with the commitment to change for the better.

We appreciate your continued support and appreciate your patience during this challenging period in our history.



William L. Brunson, Jr.
President and CEO



**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
GE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal Period Ended December 31, 2012

or

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934**

For the transition period from to .

Commission File Number 0-18649



The National Security Group, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

63-1020300
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

661 East Davis Street
Elba, Alabama
(Address of principal executive offices)

36323
(Zip-Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number including Area Code (334) 897-2273

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (g) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share

The NASDAQ Global Market (EXCHANGE)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller Reporting Company ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐
No ☒

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, based upon the bid price of these shares on NASDAQ on such date, was \$12,032,715

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of Common Stock, as of the close of the period covered by this report.

| Class | Outstanding March 21, 2013 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Common Stock \$1.00 par value | 2,466,600 shares |

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page No.</u> |
|--|---------------------|
| PART I | |
| Item 1. Business | 4 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 13 |
| Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments | 19 |
| Item 2. Properties | 19 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings | 19 |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures | 20 |
| PART II | |
| Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 20 |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data | 21 |
| Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 22 |
| Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 47 |
| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 48 |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 88 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures | 88 |
| Item 9B. Other Information | 88 |
| PART III | |
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 88 |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation | 89 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 89 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence | 89 |
| Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services | 89 |
| PART IV | |
| Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules | 89 |
| Signature Page | 91 |
| Certifications | |

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

1. Definitive proxy statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held May 17, 2013 is incorporated by reference into Part III of this report. The proxy statement will be filed no later than 120 days from December 31, 2012.
2. Current Report on Form 8-K for event occurring on March 21, 2013 is incorporated into Part IV of this report.

PART I

Item 1. Business

Summary Description of The National Security Group, Inc.

The National Security Group, Inc. (the Company, NSG, we, us, our), an insurance holding company, was incorporated in Delaware on March 20, 1990. Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol NSEC.

Pursuant to regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), we are considered a “Smaller Reporting Company” as defined by SEC rules. We have elected to utilize an “a la carte” scaled disclosure which permits smaller reporting companies to elect to comply with scaled financial and non-financial disclosure requirements on an item by item basis. The most significant reporting difference permitted under the scaled disclosures, which we have utilized, is to include two years of audited financial statements.

The Company, through its three wholly owned subsidiaries, operates in two industry segments: property and casualty insurance and life insurance.

The property and casualty subsidiaries of the Company, National Security Fire and Casualty (NSFC), and Omega One Insurance Company (Omega), primarily write personal lines dwelling coverage including dwelling fire and windstorm, homeowners and mobile homeowners lines of insurance in eleven states. Property and casualty insurance is the most significant industry segment, accounting for 87% of total premium revenues.

The Company's life insurance subsidiary, National Security Insurance Company (NSIC), offers a basic line of life and health and accident insurance products in six states.

The majority of our assets and investments are held in the operating insurance companies.

The Company's website address is: www.nationalsecuritygroup.com. The “Investors” section of our website (<http://www.nationalsecuritygroup.com/public/Investors/Investors.aspx>) provides numerous resources for investors seeking additional information about us. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and our current reports on Form 8-K are made available on our website soon after filing with the SEC. Additionally, stock trades by insiders as filed on Forms 3, 4, and 5 are posted to the website after filing with the SEC. The website also provides information regarding corporate governance, stock quotes and press releases. Investors are encouraged to visit our website for additional information about the Company.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Any statement contained in this report which is not a historical fact, or which might otherwise be considered an opinion or projection concerning the Company or its business, whether expressed or implied, is meant as and should be considered a forward-looking statement as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The following report contains forward-looking statements that are not strictly historical and that involve risks and uncertainties. Such statements include any statements containing the words “expect,” “plan,” “estimate,” “anticipate” or other words of a similar nature. Management cautions investors about forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve certain evaluation criteria, such as risks, uncertainties, estimates, and/or assumptions made by individuals informed of the Company and industries in which we operate. Any variation in the preceding evaluation criteria could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, without limitation, the following:

- The insurance industry is highly competitive, and the Company encounters significant competition in all lines of business from other insurance companies. Many of the competing companies have more abundant financial resources than the Company.
- Insurance is a highly regulated industry. It is possible that legislation may be enacted which would have an adverse effect on the Company's business.
- The Company is subject to regulation by state governments for each of the states in which it conducts business. The Company cannot predict the subject of any future regulatory initiative(s) or its (their) impact on the Company's business.

- The Company is rated by various insurance rating agencies. If a rating is downgraded from its current level by one of these agencies, sales of the Company's products and stock price could be adversely impacted.
- The Company's financial results are adversely affected by increases in policy claims received by the Company. While a manageable risk, this fluctuation is often unpredictable.
- The Company's investments are subject to a variety of risks. Investments are subject to defaults and changes in market value. Market value can be affected by changes in interest rates, market performance and the economy.
- The Company mitigates risk associated with life policies through implementing effective underwriting and reinsurance strategies. These factors mitigate, not eliminate, risk related to mortality and morbidity exposure. The Company has established reserves for claims and future policy benefits based on amounts determined by independent actuaries. There is no assurance that these estimated reserves will prove to be sufficient or that the Company will not incur claims exceeding reserves, which could result in operating losses and loss of capital.
- The Company mitigates risk associated with property and casualty policies through implementing effective underwriting and reinsurance strategies. The Company obtains reinsurance which increases underwriting capacity and limits the risk associated with policy claims. The Company is subject to credit risk with regard to reinsurers as reinsurance does not alleviate the Company's liability to its insured's for the ceded risks. The Company utilizes a third-party to develop a reinsurance treaty with reinsurers who are reliable and financially stable. However, there is no guarantee that booked reinsurance recoverable will actually be recovered. A reinsurer's insolvency or inability to make payments due could have a material adverse impact on the financial condition of the Company.
- The Company's ability to continue to pay dividends to shareholders is contingent upon profitability and capital adequacy of the insurance subsidiaries. The insurance subsidiaries operate under regulatory restrictions that could limit the ability to fund future dividend payments of the Company. An adverse event or series of events could materially impact the ability of the insurance subsidiaries to fund future dividends, and consequently, the Board of Directors would have to suspend the declaration of dividends to shareholders.
- The Company is subject to the risk of adverse settlements or judgments resulting from litigation of contested claims. It is difficult to predict or quantify the expected results of litigation because the outcome depends on decisions of the court and jury that are based on facts and legal arguments presented at the trial.

Property and Casualty Insurance Segment

The Company's property and casualty insurance business is conducted through National Security Fire & Casualty Company (NSFC), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company organized in 1959, and Omega One Insurance Company (Omega), a wholly owned subsidiary of National Security Fire & Casualty Company organized in 1992. This segment will be referred to throughout this report as NSFC, property-casualty segment or P&C segment. NSFC is licensed to write insurance in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee and West Virginia, and operates on a surplus lines basis in the states of Louisiana, Missouri, and Texas. Omega is licensed to write insurance in Alabama and Louisiana.

The following table indicates allocation percentages of direct written premium by state for the two years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| State | Direct Written Premium | | | |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| Alabama | \$ 15,858,000 | 29.62% | \$ 15,689,000 | 28.13% |
| Arkansas | 2,711,000 | 5.06% | 3,538,000 | 6.34% |
| Georgia | 5,196,000 | 9.70% | 4,345,000 | 7.79% |
| Louisiana | 7,184,000 | 13.42% | 8,627,000 | 15.47% |
| Mississippi | 9,382,000 | 17.52% | 9,572,000 | 17.16% |
| South Carolina | 6,141,000 | 11.47% | 6,000,000 | 10.76% |
| Florida | 86,000 | 0.16% | 91,000 | 0.16% |
| Missouri | 6,000 | 0.01% | 363,000 | 0.65% |
| Oklahoma | 2,237,000 | 4.18% | 2,474,000 | 4.44% |
| Tennessee | 3,062,000 | 5.72% | 3,192,000 | 5.72% |
| Texas | 1,681,000 | 3.14% | 1,884,000 | 3.38% |
| | <u>\$ 53,544,000</u> | <u>100.00%</u> | <u>\$ 55,775,000</u> | <u>100.00%</u> |

In general, the property-casualty insurance business involves the transfer by the insured, to an insurance company of all or a portion of certain risks for the payment, by the insured, of a premium to the insurance company. A portion of such risks is often retained by the insured in the form of deductibles, which vary from policy to policy, but are typically in the range of \$500 to \$1,000 on NSFC and Omega's primary dwelling property and homeowners lines of business.

The premiums or payments to be made by the insured for direct products of the property and casualty subsidiaries are based upon expected costs of providing benefits, writing and administering the policies. In determining the premium to be charged, the property and casualty subsidiaries utilize data from past claims experience, modeled catastrophe losses and anticipated claims estimates along with catastrophe reinsurance cost, commissions, taxes and general expenses.

The operating results of the property-casualty insurance industry are subject to significant fluctuations from quarter-to-quarter and from year-to-year. These fluctuations are often due to the effect of competition on pricing, unpredictable losses incurred in connection with weather-related and other catastrophic events, general economic conditions and other factors, such as changes in tax laws and the regulatory environment.

The following table sets forth the premiums earned and pre-tax income during the periods reported for the property and casualty insurance segment (dollars in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net premiums earned: | | |
| Fire, allied lines and homeowners | \$ 43,962 | \$ 45,165 |
| Automobile | 474 | 3,356 |
| Other | 770 | 850 |
| Total net earned premium | <u>\$ 45,206</u> | <u>\$ 49,371</u> |
| Income (loss) before taxes | <u>\$ 2,552</u> | <u>\$ (4,389)</u> |

Property and Casualty Loss Reserves

Our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries are required to maintain reserves to cover their ultimate liability for losses and adjustment expenses. Our staff periodically conducts reviews throughout the year of projected loss development information in order to adjust estimates. The liability for loss and adjustment expense reserves consists of an estimated liability for the ultimate settlement of claims that have been reported as well as an estimate of loss and adjustment expenses for incurred claims that have not yet been reported (IBNR). IBNR estimates are based primarily on historical development patterns using quantitative data generated from statistical information and qualitative analysis of legal developments, economic conditions and development caused by events deemed to be infrequent in occurrence. The reserves are based on an estimate made by management. Management estimates are based on an analysis of historical paid and incurred loss development patterns for the previous ten loss years. Prior year period-to-period loss development factors are applied to latest reported loss reserve estimates in order to estimate the ultimate incurred losses for each given loss year. The amount of loss reserves estimated in excess of current reported case losses are recorded as IBNR reserves.

In addition to loss and loss adjustment expense reserves for specific claims, both reported and unreported, we establish reserves for loss adjustment expenses that are not attributable to specific claims. These reserves consist of estimates for Defense and Cost Containment (DCC) and Adjusting and Other Expenses (AO). These reserves are established for the estimated expenses of internal claims staff and the cost of outside experts, such as attorneys representing our interest, in the final settlement of incurred claims that are still in process of settlement. We conduct annual and interim reviews over the course of each year in order to insure that no significant changes have occurred in our loss development that might adversely impact our loss reserving methodology.

The following Loss Reserve Re-estimates table illustrates the change over time of the net reserves established for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense at the end of the last 10 calendar years. The first section shows the reserves as originally reported at the end of the stated year. The second section, reading down, shows retroactive re-estimates of the original recorded reserve as of the end of each successive year. These re-estimates are the result of the Company's expanded awareness of additional facts and circumstances that pertain to the unsettled claims. The third section, reading down, shows the cumulative amounts paid as of the end of successive years with respect to that year's reserve liability. The last section compares the latest re-estimated reserve to the reserve originally established and indicates whether the original reserve was adequate to cover the estimated costs of unsettled claims. The Loss Reserve Re-estimates table is cumulative, and therefore, ending balances should not be added since the amount at the end of each calendar year includes activity for both the current and prior years.

While the information in the table provides a historical perspective on the adequacy of unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses established in previous years, it should not be assumed to be predictive of redundancies or deficiencies on current year unpaid losses in future periods. Company management believes that the reserves established at the end of 2012 are adequate. However, due to inherent uncertainties in the loss reserve estimation process, management cannot guarantee that current year reserve balances will prove to be adequate. Due to the relatively short tail nature of the property and casualty subsidiaries' claim liabilities, the Company does not discount loss reserves for the time value of money. Dollar amounts are in thousands.

| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Gross unpaid losses per | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet | \$11,513 | \$11,343 | \$13,094 | \$19,511 | \$12,498 | \$11,973 | \$14,436 | \$12,646 | \$13,184 | \$14,386 | \$11,214 |
| Ceded reserves | (1,555) | (1,232) | (2,611) | (8,560) | (1,783) | (555) | (2,421) | (549) | (1,329) | (2,381) | (1,229) |
| Net unpaid losses | <u>\$ 9,958</u> | <u>\$10,111</u> | <u>\$10,483</u> | <u>\$10,951</u> | <u>\$10,715</u> | <u>\$11,418</u> | <u>\$12,015</u> | <u>\$12,097</u> | <u>\$11,855</u> | <u>\$12,005</u> | <u>\$ 9,985</u> |
| Cumulative net payments: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 year later | \$ 4,342 | \$ 5,567 | \$ 5,584 | \$ 7,384 | \$ 6,438 | \$ 4,797 | \$ 5,636 | \$ 5,349 | \$ 5,738 | \$ 4,035 | |
| 2 years later | 5,520 | 6,765 | 7,006 | 9,063 | 8,103 | 6,496 | 6,350 | 6,305 | 7,239 | | |
| 3 years later | 5,865 | 7,038 | 7,521 | 10,198 | 9,652 | 6,767 | 6,725 | 6,764 | | | |
| 4 years later | 5,945 | 7,274 | 7,811 | 11,439 | 10,094 | 6,976 | 6,980 | | | | |
| 5 years later | 6,136 | 7,351 | 8,018 | 11,763 | 10,360 | 7,202 | | | | | |
| 6 years later | 6,167 | 7,390 | 8,006 | 11,900 | 10,662 | | | | | | |
| 7 years later | 6,183 | 7,398 | 8,024 | 12,012 | | | | | | | |
| 8 years later | 6,192 | 7,400 | 8,056 | | | | | | | | |
| 9 years later | 6,194 | 7,419 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 years later | 6,194 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Liability re-estimated: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 year later | 7,334 | 9,186 | 9,042 | 11,844 | 11,817 | 9,046 | 9,438 | 8,621 | 11,443 | 9,606 | |
| 2 years later | 7,165 | 8,607 | 9,118 | 11,827 | 11,061 | 8,739 | 7,916 | 8,869 | 11,064 | | |
| 3 years later | 6,906 | 8,098 | 8,669 | 12,161 | 11,121 | 7,739 | 8,179 | 9,033 | | | |
| 4 years later | 6,509 | 7,863 | 8,404 | 12,337 | 10,792 | 7,792 | 8,514 | | | | |
| 5 years later | 6,499 | 7,629 | 8,274 | 12,178 | 11,089 | 8,010 | | | | | |
| 6 years later | 6,313 | 7,570 | 8,135 | 12,372 | 11,413 | | | | | | |
| 7 years later | 6,314 | 7,484 | 8,184 | 12,699 | | | | | | | |
| 8 years later | 6,278 | 7,500 | 8,093 | | | | | | | | |
| 9 years later | 6,294 | 7,430 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 years later | 6,201 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net cumulative redundancy (deficiency) | <u>\$ 3,757</u> | <u>\$ 2,681</u> | <u>\$ 2,390</u> | <u>\$ (1,748)</u> | <u>\$ (698)</u> | <u>\$ 3,408</u> | <u>\$ 3,501</u> | <u>\$ 3,064</u> | <u>\$ 791</u> | <u>\$ 2,399</u> | |

Our reported results, financial position and liquidity could be affected by changes in key assumptions that determine our loss reserves. The table below illustrates the change to equity that would occur as a result of a change in loss reserves and reserves for loss adjustment expense:

| For The Years Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| Change in Loss and LAE Reserves | 2012 | | | 2011 | |
| | Adjusted Loss and LAE Reserves | % Change in Equity | | Adjusted Loss and LAE Reserves | % Change in Equity |
| | | | | | |
| | *Loss and LAE reserves are in thousands | | | | |
| (10.0)% | \$ 10,093 | 3.71% | | \$ 12,947 | 3.78% |
| (7.5)% | 10,373 | 2.78% | | 13,307 | 2.84% |
| (5.0)% | 10,653 | 1.86% | | 13,667 | 1.89% |
| (2.5)% | 10,934 | 0.93% | | 14,026 | 0.95% |
| Reported | 11,214 | —% | | 14,386 | —% |
| 2.5% | 11,494 | (0.93)% | | 14,746 | (0.95)% |
| 5.0% | 11,775 | (1.86)% | | 15,105 | (1.89)% |
| 7.5% | 12,055 | (2.78)% | | 15,465 | (2.84)% |
| 10.0% | 12,335 | (3.71)% | | 15,825 | (3.78)% |

While our reserve estimates have had more significant variability in the past, we believe that the scenarios presented above are most reasonable as our methodology has become more seasoned, and we have maintained continuity of staff involved in the reserving process.

Life Insurance Segment

National Security Insurance Company (NSIC), a wholly owned subsidiary organized in 1947, conducts the Company's life insurance business. This segment will be referred to throughout this report as NSIC, Life Company, or Life segment. NSIC is licensed to write insurance in six states: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, South Carolina, and Texas.

The following table indicates NSIC's percentage of direct premiums collected by state for the two years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| State | Percentage of Total Direct Premiums | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| Alabama | 3,959,000 | 58.17% | 4,044,000 | 58.20% |
| Georgia | 1,408,000 | 20.69% | 1,424,000 | 20.49% |
| Mississippi | 678,000 | 9.96% | 697,000 | 10.03% |
| South Carolina | 476,000 | 6.99% | 512,000 | 7.37% |
| Texas | 169,000 | 2.48% | 180,000 | 2.59% |
| Florida | 116,000 | 1.71% | 92,000 | 1.32% |
| | <u>6,806,000</u> | <u>100.00%</u> | <u>6,949,000</u> | <u>100.00%</u> |

NSIC has two primary methods of distribution of insurance products: independent agents and home service (career) agents. The independent agent distribution method accounts for 62.4% of total premium revenue in the life insurance segment. Approximately 212 agents of the Company's independent agents produced new business during 2012. The home service distribution method of life insurance products accounts for 32.8% of total premium revenue in the life insurance segment. Home service life products consist of products marketed directly at the home or other premises of the insured by an employee agent. The Company employed nine career agents and one regional manager as of December 31, 2012. The remaining 4.8% of premium revenue consists of the following: a book of business acquired from a state guaranty association in 2000 (1.6%), premium generated through direct sales of school accident insurance (1.4%), and other miscellaneous business serviced directly through the home office (1.8%).

NSIC's primary products are life insurance, both term and whole life, and health and accident insurance. NSIC does not sell annuities, interest sensitive whole life or universal life insurance products. Term life insurance policies provide death benefits if the insured's death occurs during the specific premium paying term of the policy. The policies generally do not provide a savings or investment element included as part of the policy premium. Whole-life insurance policies demand a higher premium than term life, but provide death benefits which are payable under effective policies regardless of the time of the insured's death and have a savings and investment element which may result in the accumulation of a cash surrender value. Our accident and health insurance policies provide coverage for losses sustained through sickness or accident and include individual hospitalization and accident policies, group supplementary health policies, and specialty products, such as cancer policies. Our line of health and accident products feature specified fixed benefits, so rapidly rising health care costs do not have as great an impact on our health and accident line as they do on comparable products offered by other companies.

The following table displays a schedule of 2012 life segment premium produced by product and distribution method (dollars in thousands):

| Line of Business | Home Service Agent | Independent Agent | Other |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Industrial | \$ 75 | \$ — | \$ 77 |
| Ordinary | 1,820 | 2,668 | 33 |
| Group Life | — | 9 | 63 |
| A&H Group | — | — | 106 |
| A&H Other | 276 | 1,444 | 38 |
| Total Premium by Distribution Method | <u>\$ 2,171</u> | <u>\$ 4,121</u> | <u>\$ 317</u> |

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the development of the Life Company's business (dollars in thousands):

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Life insurance in force at end of period: | | |
| Ordinary-whole life | \$ 170,800 | \$ 174,200 |
| Term life | 21,400 | 23,600 |
| Industrial life | 19,500 | 20,200 |
| | <u>\$ 211,700</u> | <u>\$ 218,000</u> |
| Life insurance issued: | | |
| Ordinary-whole life | \$ 24,800 | \$ 31,400 |
| | <u>\$ 24,800</u> | <u>\$ 31,400</u> |
| Net premiums earned: | | |
| Life insurance | \$ 4,745 | \$ 4,953 |
| Accident and health insurance | 1,864 | 1,917 |
| | <u>\$ 6,609</u> | <u>\$ 6,870</u> |

Life Insurance Segment Reserves

We engage Wakely Actuarial Services of Palm Harbor, Florida as consulting actuary to calculate our reserves for traditional life insurance products. The methodology used requires that the present value of future benefits to be paid under life insurance policies less the present value of future net premiums be calculated. The calculation uses assumptions including estimates of any adverse deviation, investment yields and changes in investment yields, mortality, maintenance expenses and any non-forfeiture options or termination benefits. The assumptions determine the level and sufficiency of reserves which are calculated and reviewed by our consulting actuary at the end of each quarter. The independent consulting actuary also reviews our estimates for other insurance products including claims reserves under accident and health contracts. Management believes that the reserve amounts reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are adequate.

Investments

A significant percentage of the total income for the Company is tied to the performance of its investments. Assets that will eventually be used to pay reserve liabilities and other policyholder obligations along with Company capital are invested to generate investment income while held by the Company. Our investment income is comprised primarily of interest and dividend income on debt and equity securities and realized capital gains and losses generated by debt and equity securities. At December 31, 2012, investments comprise 74% of total assets, and investment income (including realized gains) comprises almost 12% of total revenues evidencing the significant impact investments can have on financial results. Because the Company's insurance subsidiaries are regulated as to the types of investments they may make and the amount of funds they may maintain in any one type of investment, the Company has developed a conservative value oriented investment philosophy, in order to meet regulatory requirements. The Company's investment goals are to conserve capital resources and assets, obtain the necessary investment income threshold to meet reserves, and provide a reasonable return. Current yield from invested assets and capital appreciation of investments create this return.

Marketing and Distribution

As mentioned earlier in this report, NSIC products are marketed through a field force of agents who are employees of the Life Company and through a network of independent agents. The Company's use of independent agents is expected to be more cost effective in the long term and has become the fastest growing method of distribution over the past decade. In an effort to boost productivity and better educate agents on the products and services of NSIC, the Life Company marketing team travels extensively throughout our service areas holding training sessions for agents. We also offer our best agents the opportunity to periodically attend retreats to network with the home office staff that help serve them and our policyholders. In addition, the retreat provides agents with additional knowledge of the products we offer, and serves as a forum for feedback on how we can better serve our agency force and policyholders.

NSFC and Omega products are marketed through a network of independent agents and brokers, who are independent contractors and generally maintain relationships with one or more competing insurance companies. NSFC employs

three field marketing representatives who visit in the offices of our independent agent force regularly to give the agents opportunities for feedback. Our NSFC marketing representatives also host training seminars throughout our service areas. The goal of these seminars is to educate the independent agent sales force about our products and services.

Agents receive compensation for their sales efforts. In the case of life insurance agents, compensation is paid in the form of sales commissions plus a servicing commission. Commissions paid by NSIC in 2012 averaged approximately 7% of premiums. Commissions paid by NSFC in 2012 averaged approximately 15% of premiums. During 2012, one independent agent, accounted for more than 10% of total net earned premium of the property-casualty insurance subsidiaries. The net earned premium from this general agent totaled \$4,622,000 or 10.22% of total P&C segment net earned premium. NSFC also offers a "profit sharing bonus plan" to independent agents in order to promote better field underwriting and encourage retention of profitable business. This plan not only rewards our agents but also enhances profitability by giving the agent a vested interest in our success and also aids in maintaining price stability for all our customers as agents have a financial incentive to use good field underwriting practices when completing an application for insurance.

At December 31, 2012, NSIC employed eight career agents and one regional manager. NSIC also had approximately 212 independent agents actively producing new business.

At December 31, 2012, NSFC had contracts with approximately 1,400 independent agencies in eleven states.

Competition

In both of our insurance segments, we operate in a very competitive environment. There are numerous insurance companies competing in the various states in which we offer our products. Many of the companies with which we compete are much larger, have significantly larger volumes of business, offer much broader ranges of products and have more significant financial resources than we do. We compete directly with many of these companies, not only in the sale of products to consumers, but also in the recruitment and retention of qualified agents. We believe the main areas in which a smaller company, like us, can compete is in the areas of providing niche products in underserved areas of the insurance market at competitive prices while providing excellent service to our agents and policyholders during the entire insurance product life cycle from policy issuance to final payment of a claim. We pride ourselves on being accessible to our independent agent force and maintain a presence through the efforts of a field marketing staff and easy access to home office staff. We believe we have made significant advancements in developing a competitive advantage, especially over the last decade. We also have longstanding relationships with many of our agents. We believe we compete effectively within the markets we serve and continue to evolve our processes and procedures in order to garner further competitive advantages.

NSFC and Omega's primary insurance products are dwelling fire and homeowners, including mobile homeowners. Dwelling fire and homeowners, collectively referred to as the dwelling property line of business, is the largest line of business in property and casualty operations, composing 94% of total property and casualty premium revenue. We focus on providing niche insurance products within the markets we serve. We are in the top twenty-five dwelling property insurance carriers in our two largest states, Alabama and Mississippi. However, due to the large concentration of business among the top five carriers, our total market share in the dwelling fire line of business is approximately 2% in Alabama and 1% in Mississippi. In the homeowners line of business, our market share in both Alabama and Mississippi is less than 1%. The homeowners markets are even more concentrated with the top three homeowners carriers in both Alabama and Mississippi controlling over 50% of the market share.

We have actively sought competitive advantages over the last decade in the area of technological advancement. We have replaced our primary policy administration systems in both our property and casualty and life insurance subsidiaries. We replaced our legacy policy administration system in our life subsidiary in 2002. In late 2006 and throughout 2007, we began the process in transitioning to a new policy administration system in our property and casualty subsidiary. In 2010, we converted to our current property and casualty claims administration system.

The property and casualty administration system is an internally developed end-to-end system that we believe enhances our ability to compete with larger carriers in the markets we serve. The system features a web based portal that allows our independent agents to rate, quote and issue policies directly in their office. The system streamlines the underwriting process with automation of many previous manual processes and enhances our agents' ability to provide excellent service to their clients. The system also enhances the efficiency of our underwriting process allowing for a more thorough evaluation of risks.

Our property and casualty claims administration system automates processes and workflows throughout the claims process and provides a single view of the activity that has occurred on a claim. The system also has an adjuster web portal, which allows adjusters to view policy limits, see reserve history and policy information, and view prior claims and loss history. Communications between adjusters and examiners are centralized on the web portal allowing for any messages to be viewed securely as part of the claims history. Computerized issuance of field checks by staff adjusters was also implemented enforcing reserve and policy limits while reducing the error rates of the previously used hand written checks issued in the field.

Regulation

Our insurance subsidiaries are directly regulated by the insurance department in our state of domicile, Alabama. We are subject to the Alabama Insurance Holding Company System Regulatory Act and report to the Alabama Department of Insurance. Consequently, we are subject to periodic examination and regulation under Alabama Insurance Laws.

Our insurance subsidiaries are also subject to licensing and supervision by the various governmental agencies in the jurisdictions in which we do business. The nature and extent of such regulation varies, but generally has its source in state statutes which bestow regulatory, supervisory and administrative authority to State Insurance Commissioners and their respective insurance departments. The regulations may require the Company to meet and maintain standards of solvency, comply with licensing requirements, periodically examine market conditions and financial activities and report on the condition of operations and finances. In addition, most of our insurance rates are subject to regulation and approval by regulatory authorities within the respective states in which we offer our products.

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to various statutory restrictions and limitations relating to the payment of dividends or distributions to stockholders. The restrictions are generally based on certain levels of surplus, net income or operating income as determined by statutory accounting practices. Alabama law permits dividends in any year which, together with other dividends made within the preceding 12 months, do not exceed the greater of (1) 10% of statutory surplus as of the end of the preceding year or (2) for property and casualty insurers, statutory net income for the preceding year or for life companies, statutory net gain from operations for the preceding year. Dividends in excess of the restricted amounts are payable only after obtaining expressed regulatory approval. Future dividends from the insurance subsidiaries may be limited by business or regulatory considerations. The Company relies on the ability of the insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends to fund stockholder dividends and for payment of most operating expenses of the group, including interest and principal payments on debt. Further discussion of dividend payment capacity of subsidiaries can be found in Note 12 of the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to risk based capital requirements adopted by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC). These requirements direct our insurance companies to calculate and report information according to a risk based formula which attempts to measure statutory capital and surplus needs based on the risk in our product mix and investment portfolio. The formula is designed to allow state insurance regulators to identify companies that are potentially inadequately capitalized. Under the formula, the Company calculates Risk Based Capital (RBC) by taking into account certain risks inherent in an insurer's assets, including investments and an insurer's liabilities. Risk based capital rules provide for different levels of action depending on the ratio of a company's total adjusted capital to its "authorized control level" RBC. Based on calculations made by each of our insurance subsidiaries at December 31, 2012, each subsidiary exceeds any levels that would require regulatory actions.

A.M. Best Rating

A.M. Best Company is a leading provider of insurance company financial strength ratings and insurance company issuer credit ratings. Best's financial strength ratings and issuer credit ratings provide an independent opinion based on comprehensive quantitative and qualitative evaluation of a company's balance sheet strength, operating performance and business profile. The Company currently has an issuer credit rating of "bb" with a negative outlook. The property and casualty companies currently carry an A.M. Best group financial strength rating of B++ (Good) with a negative outlook. This rating of B++ has remained the same for the past twelve years. The property and casualty group maintains an issuer credit rating of "bbb" with a negative outlook. The standalone financial strength rating for property and casualty subsidiary Omega One is currently B+ (Good) and Omega's issuer credit rating is "bbb-" with a stable outlook. National Security Insurance Company maintains a company specific financial strength rating of B+ (Good) with a stable outlook and an issuer credit rating of "bbb-" with a stable outlook. A.M. Best maintained a negative outlook on the property and casualty and group ratings citing underwriting volatility as a result of a trend of more frequent and severe weather-related events. For the latest ratings, you can access www.ambest.com.

Employees

The Company itself has no management or operational employees. Instead, all human resource activities are within the subsidiary National Security Insurance Company. NSIC employed 104 staff members as of December 31, 2012, none of which were represented by a labor union. The Company and its property and casualty subsidiary have a Management Service Agreement ("Agreement") with National Security Insurance Company whereby the Company and the property and casualty subsidiaries reimburse NSIC for salaries and expenses of employees provided under the Agreement. Involved are employees in the areas of Underwriting, Customer Service, Policy Services, Accounting, Marketing, Administration, Document Management, Data Processing, Programming, Personnel, Claims, and Management. The Company, through NSIC, is represented by 8 employee agents in Alabama. The Company's property and casualty subsidiaries had approximately 1,400 independent (non-employee) agents producing business at December 31, 2012. We consider our employee relations to be good.

Additional information with respect to The National Security Group's Business

We maintain a website (www.nationalsecuritygroup.com). The National Security Group, Inc.'s annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to such reports that we file or furnish pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available through our website, free of charge, as soon as reasonably practical upon having been electronically filed or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Our code of ethical conduct is also available on our website and in print to any stockholder who requests copies by contacting The National Security Group, Attn: Investor Relations, P. O. Box 703, Elba, AL 36323.

Any of the materials we file with the SEC may also be read and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the SEC's Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1.800.SEC.0330. Our periodic reports filed with the SEC, which include Forms 3, 4 and 5, Form 10-K, Form 10-Q, Form 8-K and any amendments thereto may also be accessed free of charge from the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

As a "Smaller Reporting Company," we are not required to provide any disclosure under Item 1A. In providing these risk factors, we do not represent, and no inference should be drawn, that the disclosures so provided comply with all requirements of Item 1A if we were subject to them. Risk factors are events and uncertainties over which the Company has limited or no control and which can have a material adverse impact on our financial condition or results of operations. We are subject to a variety of risk factors. The following information sets forth our evaluation of the risk factors we deem to be most material. We work to actively manage these risks, but the reader should be cautioned that we are only able to mitigate the impact of most risk factors, not eliminate the risk. Also, there may be other risks which we do not presently deem material that may become material in the future.

Underwriting and product pricing

The insurance subsidiaries maintain underwriting departments that seek to evaluate the risks associated with the issuance of an insurance policy. NSIC accepts standard risks and, to an extent, substandard risks and engages medical doctors who review certain applications for insurance. In the case of the property and casualty subsidiaries, the underwriting staff attempts to assess, in light of the type of insurance sought by an applicant, the risks associated with a prospective insured or insurance situation. The underwriting assessment may involve various components in the risk evaluation process including, but not limited to, potential liability or fire hazards, age of dwelling, loss history, credit history of insured, employment status, location of fire department, home value, home heat source, and general maintenance of the property. In general, the property and casualty subsidiaries specialize in writing nonstandard risks.

The nonstandard market in which the property and casualty subsidiaries operate reacts to general economic conditions in much the same way as the standard market. When insurers' profits and equity are strong, companies sometimes cut rates or do not seek increases. Also, underwriting rules are less restrictive. As profit and/or capital fall, companies may tighten underwriting rules and seek rate increases. Premiums in the nonstandard market are higher than the standard market because of the increased risk, which generally comprises more frequent claims. Lower valued dwellings and mobile homes often warrant higher premiums because of the nature of the risk. The costs of placing such nonstandard policies and making risk determinations are similar to those of the standard market. The added costs due to more frequent claims servicing are reflected in the generally higher premiums that are charged.

Our ability to maintain profitability is contingent upon our ability to actively manage our rates and our underwriting procedures. Premium rate inadequacy may not become apparent quickly, and we will incur lag-time to correct. If our rates or underwriting processes become inadequate, our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely impacted.

Approval of rates

Most lines of business written by our property and casualty insurers are subject to prior approval of premium rates in the majority of the states in which we operate. The process of obtaining regulatory approval can be expensive and time consuming and can impair our ability to make necessary rate adjustments due to changes in loss experience, cost of reinsurance or other factors. If our requests to regulatory bodies for rate increases are not approved in an adequate or timely manner, our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely impacted.

Maintenance of profit margins and potential for margin compression

Our maximum long term average pretax profit margin on most of our insurance products is approximately five to six percent. In most states, we have limited ability to increase our margins beyond this level for higher risk, and we can incur significant delays in our ability to pass along higher cost that we may incur. Examples of this risk include:

- Our catastrophe reinsurance cost is negotiated annually and effective January 1 of each year. The reinsurance market in which we operate is unregulated, and our reinsurance cost is based on negotiated rates that adjust annually. Due to increased frequency of storms over the past seven years and sometimes limited reinsurance market capacity, we often experience double digit rate increases and often cannot include these increases in our rates until the new reinsurance agreement is negotiated. Due to increased cat loads in more storm prone areas, significant year over year increases in cat cost can often temporarily eliminate our profit margins in some areas and significantly compress our overall profit margins priced into our insurance coverages.
- We have a geographic concentration in the Southeastern U.S. which is exposed to significant hurricane risk. We believe that we are often not adequately compensated for certain heavily exposed risk through a combination of limits on allowable margin and delays in seeking rate increases. We often have to manage these exposures using alternatives to pricing, such as limits on new business production, to help us manage exposure concentrations and protect our capital position.
- Due to increasing catastrophe reinsurance cost, we have incurred increases in our reinsurance retentions/deductibles over the past seven years. Again, due to limits to profit margins, we are often not adequately compensated for the increased risk associated with these higher reinsurance retentions due to overall limits on margins in some of the states in which we operate.

Reinsurance

Both insurance subsidiaries customarily reinsure with other insurers certain portions of the insurance risk. The primary purpose of such reinsurance arrangements is to enable the Company to limit its risk on individual policies, and in the case of property insurance, limit its risk in the event of a catastrophe in various geographic areas. A reinsurance arrangement does not discharge the issuing company from primary liability to the insured, and the issuing company is required to discharge its liability to the insured even if the reinsurer is unable to meet its obligations under the reinsurance arrangements. Reinsurance, however, does make the reinsurer liable to the issuing company to the extent of any reinsurance in force at the time of the loss. Reinsurance arrangements also decrease premiums retained by the issuing company since that company pays the reinsuring company a portion of total premiums based upon the amount of liability reinsured. NSIC generally reinsures all risks in excess of \$50,000 with respect to any one insured. NSFC and Omega generally reinsure with third-parties any liability in excess of \$225,000 on any single policy. In addition, the property and casualty subsidiaries have catastrophe excess reinsurance, which provided protection in part with respect to aggregate property losses arising out of a single catastrophe, such as a hurricane.

In 2012, the property and casualty subsidiaries had catastrophe protection up to a \$72.5 million aggregate loss. Under the property and casualty subsidiaries reinsurance arrangement in force during 2012, the Company retained the first \$4 million of insured losses from any single catastrophic event. The next \$13.5 million in insured losses from any single event was 95% reinsured with the Company's net retention being 5%. The third layer of reinsurance protection provided coverage for 100% of insured losses exceeding \$17.5 million and up to \$42.5 million. The fourth layer of reinsurance protection provided coverage for 100% of insured losses in excess of \$42.5 million up to \$72.5 million.

In the second quarter of 2012, we placed additional reinsurance cover in the form of reinsurance premium protection (RPP). The RPP cover will serve to reduce our risk from a major catastrophe and strengthen our capital position. The effect of adding this additional RPP cover is to reduce our modeled 100 year event net cost (net of reinsurance recoveries) from approximately \$9 million (pretax) to an estimated \$4.5 million (pretax).

Our inability to procure reinsurance, primarily catastrophe reinsurance, could adversely impact our ability to maintain our level of premium revenue. The increased frequency of catastrophic events also increases our cost of reinsurance pressuring the profit margins of our insurance products. It is generally cost prohibitive to maintain deductibles below levels currently in place. Our current \$4.0 million catastrophe deductible will adversely impact underwriting results in years in which we incur losses from a major hurricane or tornado outbreak.

Risk of loss from catastrophic events and geographic concentration

As described above, we maintain catastrophe reinsurance in amounts that provides protection to the Company's financial condition in all but the most remote likelihood of occurrences. Our most critical catastrophe risk is from hurricanes due to our proximity to the Atlantic Ocean and the Gulf of Mexico. Our results of operations are very likely to be materially impacted in the event of the landfall of a major hurricane striking the Northern Gulf Coast or Southern Atlantic Coast in Georgia or South Carolina where we maintain significant concentrations of business. We are also exposed to the risk of significant tornado activity in many of the states in which we operate. Our most significant catastrophic event risk is the risk of a loss in excess of the Company's upper catastrophe limit which could adversely impact the Company's financial condition if such an event occurs. We are also subject to assessments from windstorm underwriting pools in various states. These risks are often difficult to measure and in the event of a major catastrophe, could exceed the upper limits of our available reinsurance protection. We also face risk from a high frequency of catastrophe events. While these events may not reach the lower limits of our catastrophe reinsurance protection, a large number of smaller events can materially impact our results of operations.

Catastrophe modeling results play a major role in our decision making process regarding the upper limits of our catastrophe reinsurance protection. While the level of sophistication has increased significantly in recent years in the design of computer generated catastrophe modeling, there are risks inherent in the modeling process, and the process continues to evolve. We believe the chance of a catastrophe event exceeding the upper limits of our reinsurance protection is remote; however, with the unpredictability of natural disasters, we are unable to eliminate all risk of exceeding the upper limits of our reinsurance protection. Hurricane Katrina exceeded the upper limits of our coverage in 2005. We have since increased the upper limits of our coverage, but should a future event exceed the upper limits of our reinsurance coverage by a material amount, our financial condition could be adversely impacted.

The amount of catastrophe reinsurance protection purchased by the Company was based on computer modeling of actual Company exposure. The Company generally seeks catastrophe protection for scenarios based on the computer modeling that mitigates losses up to at least a near term 1 in 100 year event, further described as an amount at which the probability of not exceeding is not less than 99%. NSFC and Omega had a provision for one reinstatement (coverage for two catastrophic events) during 2012.

Climate change

Scientific evidence supports that there have been and continue to be significant changes in climate including temperature, precipitation and wind resulting from various natural factors, processes, and human activities. Rising temperatures and changes in weather patterns could impact storm frequency and severity in our coverage areas. Increases in storm frequency and severity could negatively impact reinsurance costs impacting product pricing and the areas in which we offer our products. With respect to our property and casualty segment, climate change may impact the types of storms that impact our coverage areas as well as the frequency and severity of storms, thereby impacting reinsurance placement and rates. With respect to our life insurance segment, climate change may impact life expectancies, thereby influencing mortality assumptions used in pricing assumptions and reserve calculations. Climate change could impact future product offerings, exclusions and/or policy limitations.

The Company may be impacted by domestic legislation and regulation related to climate change. Governmental mandates could impede our ability to make a profit with our current product offerings, limit the products we can offer and/or impact the geographic locations in which we offer our products.

The impact of climate change cannot be quantified at this time.

Reserve liabilities

NSIC maintains life insurance reserves for future policy benefits to meet future obligations under outstanding policies. These reserves are calculated to be sufficient to meet policy and contract obligations as they arise. Liabilities for future policy benefits are calculated using assumptions for interest, mortality, morbidity, expense and withdrawals determined at the time the policies were issued. As of December 31, 2012, the total reserves of NSIC (including the reserves for accident and health insurance) were approximately \$32.4 million. We believe, based on current available information,

reserves for future policy benefits are adequate. However, we are currently in a period of record low interest rates, and should this period of low rates be sustained over the long term, it can impair our ability to make sufficient returns to cover future policy liabilities. Also, should actual mortality, morbidity, expense or withdrawal assumption differ materially from assumptions, our operating results could be negatively impacted.

The property and casualty subsidiaries are also required to maintain loss reserves (claim liabilities) for all lines of insurance. Such reserves are intended to cover the probable ultimate cost of settling all claims, including those incurred but not yet reported. The reserves of the property and casualty subsidiaries reflect estimates of the liability with respect to incurred claims and are determined by evaluating reported claims on an ongoing basis and by estimating liabilities for incurred but not reported claims. Such reserves include adjustment expenses to cover the cost of investigating losses and defending lawsuits. The establishment of accurate reserves is complicated by the fact that claims in some lines of insurance are settled many years after the policies have been issued, thus raising the possibility that inflation may have a significant effect on the amount of ultimate loss payment, especially when compared to initial loss estimates. The subsidiaries, however, attempt to restrict their writing to risks that settle within one to four years of issuance of the policy. As of December 31, 2012, the property and casualty subsidiaries had reserves for unpaid claims of approximately \$11.2 million before subtracting unpaid claims, due from reinsurers of \$1,229,000 leaving net unpaid claims of \$10 million. The reserves are not discounted for the time value of money. No changes were made in the assumptions used in estimating the reserves during the years ending December 31, 2012 or 2011. The Company believes, based on current available information, such reserves are adequate to provide for settlement of claims.

We incur the risk that we may experience excessive losses due to unanticipated claims frequency, severity or both that may not be factored into our loss reserve liabilities. Unexpected frequency and severity can be adversely impacted by outcomes of claims litigation; adverse jury verdicts related to claims settlements and adverse interpretations of insurance policy provisions which result in increased liabilities. We are also subject to the risk of unanticipated assessments from state underwriting associations or windstorm pools related to losses in excess of the associations or pool's ability to pay. Such costs are often allocated to companies operating in the jurisdiction of the association or windstorm pool, and the likelihood and amount of such assessments are difficult to predict. These events could adversely impact our historical loss reserving methodology and cause financial adjustments that could materially impact our financial condition and results of operations.

Financial Ratings

The insurance subsidiaries are rated by A.M. Best Company, an insurance company-rating agency. NSFC is rated B++ (Good), Omega is rated B+ (Good) and NSIC is rated B+ (Good) by A.M. Best Company. The property and casualty and group ratings currently have a negative outlook and may be downgraded if earnings for 2013 fall below expectations or if capital is eroded by operating losses or dividends to the parent company. In order to stabilize the property and casualty and group ratings, the Company must show a positive earnings trend that leads to capital appreciation without excess growth. A downgrade in our A.M. Best ratings could adversely impact our ability to maintain existing business or generate new business. See page 12-13 of this Form 10-K for additional information on our current A.M. Best rating.

Regulation

The insurance subsidiaries are each subject to regulation by the insurance departments of those states in which they are licensed to conduct business. Although the extent of regulation varies from state to state, the insurance laws of the various states generally establish supervisory departments having broad administrative powers with respect to, among other matters: the granting and revocation of licenses to transact business, the licensing of agents, the establishment of standards of financial solvency (including reserves to be maintained), the nature of investments and in most cases premium rates, the approval of forms and policies, and the form and content of financial statements. The primary purpose of these regulations is the protection of policyholders. Compliance with regulations does not necessarily confer a benefit upon shareholders.

Many states in which the insurance subsidiaries operate, including Alabama, have laws requiring that insurers become members of guaranty associations. These associations guarantee that benefits due policyholders of insurance companies will continue to be provided even if the insurance company which wrote the business is financially unable to fulfill its obligations. To provide these benefits, the associations assess the insurance companies licensed in a state that write the line of insurance for which coverage is guaranteed. The amount of an insurer's assessment is generally based on the relationship between that company's premium volume in the state and the premium volume of all companies writing the particular line of insurance in the state. The Company has paid no material amounts to guaranty associations over the past three years. These payments, when made, are principally related to association costs

incurred due to the insolvency of various insurance companies. Future assessments depend on the number and magnitude of insurance company insolvencies, and such assessments are therefore difficult to predict.

Most states have enacted legislation or adopted administrative rules and regulations covering such matters as the acquisition of control of insurance companies, transactions between insurance companies and the persons controlling them. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners has recommended model legislation on these subjects, and all states where the Company's subsidiaries transact business have adopted, with some modifications, that model legislation. Among the matters regulated by such statutes are the payments of dividends. These regulations have a direct impact on the Company since its cash flow is substantially derived from dividends from its subsidiaries, and adverse operating results in the insurance subsidiaries or the development of significant additional obligations in the holding company could adversely impact liquidity at the holding company level. Statutory limitations of dividend payments by subsidiaries are disclosed in Note 12 of the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

While most regulation is at the state level, the federal government has increasingly expressed an interest in regulating aspects of the insurance industry. All of these regulations at various levels of government increase the cost of conducting business through increased compliance expenses. Also, existing regulations are constantly evolving through administrative and court interpretations, and new regulations are often adopted. It is difficult to predict what impact changes in regulation may have on the Company in the future. Changes in regulations could occur that might adversely impact our ability to achieve acceptable levels of profitability and limit our growth.

Competition

The insurance subsidiaries are engaged in a highly competitive business and compete with many insurance companies of substantially greater financial resources, including stock and mutual insurance companies. Mutual insurance companies return profits, if any, to policyholders rather than shareholders; therefore, mutual insurance companies may be able to charge lower net premiums than those charged by stock insurers. Accordingly, stock insurers must attempt to achieve competitive premium rates through greater volume, efficiency of operations and control of expenses.

NSIC primarily markets its life and health insurance products through the home service system and independent producers. Direct competition comes from home service companies and other insurance companies that utilize independent producers to sell insurance products, of which there are many. NSIC's life and health products also compete with products sold by ordinary life companies. NSIC writes policies primarily in Alabama, Georgia and Mississippi. The market share of the total life and health premiums written is small because of the number of insurers in this highly competitive field. The primary methods of competition in the field are service and price.

Because of the increased costs associated with a home service company, premium rates are generally higher than ordinary products; as a result, competition from these ordinary insurers must be met through service. Initial costs of distribution through independent agents are generally more than through home service distribution methods, but lower commissions are paid in years subsequent to the first year of the policy so costs decline rapidly as policies renew after the first year. The primary factor in controlling cost under the independent agent distribution method is maintaining a high persistency rate. The persistency rate is the rate at which new business is maintained in renewal periods subsequent to the first year. If a high persistency rate can be maintained, the overall costs of distribution are lowered due to lower commission rate payments on policies in force subsequent to the first year.

The property and casualty subsidiaries market their products through independent agents and brokers, concentrating primarily on dwelling fire, homeowners and nonstandard auto coverage. NSFC, though one of the larger writers of lower value dwelling fire insurance in Alabama, nevertheless faces a number of competitors in this niche market. Moreover, larger general line insurers also compete with NSFC. The market share in states other than Alabama is small. Price is the primary method of competition. Because the Company utilizes independent agents, commission rates and service to the agent are also important factors in whether the independent agent agrees to offer NSFC products over those of its competitors. The Company primarily relies on an established independent agency force to market our insurance products. The loss of independent agents could adversely impact both the retention of existing business and production of new business.

Significant changes in the competitive environment in which we operate could materially impact our financial condition or results of operations.

Inflation

The Company shares the same risks from inflation as other companies. Inflation causes operating expenses to increase and erodes the purchasing power of the Company's assets. A large portion of the Company's assets is invested in fixed maturity investments. The purchasing power of these investments will be less at maturity because of inflation. This is generally offset by the reserves that are a fixed liability and will be paid with cheaper dollars. Also, inflation tends to increase investment yields, which may reduce the impact of the increased operating expenses caused by inflation.

Investment Risk and Liquidity

Our invested assets are managed by company personnel. The majority of these investments consist of fixed maturity securities. These securities are subject to price fluctuations due to changes in interest rates, and unfavorable changes could materially reduce the market value of the Company's investment portfolio and adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations. Fixed maturity investments are managed in light of anticipated liquidity needs. Should we experience a significant change in liquidity needs for any reason, we may be forced to sell fixed maturity securities at a loss to cover these liquidity needs. Changes in general economic conditions, the stock market and various other external factors could also adversely impact the value of our investments and consequently our results of operations and financial condition.

Impact of economic and credit market conditions on our investments

Our investment portfolio is exposed to economic and financial market risks, including changes in interest rates, credit markets and prices of marketable equity and fixed-income securities. Events that unfolded in the latest recession had a material impact on the valuations of our investments. Economic and credit market conditions during the recession adversely affected the ability of some issuers of investment securities to repay their obligations and may further affect the values of investment securities. If the carrying value of our investments exceeds the fair value, and the decline in fair value is deemed to be other-than-temporary, we will be required to write down the value of our investments, which could materially harm our results of operations and financial condition.

Litigation

We are routinely involved in litigation related to our insurance products. Litigation can involve claims for damages in excess of stated policy limits and include damages for bad faith. Defense of these claims can often be expensive adding to our loss adjustment expenses, and adverse jury verdicts could materially impact our results of operations and financial position.

Dependence of the Company on Dividends from Insurance Subsidiaries

The Company is an insurance holding company with no significant operations and limited outside sources of income. The primary asset of the Company is its stock in the insurance subsidiaries. The Company relies on dividends from the insurance subsidiaries in order to pay operating expenses, to service debt obligations and to provide liquidity for the payment of dividends to shareholders. The ability of the insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends is subject to regulatory restrictions discussed in detail in Note 12 of the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein. Should the insurance subsidiaries become subject to restrictions imposed by insurance regulations regarding the payment of dividends, the ability of the Company to pay expenses, meet debt service requirements and pay cash dividends to shareholders could be adversely impacted. Additionally, should business conditions deteriorate, we could be forced to further limit or suspend dividend payments in order to protect our capital position.

Low common stock trading volume and liquidity limitations

We are a small public company with a large percentage of common stock outstanding owned by founding family members, employees, officers and directors. Consequently, our average daily trading volume is very low with no shares traded on some days and only a few hundred shares trading in a typical day. This low trading volume can lead to significant volatility in our share price and limit a shareholders ability to dispose of large quantities of stock in a short period of time.

Debt covenants

Should we become unable to remain current on interest payments on our long term debt, under our debt covenants, we would be forced to suspend the payment of dividends to stockholders until interest payments are current.

Technology

Our insurance subsidiaries are dependent on computer technology and internet based platforms in the delivery of insurance products. Our ability to innovate and manage technological change is a key to remaining competitive in the insurance industry. A breakdown in major systems or failure to maintain up to date technology could adversely impact

our ability to write new business and service existing policyholders, which would adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition. The occurrence of computer viruses, information security breaches, disasters, or unanticipated events could affect the data processing systems of the Company or its service providers which could damage the Company's business and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Access to capital

We rely on debt and equity capital to operate. Due to a recent litigation settlement, our debt levels are higher than our historical norm. Adverse operating results, general market and economic conditions could impair our ability to raise new capital needed to support our operations.

Key Personnel

As a small company within the insurance industry, we could be adversely impacted by the loss of key personnel. Our ability to remain competitive is contingent upon our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel in all aspects of our operations.

Accounting Standards

Our financial statements are prepared based upon generally accepted accounting standards issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board along with standards set by other regulatory organizations. We are required to adopt newly issued or revised accounting standards that are issued periodically. Future changes could impact accounting treatment applied to financial statements and could have a material adverse impact on the Company's results of operations and financial conditions. Potential changes in accounting standards that are currently expected to impact the Company are disclosed in the Notes to Financial Statements included herein.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

As a smaller reporting company, the Company is not required to furnish the information required in Item 1B.

Item 2. Properties

Our principal executive offices, owned by NSIC, are located at 661 East Davis Street, Elba, Alabama. The executive offices are shared by the insurance subsidiaries. The building was constructed in 1977 with an addition added in 2008. The Company expansion and renovation project completed in early 2008, added an additional 4,684 square feet and renovated 3,017 square feet of the existing structure. The executive offices total approximately 30,700 square feet. The Company believes this space to be adequate for our immediate needs.

The Company and its subsidiaries own certain real estate investment properties. We own approximately 2,950 acres of undeveloped timberland in Pike, Coffee and Covington counties in Alabama. The timber is accounted for as a natural resource and depleted in accordance with applicable accounting standards, which identify total costs as including acquisition costs, exploration costs, development costs, production costs and support equipment and facilities cost. We include in total costs timberland purchases and reforestation costs and other costs associated with the planting and growing of timber, such as site preparation, growing or purchases of seedlings, planting, fertilization, herbicide application and the thinning of tree stands to improve growth. We allocate total cost of the timberland over periods benefited by means of depletion. Timber revenue during 2012 totaled \$87,000 compared to \$14,000 during 2011. Gains on timber sales during 2012 totaled \$71,000 compared to \$12,000 in 2011.

We also own approximately 100 acres of undeveloped commercial real estate in Greenville, Alabama. We sell undeveloped lots from this development, and the development has no depreciable improvements.

Capitalized along with the cost of the timberland and the Greenville property are site preparation costs, including clearing, filling and leveling of land. There are no improvements such as paving, parking lots or fencing that would be recorded as land improvements and depreciated over the appropriate useful life.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

As disclosed in Note 15 to these consolidated financial statements regarding contingencies, the Company was involved in litigation related to its divestiture of Mobile Attic, Inc. In June 2012, the matter was settled. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, the Company will pay a total of \$13 million to the plaintiff. Further information regarding events leading to settlement and settlement details found within the Company's discussion of Liquidity and Capital Resources as well as in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements.

The Company and its subsidiaries are named parties to litigation related to the conduct of their insurance operations. Further information regarding details of pending suits can be found in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

This section is not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The capital stock of the Company is traded in the NASDAQ Global Market. Quotations are furnished by the National Association of Security Dealers Automated Quotations System (NASDAQ). The trade symbol is NSEC.

The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices per share, as reported by NASDAQ, during the period indicated:

| | Stock Closing Prices | | | |
|----------------|----------------------|---------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| First Quarter | \$ 9.91 | \$ 7.67 | \$ 13.13 | \$ 11.18 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 9.36 | \$ 8.01 | \$ 13.82 | \$ 10.00 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 9.36 | \$ 8.00 | \$ 11.84 | \$ 9.51 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 8.58 | \$ 7.58 | \$ 10.93 | \$ 7.62 |

Shareholders

The number of shareholders of the Company's common stock was approximately 1,200, and the Company had 2,466,600 shares of common stock outstanding on March 26, 2013.

Dividends

The following table sets forth quarterly dividend payment information for the Company for the periods indicated:

| | Dividends Per Share | |
|----------------|---------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| First Quarter | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.15 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.15 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.15 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 0.025 | \$ 0.10 |

Discussion regarding dividend restrictions may be found on page 41 of the Managements' Discussion and Analysis as well as in Note 12 of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The payment of shareholder dividends is subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors and is dependent upon many factors including our operating results, financial condition, capital requirements and general economic conditions. Total shareholder dividends paid in 2012 totaled \$802,000.

Future dividends are dependent on future earnings, the Company's financial condition and other factors evaluated periodically by management and the Board of Directors. The Company is an insurance holding company and depends upon the dividends from the insurance subsidiaries to pay operating expenses and to provide liquidity for the payment of shareholder dividends. The payment of shareholder dividends is subject to the profitability of the insurance subsidiaries and the ability of the insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends to the holding company. Dividends from the

insurance subsidiaries are subject to approval of the regulator in the state of domicile, the Alabama Department of Insurance.

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans

The Company currently only has one equity compensation plan which was approved by security holders at the 2009 Annual Shareholders Meeting. The following table sets forth securities authorized for issuance under the Company's equity compensations plans:

| Plan category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) |
|--|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | — | — | 200,000 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | — | — | — |
| Total | — | — | 200,000 |

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Under smaller reporting company rules we are not required to disclose information required under Item 6. However, in order to provide information to our investors, we have elected to provide certain selected financial data.

Five-Year Financial Information:

(Dollars in thousands, except per share)

| Operating Results: | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Net premiums earned | \$ 51,815 | \$ 56,243 | \$ 61,263 | \$ 59,594 | \$ 56,264 |
| Net investment income | 4,227 | 4,261 | 5,089 | 5,289 | 4,368 |
| Net realized investment gains (losses) | 2,790 | 669 | 1,879 | 357 | (1,049) |
| Other income | 720 | 919 | 1,161 | 764 | 1,107 |
| Total revenues | <u>\$ 59,552</u> | <u>\$ 62,092</u> | <u>\$ 69,392</u> | <u>\$ 66,004</u> | <u>\$ 60,690</u> |
| Net (loss) income | <u>\$ (6,671)</u> | <u>\$ (4,956)</u> | <u>\$ 3,265</u> | <u>\$ 4,224</u> | <u>\$ (5,204)</u> |
| Net (loss) income per share | <u>\$ (2.70)</u> | <u>\$ (2.01)</u> | <u>\$ 1.32</u> | <u>\$ 1.71</u> | <u>\$ (2.11)</u> |

| Other Selected Financial Data: | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Total shareholders' equity | <u>\$ 30,227</u> | <u>\$ 38,015</u> | <u>\$ 43,710</u> | <u>\$ 41,168</u> | <u>\$ 34,648</u> |
| Book value per share | <u>\$ 12.25</u> | <u>\$ 15.41</u> | <u>\$ 17.72</u> | <u>\$ 16.69</u> | <u>\$ 14.04</u> |
| Dividends per share | <u>\$ 0.325</u> | <u>\$ 0.550</u> | <u>\$ 0.600</u> | <u>\$ 0.600</u> | <u>\$ 0.900</u> |
| Net change in unrealized capital gains (net of tax) | <u>\$ (101)</u> | <u>\$ 1,258</u> | <u>\$ 847</u> | <u>\$ 3,520</u> | <u>\$ (6,147)</u> |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 135,716</u> | <u>\$ 132,951</u> | <u>\$ 136,867</u> | <u>\$ 131,396</u> | <u>\$ 124,890</u> |

| Quarterly Information: | Premiums | Investment & Other Income | Realized Investment Gains (Losses) | Claims and Benefit Payments | Net Income (Loss) | Net Income (Loss) Per Share |
|------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2012 | | | | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 13,496 | \$ 1,332 | \$ 206 | \$ 7,845 | \$ 531 | \$ 0.21 |
| Second Quarter | 12,533 | 1,259 | 865 | 7,976 | (7,306) | (2.96) |
| Third Quarter | 12,904 | 1,398 | 1,703 | 11,564 | (627) | (0.25) |
| Fourth Quarter | 12,882 | 958 | 16 | 6,720 | 731 | 0.30 |
| | <u>\$ 51,815</u> | <u>\$ 4,947</u> | <u>\$ 2,790</u> | <u>\$ 34,105</u> | <u>\$ (6,671)</u> | <u>\$ (2.70)</u> |
| 2011 | | | | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 14,870 | \$ 1,397 | \$ 770 | \$ 9,322 | \$ 995 | \$ 0.40 |
| Second Quarter | 13,321 | 1,513 | 261 | 15,682 | (4,945) | (2.00) |
| Third Quarter | 14,340 | 982 | (203) | 10,045 | (650) | (0.26) |
| Fourth Quarter | 13,712 | 1,288 | (159) | 8,976 | (356) | (0.15) |
| | <u>\$ 56,243</u> | <u>\$ 5,180</u> | <u>\$ 669</u> | <u>\$ 44,025</u> | <u>\$ (4,956)</u> | <u>\$ (2.01)</u> |

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

The following discussion highlights significant factors influencing the consolidated financial position and results of operations of The National Security Group, Inc. (referred to in this document as we, our, us, the Company or NSG) and its subsidiaries. We are a "smaller reporting company" under Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regulations and therefore qualify for the scaled disclosure of smaller reporting companies. In general, the same information is required to be disclosed in the management discussion and analysis by smaller reporting companies except that the discussion need only cover the latest two year period and disclosures relating to contractual obligations are not required. In accordance with the scaled disclosure requirements, this discussion covers the two year period ended December 31, 2012.

The National Security Group, Inc. is made up of two segments: the Life segment and the P&C segment. The Company's life, accident and health insurance business is conducted through National Security Insurance Company (NSIC), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company organized in 1947. The Company's property and casualty insurance business is conducted through National Security Fire & Casualty Company (NSFC), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company organized in 1959, and Omega One Insurance Company (Omega), a wholly owned subsidiary of National Security Fire & Casualty Company organized in 1992.

This discussion and analysis of the consolidated results of operations and financial condition of the Company should be read in conjunction with the Selected Financial Data and Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included in this Form 10-K. Please refer to our note regarding forward-looking statements on pages 4-5 of this report.

Information in this discussion is presented in whole dollars rounded to the nearest thousand.

The National Security Group operates in the property and casualty and life, accident and supplemental health insurance businesses and markets products primarily through independent agents. The Company operates in ten states with 49.5% of gross premium revenue generated in the states of Alabama and Mississippi. Property and casualty insurance is the most significant segment, accounting for 87.2% of total insurance premium revenue for 2012. Revenue generated from the life segment accounted for 12.8% of total insurance premium revenue for 2012.

National Security Insurance Company (NSIC) is a life, accident and health insurance company founded in 1947 and is the oldest subsidiary of the Company. The premium revenue produced in NSIC from the traditional life products and accident and health products accounted for 9.4% and 3.5%, respectively, of total premium revenue. All references to NSIC in the remainder of this management discussion and analysis will refer to the combined life, accident and health insurance operations and will compose the life segment of the Company. NSIC is licensed to underwrite life and accident and health insurance in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, South Carolina and Texas.

Omega One Insurance Company (Omega) is a property and casualty insurance company incorporated in 1992. Omega is a wholly owned subsidiary of National Security Fire and Casualty Company (NSFC) and is the smallest of the

insurance subsidiaries, accounting for approximately 2.3% of consolidated premium revenue. Omega is licensed and underwrites property and casualty insurance in the states of Alabama and Louisiana. There is no material product differentiation between those products underwritten by NSFC and Omega as both primarily underwrite personal lines of insurance.

National Security Fire and Casualty Company (NSFC) is a property and casualty insurance company and is the largest of the insurance subsidiaries, accounting for over 84.9% of total premium revenue of the Company. NSFC operates primarily in the personal lines segment of the property and casualty insurance market. NSFC has been in operation since 1959. NSFC is licensed and underwrites property and casualty insurance in the states of Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, Oklahoma, South Carolina and Tennessee. NSFC is licensed, but does not currently underwrite any business, in the states of Kentucky and West Virginia. NSFC also underwrites insurance on a non-admitted or surplus lines basis in the states of Louisiana, Missouri and Texas.

All of the insurance subsidiaries are Alabama domiciled insurance companies; therefore, the Alabama Department of Insurance is the primary insurance regulator. However, each subsidiary is subject to regulation by the respective insurance regulators of each state in which it is licensed to transact business. Insurance rates charged by each of the insurance subsidiaries are typically reviewed and approved by each insurance department for the respective state to which the rates will apply.

All of our insurance companies have been assigned ratings by A.M. Best. The property and casualty group has been assigned a group rating of "B++" (Good) with a negative outlook. In addition, A.M. Best has assigned an issuer credit rating of "bbb" with a negative outlook. NSFC, the largest of the insurance subsidiaries, carries the same A.M. Best ratings as the group. Omega carries an A.M. Best rating of "B+" (Good) with a stable outlook and an issuer credit rating of "bbb-" with a stable outlook. The life insurance subsidiary, NSIC, has been assigned an upgraded rating of "B+" (Good) from "B" (Fair) with a stable outlook and an issuer credit ratio upgrade of "bbb-" up from "bb+" with a stable outlook. All ratings are reviewed at least annually by A.M. Best with the latest ratings' effective date of November 30, 2012. AM Best is currently undergoing its annual review process of our ratings.

The two primary segments in which we report insurance operations are the personal lines property and casualty segment (NSFC) and the life, accident and health insurance segment (NSIC). Due to the small amount of premium revenue produced by Omega and the fact that Omega is a wholly owned subsidiary of NSFC underwriting similar lines of business, all references to NSFC in the remainder of this management discussion and analysis will include the insurance operations of both NSFC and Omega. Our income is principally derived from net underwriting profits and investment income. Net underwriting profit is principally derived from earned premiums received less claims paid, sales commissions to agents, costs of underwriting and insurance taxes and fees. Investment income includes interest and dividend income and gains and losses on investment holdings.

Summary of Consolidated Results of Operations

Condensed revenue and income information follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Premium Earned | \$ 51,815,000 | \$ 56,243,000 |
| Investment Income | 4,227,000 | 4,261,000 |
| Realized Investment Gains | 2,790,000 | 669,000 |
| Other Income | 720,000 | 919,000 |
| Total Revenues | <u>\$ 59,552,000</u> | <u>\$ 62,092,000</u> |
| Net (Loss) Income | <u>\$ (6,671,000)</u> | <u>\$ (4,956,000)</u> |
| Net (Loss) Income Per Share | <u>\$ (2.70)</u> | <u>\$ (2.01)</u> |

For the year-ended December 31, 2012, total revenues were \$59,552,000 compared to \$62,092,000 for the same period last year; a decrease of 4.1%. A decline in net premiums earned, primarily in the P&C segment, was the main contributing factor to the decrease in total revenue for 2012 over 2011. Partially offsetting the decline in revenue was a \$2,121,000 increase in realized investment gains in the current year compared to the same period last year. The increase in realized capital gains was primarily from the sale of equity securities in the life segment.

Year-to-date net premiums earned were down 7.9% in 2012 compared to 2011. The decrease was composed of a \$244,000 or 3.5% decline in total life, accident and health premium revenue and a \$4,184,000 or 8.5% decrease in P&C net premiums earned. Primary drivers of the decline in net premiums earned were an increase in catastrophe reinsurance costs and a decline in automobile premium; both in the P&C segment. Catastrophe reinsurance cost was up approximately 19% in 2012 compared to 2011. In addition, we added reinsurance premium protection (RPP) coverage to our reinsurance coverage during 2012 to help reduce capital exposure in the event of a major catastrophe in the P&C segment. The RPP coverage cost increased reinsurance ceded and reduced premium revenue by \$1,325,000. Furthermore, due to the termination of the automobile program in late 2011, P&C segment premium revenue was reduced \$2,882,000 in the current year compared to the same period last year.

The Company ended 2012 with a net loss totaling \$6,671,000 compared to a net loss of \$4,956,000 in 2011. The Company ended 2012 with a net loss per share of \$2.70 compared to net loss per share of \$2.01 in 2011. The primary reasons for the net loss in 2012 were an increase of \$11,702,000 in litigation settlement and defense costs and an increase in incurred losses from Hurricane Isaac claims. During 2012, we settled longstanding litigation related to the sale of The Mobile Attic, Inc. This settlement was the primary reason for the significant increase in litigation settlement and defense costs in the current year compared to the same period last year. The final settlement totaled \$13,000,000, and the impact of the settlement and remaining legal fees are reflected in these consolidated financial statements. Additional information related to the settlement can be found below in the litigation settlement and defense costs discussion, liquidity and capital resources section of the Management Discussion and Analysis and in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements. Also contributing to the net loss in 2012 were Hurricane Isaac reported losses and LAE which totaled \$3,390,000 from 1,147 claims. The claims from this cat event increased the P&C segment loss ratio by 7.5 percentage points. Additional discussion regarding this catastrophe follows in the industry segment data section of the Management Discussion and Analysis.

As a percent of net premiums earned, claims were 65.8% through December 31, 2012 compared to 78.3% for the same period last year; a decrease of 12.5 percentage points. Although claims were down in 2012 compared to 2011, both years were negatively impacted by a higher frequency of reported losses due to cat events. As mentioned in the preceding paragraph, the P&C segment was negatively impacted by Hurricane Isaac in 2012. During 2011, the P&C segment was impacted by an extremely active spring storm season with April 2011 being ranked as the most active tornado month on record. The largest of these spring storms occurred on April 25th-27th, 2011 (referred to as catastrophe 46) and caused widespread damage from tornado, wind and hail damage throughout the Southeastern United States. This cat event led to 1,652 reported claims during 2011 totaling \$10,432,000 (\$3,847,000 net of reinsurance recoveries).

P&C segment incurred losses and LAE from the automobile program also contributed to the elevated claims levels in 2011. The automobile program was terminated in late 2011 due to continued unprofitable underwriting results. Year-to-date incurred losses and LAE were \$(177,000) in 2012 compared to \$4,492,000 for the same period last year. The primary reason for the decline in current year claims was reserve releases. The decision to discontinue the automobile programs was made by management in an effort to improve the profitability of P&C underwriting results and stabilize earnings.

Results of Operations for Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

The Company ended 2012 with net premiums earned totaling \$51,815,000 compared to \$56,243,000 for the same period last year. Premium revenue is generated from our two operating segments: P&C segment and life segment. The P&C segment accounts for approximately 87% of total premium revenue while our life segment contributes the remaining 13%. The P&C segment operates in personal lines insurance products primarily generating premium revenue from dwelling fire and homeowners coverages. Our life segment produces premium revenue primarily from whole life, accident and critical illness insurance policies.

The table below provides a summary premium revenue by segment and line of business for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| | Year-ended December 31, | | Percent |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | increase (decrease) |
| Life, accident and health operations: | | | |
| Traditional life insurance | \$ 4,818,000 | \$ 5,008,000 | (3.8)% |
| Accident and health insurance | 1,864,000 | 1,918,000 | (2.8)% |
| Total life, accident and health | 6,682,000 | 6,926,000 | (3.5)% |
| Property and Casualty operations: | | | |
| Dwelling fire & extended coverage | 27,206,000 | 26,250,000 | 3.6 % |
| Homeowners (Including mobile homeowners) | 23,287,000 | 24,910,000 | (6.5)% |
| Ocean marine | 1,090,000 | 1,205,000 | (9.5)% |
| Other liability | 1,412,000 | 1,257,000 | 12.3 % |
| Private passenger auto liability | 319,000 | 2,154,000 | (85.2)% |
| Commercial auto liability | 6,000 | 363,000 | (98.3)% |
| Auto physical damage | 149,000 | 839,000 | (82.2)% |
| Total property and casualty | 53,469,000 | 56,978,000 | (6.2)% |
| Reinsurance premium ceded | (8,336,000) | (7,661,000) | 8.8 % |
| Total net earned premium revenue | <u>\$ 51,815,000</u> | <u>\$ 56,243,000</u> | <u>(7.9)%</u> |

A primary reason for the 7.9% decline in net premium revenue was an increase in catastrophe reinsurance cost in 2012. In addition to a 19% increase in catastrophe reinsurance cost related to our cat coverage, we also incurred \$1,325,000 related to the cost of adding reinsurance premium protection (RPP) to our catastrophe reinsurance structure in 2012. The RPP coverage reduces our risk from a major catastrophe and helps strengthen our capital position. In the event of a major catastrophe, the RPP coverage limits the pretax impact on earnings of a modeled 100 year cat event by approximately \$4.5 million. A 100 year event is defined as an event that has approximately a 1% probability of occurring in a given year. Reinsurance ceded in the prior year was up \$1,621,000 due to reinstatement premium related to catastrophe 46 discussed previously.

To offset the increase in catastrophe reinsurance cost in the P&C segment, we are implementing rate increases in states and territories most impacted by catastrophe reinsurance cost. We did experience significant margin compression in 2012 due to the combination of the previously mentioned increased cat cost which became effective in January of 2012 and delays inherent in the rate approval process. Our cat reinsurance cost held steady in 2013 and as rate increases are implemented, we should experience some relief from catastrophe cost related margin compression.

Net investment income remained relatively unchanged ending 2012 at \$4,227,000 compared to \$4,261,000 in 2011; a decrease of 0.8%. A primary reason for comparable results for investment income was the minimal change in invested assets in the current year compared to the prior year. The Company ended 2012 with invested assets of \$100,133,000 compared to \$101,972,000 in 2011; a 1.8% or \$1,839,000 decrease. In addition, cash flow from P&C segment operations was adversely impacted by claims incurred from Hurricane Isaac in 2012 which reduced operating cash flow available to grow investments. This outflow, coupled with a challenging interest rate environment, were primary reasons for the lack of growth in investment income in the current year compared to the same period last year.

Net realized investment gains totaled \$2,790,000 in 2012 compared to \$669,000 in 2011; an increase of \$2,121,000. The \$2,121,000 increase in realized investment gains in 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily in the life segment which ended the current year with an increase of \$1,592,000 while the P&C segment increase totaled \$417,000. The primary reason for the increase was a greater amount of selling activity during the current year compared to the same period last year. A primary focus during the current year was to moderately reduce the equity portfolio in the life segment in order to increase the allocation to fixed income. These efforts were made to improve duration matching of liabilities and strengthen regulatory cash flow testing results. The realization of capital gains in the investment portfolio is influenced by both market conditions and liquidity requirements of the insurance subsidiaries and therefore

can vary significantly from year to year. Other activities, such as tax planning strategies, may also lead to significant variation in realized capital gains from year to year.

Other income was \$720,000 for the period ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$919,000 for the same period last year; a \$199,000 decrease. Other income consists primarily of billing, payment and policy fees related to the issuance of our property and automobile insurance policies in the P&C segment as well as other, primarily non-recurring, miscellaneous income. The primary reason for the decrease during 2012 compared to 2011 was a decline in fee income related to the discontinuance of our automobile program in the P&C segment.

Policyholder benefits paid (claims) decreased 22.5%, ending 2012 at \$34,105,000 compared to \$44,025,000 for the same period last year. Claims as a percentage of net premiums earned totaled 65.8% for 2012 compared to 78.3% in 2011. The primary reason for the \$9,920,000 decrease was lower claims activity in the P&C segment primarily related to less storm activity during 2012 compared to 2011 coupled with decreased claims in the automobile line of business in 2012 compared to 2011. However, while comparatively better, claims were still elevated in the current year due to losses and LAE reported from Hurricane Isaac.

The P&C segment is affected by storm systems classified as "catastrophes" by Property Claims Service (PCS) when a single storm system or other natural disaster causes in excess of \$25 million in industry losses. The losses and loss adjustment expenses (LAE) incurred in the P&C segment from claims associated with storm systems classified as "catastrophic" (referred to as "cat events" hereafter), totaled \$4,295,000 in 2012 compared to \$14,040,000 (\$7,455,000 net of reinsurance) in 2011. The current year claims were incurred from nine separate cat events and accounted for 11.7% of total claims during the year compared to 33.1% of claims (18.2% on a net basis) from eighteen cat events during the prior year.

The largest cat event during 2012 was Hurricane Issac from which we incurred 1,147 policyholder claims totaling \$3,390,000. Hurricane Issac claims accounted for 78.9% of all incurred losses and incurred LAE related to cat events during 2012. The P&C segment maintains catastrophe reinsurance to reduce risk associated with losses from cat events. The reinsurance is structured with a \$4,000,000 retention/deductible from a single cat event with 5% coinsurance retained on the first two layers of coverage. Any claim activity exceeding the first two layers is 100% reinsured up to \$72,500,000 in ultimate incurred losses and LAE. Because the claims from Hurricane Issac did not exceed our deductible for 2012, they were not reinsured.

A cat event on April 25th-27th, 2011 (catastrophe 46) was the primary source of the overall losses and LAE incurred during 2011. The reported losses and LAE cat 46 totaled \$10,432,000. The reinsurance deductible for 2011 was \$3,500,000. Because the P&C segment losses and LAE reported from catastrophe 46 exceeded the 2011 reinsurancedeductible, the P&C segment recovered \$6,585,000 from reinsurers during the prior year. The P&C segment retained \$3,847,000 of the reported losses and LAE from catastrophe 46 during 2011.

Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) and commissions totaled \$11,267,000 compared to \$12,168,000 for the same period last year; a decrease of \$901,000. The primary reason for the decrease was a reduction in premium revenue in the P&C segment.

General and administrative expenses decreased \$44,000, ending 2012 at \$8,790,000 compared to \$8,834,000 for the same period last year. Although general and administrative expenses were down, management continues to focus on this area as we seek ways to maximize efficiency and reduce costs by streamlining processes and cross-training employees across both insurance segments of the Company.

Taxes, licenses and fees were comparable at \$1,846,000 in 2012 compared to \$1,885,000 for the same period last year. As a percent of premium revenue, taxes, license and fees for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were 3.6% and 3.4%, respectively. Premium taxes were moderately higher due to shifts in P&C gross written premium with declines in surplus lines states in which we incur no premium taxes and increases in gross written premium in states in which we typically incur higher premium taxes, principally Alabama and Georgia.

Litigation settlement and defense costs were \$13,328,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$1,626,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011. On June 18, 2012, the Company settled longstanding litigation related to the Company's sale of Mobile Attic, Inc. which was the primary reason for the increase in the current year compared to the prior year. The Company believed that the damages claimed in the litigation were excessive in amount and that a substantial portion of the claimed damages was unrelated to the Company's involvement in the Mobile Attic transaction. However, with no guidance on damages, in the event of an excessive verdict we would have faced

significant liquidity constraints in supporting a potentially long, expensive and arduous appeal process. Therefore, after careful consideration, management made a decision to reach a settlement agreement. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, the Company will pay a total of \$13 million to the plaintiff. In order to manage the liquidity constraints, the settlement will be in the form of an interest-bearing note with amounts to be paid over nine years with the ability to defer payments in years in which the Company's P&C subsidiaries incur substantial catastrophe losses thus allowing capital management flexibility in the P&C subsidiaries. Under the terms of the agreement, the annual debt service on the note cannot be less than the dividends paid to our shareholders in the last twelve months. The Company made an initial installment payment of \$2,500,000 in September 2012. The remaining principal will be repaid in nine annual installments of \$1,167,000. Accrued and unpaid interest shall be payable with each installment of principal based on the prime rate published in the Wall Street Journal plus 1%. Additional information related to the settlement can be found in the liquidity and capital resources section of the Management Discussion and Analysis as well as in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements.

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was up \$127,000 from the prior year at \$1,280,000 compared to \$1,153,000 in 2011. Interest expense related to litigation settlement (discussed above) in the holding company was the primary reason for the increase in 2012 compared to 2011.

The Company had an income tax benefit totaling \$4,393,000 in 2012 compared to income tax benefit totaling \$2,643,000 in 2011. Primary components of the income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 included deferred tax benefits associated with the litigation settlement of \$3,145,000 and \$425,000, respectively and deferred tax benefits associated with NOL carryforward of \$1,348,000 and \$1,363,000, respectively. Deferred tax assets related to AMT credit in 2012 were \$246,000 compared to \$0 in 2011. The Company exhausted all net operating losses available for carry back to prior periods in 2011.

The Company ended 2012 with a net loss of \$6,671,000 compared to a net loss of \$4,956,000 for the same period last year. The litigation settlement and \$3.4 million in pretax Hurricane Isaac losses mentioned above were the primary reasons for the net loss in 2012. The claims incurred from cat events and adverse underwriting results in the automobile program were the primary contributing factors to the net loss in 2011.

Stockholder Equity and Book Value per Share

Stockholders equity for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$30,227,000 compared to \$38,015,000 at December 31, 2011; a decrease of \$7,788,000 or 20.5%. The change in stockholders equity is composed of dividends paid to shareholders of \$802,000 and a net loss of \$6,671,000 as well as accumulated other comprehensive income, primarily decreases in accumulated unrealized capital gains, totaling \$101,000 and unrealized losses on interest rate swap totaling \$214,000. Year-end book value per share, defined as stockholders equity divided by common shares outstanding of 2,466,600, was \$12.25 at December 31, 2012 compared to \$15.41 at December 31, 2011.

Industry Segment Data

Premium revenues for The National Security Group's two operating segments (Life segment, Property and Casualty segment) are summarized as follows (amounts in thousands):

Premium revenues:

| | 2012 | % | 2011 | % |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Life, accident and health insurance | \$ 6,609 | 12.8% | \$ 6,870 | 12.2% |
| Property and casualty insurance | 45,206 | 87.2% | 49,373 | 87.8% |
| | <u>\$ 51,815</u> | <u>100.0%</u> | <u>\$ 56,243</u> | <u>100.0%</u> |

The property and casualty segment composed 87.2% of total premium revenue in 2012 compared to 87.8% in 2011. The P&C segment is primarily composed of dwelling fire and homeowners lines of business. The life segment composed 12.8% of premium revenue in 2012 compared to 12.2% in 2011 with revenue produced primarily from life, accident and supplemental health insurance products.

The following discussion outlines more specific information with regard to the individual operating segments of the Company along with non-insurance related information (primarily administration expenses) associated with the insurance holding company.

Life and Accident and Health Insurance Operations:

Our life segment is the smaller of our insurance segments contributing 12.8% of total insurance premium revenue in 2012 and 12.2% in 2011. Premium revenues and operating income for the life segment for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 are summarized below (amounts in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------------|----------------|
| REVENUE | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 6,609 | \$ 6,870 |
| Net investment income | 2,029 | 1,939 |
| Net realized investment gains | 1,635 | 43 |
| Other income | 2 | 3 |
| | <u>10,275</u> | <u>8,855</u> |
| BENEFITS AND EXPENSES | | |
| Policyholder benefits paid or provided | 5,294 | 5,189 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 938 | 774 |
| Commissions | 468 | 533 |
| General and administrative expenses | 2,009 | 2,081 |
| Insurance taxes, licenses and fees | 218 | 316 |
| Interest expense | 53 | 60 |
| | <u>8,980</u> | <u>8,953</u> |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | <u>\$ 1,295</u> | <u>\$ (98)</u> |

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011:

NSIC premium accounted for 12.8% of total consolidated premium revenue for 2012. Premium revenue in NSIC was \$6,609,000 at December 31, 2012 compared to \$6,870,000 for the same period last year; a decrease of 3.8%. The \$261,000 decrease in gross premium revenue was primarily due to the \$216,000 or 7.5% decline in ordinary business related to the independent agent distribution method. The home service distribution method also contributed to the overall decline in premium revenue ending 2012 down 2.1% compared to the same period last year. NSIC has faced challenges in growing life insurance revenue over the past two years due to new competitors in the market paying independent agent commission rates that we believe to be unprofitable long term and would contribute to short term surplus strain if we matched those commission rates. We intend to increase focus on niche areas and products in 2013 in order to reverse the declining revenue trend.

As mentioned previously, NSIC operates using two primary methods of distribution: home service employee agents and independent agents. While the Company has used the traditional home service distribution method since its founding in 1947, the independent agent distribution method has become the largest source of renewal business and new business production over the past decade. For 2012, the home service and independent agent distribution methods accounted for 32.8% and 62.4%, respectively of NSIC premium revenue.

Net investment income was \$2,029,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$1,939,000 for the same period last year. Investment income in NSIC is generated from securities held in our investment portfolio as well as mortgage and policy loan interest. The \$90,000 increase was primarily related to a 1% increase in invested assets in 2012 compared to 2011.

NSIC ended 2012 with net realized investment gains totaling \$1,635,000 compared to \$43,000 for the same period last year. The primary reason for the \$1,592,000 increase in net realized investment gains was increased selling activity in 2012 compared to 2011. A primary focus during the current year was to moderately reduce the equity portfolio in order to increase the allocation to fixed income. These efforts were made to improve duration matching of liabilities and strengthen regulatory cash flow testing results. Net realized capital gains were also impacted during the current year by recognized other-than-temporary impairment losses on one security totaling \$87,000; however, we had recoveries from previously recognized other-than-temporary impairments totaling \$364,000. The life segment recognized other-than-temporary impairment losses totaling \$398,000 during 2011 with recoveries of previously recognized other-than-temporary impairments of \$384,000.

Policyholder claims were \$5,294,000 through December 31, 2012 compared to \$5,189,000 through December 31, 2011; an increase of \$105,000. The primary reason for higher claims in the current year compared to the prior year was a 15% increase in death and maturity benefit incurred losses.

Deferred policy acquisition cost amortization and commission expenses increased \$99,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012 at \$1,406,000 compared to \$1,307,000 for the same period last year; an increase of 7.6%. The increase in expense was primarily due to a reduction in cost capitalized in the current year to a change in accounting rules related to limitations on capitalization of costs associated with unsuccessful sales in life and A&H insurance products.

General and administrative expenses were \$2,009,000 in 2012 compared to \$2,081,000 in 2011. As a percent of earned premium, general and administrative expenses were virtually unchanged ending December 31, 2012 and 2011 at 30.4% and 30.3%, respectively. Although general and administrative expenses decreased in 2012 compared to 2011, as a percent of premium revenue, they were slightly higher. Historically, general and administrative expenses in our life segment have run higher than industry averages due to the small size of the subsidiary. Management continues to review processes to find ways to maximize efficiency and reduce costs and is currently looking into employee cross-training opportunities between insurance segments of the Company to help achieve this goal. However, due to the size of our life insurance subsidiary, reduction of general expenses will continue to be a challenge.

For the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, insurance taxes, licenses and fees were \$218,000 and \$316,000, respectively. As a percent of earned premium, insurance taxes, licenses and fees were 3.3% in 2012 compared to 4.6% in 2011. The primary reasons for the \$98,000 decrease were the 3.8% decline in premium revenue combined with an increase in fees in the prior year associated with a periodic examination which concluded during the first half of 2011.

NSIC had interest expense of \$53,000 in 2012 compared to \$60,000 in 2011. As a percent of earned premium, interest expense was 0.8% at December 31, 2012 compared to 0.9% at December 31, 2011.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the life segment had year-to-date pretax net income of \$1,295,000 compared to a pre-tax net loss of \$98,000 for the same period last year. Although the results were better in the current year compared to the prior year, the primary reason for the improvement was the \$1,592,000 increase in realized capital gains. As mentioned previously, we will continue to face challenges due to the small size of our life insurance subsidiary due to scale; however, reduction of expenses will remain a primary focus area with the goal of improved operational results from the life segment.

Property & Casualty Operations:

Property and casualty operations constitute our largest segment composing 87.2% and 87.8% of our total premium revenue in 2012 and 2011, respectively. Premium revenues and operating income for the P&C segment for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 are summarized below:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|
| REVENUE | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 45,206 | \$ 49,373 |
| Net investment income | 2,107 | 2,246 |
| Net realized investment gains | 1,083 | 666 |
| Other income | 718 | 916 |
| | <u>49,114</u> | <u>53,201</u> |
| BENEFITS AND EXPENSES | | |
| Policyholder benefits paid or provided | 28,811 | 38,836 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 2,773 | 3,246 |
| Commissions | 7,088 | 7,615 |
| General and administrative expenses | 6,262 | 6,322 |
| Insurance taxes, licenses and fees | 1,628 | 1,569 |
| Interest expense | — | 2 |
| | <u>46,562</u> | <u>57,590</u> |
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES | <u>\$ 2,552</u> | <u>\$ (4,389)</u> |

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011:

Property and casualty segment premium revenue for 2012 was \$45,206,000 compared to \$49,373,000 for the same period last year; a decrease of 8.4%. The primary reasons for the decrease in premium revenue in 2012 compared to 2011 were the discontinuance of the automobile program in addition to increases in reinsurance cost and the addition of RPP coverage.

Production of premium revenue in the P&C segment is primarily driven by our dwelling fire and homeowner lines of business. The following table provides premiums earned by line of business:

| | 2012 | | 2011 | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| Line of Business | Premium Earned | % of NPE | Premium Earned | % of NPE | 2012 Increase (Decrease) over 2011 |
| Dwelling Fire/Allied Lines | \$ 28,618,000 | 63.3 % | \$ 27,507,000 | 55.7 % | 4.0 % |
| Homeowners | 23,287,000 | 51.5 % | 24,910,000 | 50.5 % | (6.5)% |
| Ocean Marine | 1,090,000 | 2.4 % | 1,205,000 | 2.4 % | (9.5)% |
| Private Passenger Automobile | 468,000 | 1.0 % | 2,993,000 | 6.1 % | (84.4)% |
| Commercial Automobile | 6,000 | — % | 363,000 | 0.7 % | (98.3)% |
| Reinsurance Ceded | (6,824,000) | 15.1 % | (5,984,000) | (12.1)% | 14.0 % |
| Reinstatement Premium | (1,439,000) | (3.2)% | (1,621,000) | (3.3)% | (11.2)% |
| Net Premium Earned | <u>\$ 45,206,000</u> | <u>100.0 %</u> | <u>\$ 49,373,000</u> | <u>100.0 %</u> | <u>(8.4)%</u> |

P&C segment premium revenue was down 8.4% in 2012 compared to 2011. One primary reason for the decrease in premium revenue was the termination of the private passenger non-standard and commercial automobile programs. Due to unprofitable underwriting results for multiple years, the decision was made by management to discontinue the automobile program in late 2011. The termination of the automobile programs led to a \$2,882,000 or 85.9% decrease

in automobile premium revenue in the current year compared to the prior year. No auto policies were in-force at December 31, 2012.

Another contributing factor to the decline in P&C premium revenue was a slight decrease related to our property programs. For the year ended December 31, 2012, premium revenue from our property programs totaled \$51,905,000 compared to \$52,417,000 for the same period last year; a decrease of 1%. A re-underwriting project in the first half of 2012 led to a net decline in homeowners policies in-force contributing to the decline in homeowners premium but should help improve future underwriting profits.

An additional factor contributing to the decline in current year premium revenue was an increase in ceded premium; up 14% in 2012 compared to 2011. The Company maintains catastrophe reinsurance coverage to mitigate loss exposure from catastrophic events. The premium related to this coverage increased approximately 19% in 2012 with our deductible increasing to \$4 million from \$3.5 million in the prior year. In addition to the 19% increase in catastrophe reinsurance cost, we also incurred \$1,325,000 related to reinsurance premium protection (RPP) in 2012. The decision to add the RPP coverage was made by management in an effort to reduce earnings volatility in the P&C segment. The RPP coverage reduces our risk from a major catastrophe and helps strengthen our capital position.

Under the catastrophe reinsurance program for 2012, the Company retains the first \$4,000,000 in losses from each event. Reinsurance coverage is maintained in four layers as follows:

| Layer | Reinsurers' Limits of Liability |
|--------------|--|
| First Layer | 95% of \$6,000,000 in excess of \$4,000,000 |
| Second Layer | 95% of \$7,500,000 in excess of \$10,000,000 |
| Third Layer | 100% of \$25,000,000 in excess of \$17,500,000 |
| Fourth Layer | 100% of \$30,000,000 in excess of \$42,500,000 |

Additional details regarding the structure of the current year agreement can be found in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements.

A catastrophe event in late April 2011, which exceeded our single event retention, triggered additional ceded premium payments (reinstatement premium) in order to reinstate coverage under our catastrophe reinsurance agreement. This catastrophe reinstatement premium was \$1,439,000 in 2012 compared to \$1,621,000 in 2011.

Net investment income totaled \$2,107,000 in 2012 compared to \$2,246,000 in 2011; a decrease of \$139,000. The decrease in investment income in the P&C segment was primarily attributable to a decline in invested assets in 2012 compared to 2011. For the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, P&C segment invested assets were \$51,163,000 and \$56,154,000, respectively; a decrease of 8.9%. Partially offsetting the decline was an increase in investment income related to the company owned life insurance (COLI) value which increased \$131,000 in the current year compared to the same period last year.

The P&C segment ended 2012 with realized capital gains totaling \$1,083,000 compared to \$666,000 for the same period last year. The 62.6% increase in realized capital gains was primarily associated with an increase in selling activity during the current year compared to the prior year. Realized capital gains from the sale of three securities during 2012 accounted for 66.8% of total realized capital gains for the current year. The realization of capital gains in the investment portfolio is influenced by both market conditions and liquidity requirements and therefore can vary significantly from year to year. Other activities, such as tax planning strategies, may also lead to significant variation in realized capital gains from year to year. The P&C segment was not impacted by the write-down of other-than-temporary impairments in 2012 or 2011.

Other income was \$718,000 in 2012 compared to \$916,000 for the same period last year; a \$198,000 or 21.6% decrease. Other income consists primarily of fees related to the issuance of our property and automobile insurance policies as well as miscellaneous income. As a percent of net earned premium, other income was 1.6% in 2012 compared to 1.9% in 2011. Due to the termination of our automobile programs, billing, payment and policy fees declined, which triggered the overall reduction in other income for the current year.

Claims were \$28,811,000 in 2012 compared to \$38,836,000 for the same period last year; a decrease of \$10,025,000 or 25.8%. The primary reason for the decrease was a reduction in losses from tornado, wind and hail related cat

events as well as claims associated with our automobile line of business. However, partially offsetting this decrease was adverse claim activity related to Hurricane Isaac which predominantly impacted Louisiana and Mississippi in late August 2012.

The gross losses and adjustment expenses reported in the P&C segment from claims associated with cat events during 2012 totaled \$4,295,000. These claims were incurred from nine separate cat events and accounted for 14.9% of P&C segment claims during the current year. In comparison, the P&C segment had gross losses and adjustment expenses reported from seventeen cat events during 2011 totaling \$14,040,000 or 36.2% of P&C segment claims during the prior year.

Of the nine cat events during 2012, the most notable was Hurricane Issac which made landfall late in August 2012. Reported losses and LAE from this cat event totaled \$3,390,000 and accounted for 78.9% of total claims related to 2012 cat events. The Company had 1,147 claims reported from Hurricane Isaac with an average severity per claim of \$3,000. Because the losses and LAE from Hurricane Isaac did not exceed the 2012 catastrophe reinsurance retention of \$4 million, the Company did not have any ceded losses or LAE related to this cat event.

A cat event on April 25th-27th, 2011 (catastrophe 46) was the primary source of the overall losses and LAE incurred during 2011. The reported losses and LAE from cat 46 totaled \$10,432,000. The reinsurance deductible for 2011 was \$3,500,000. Because the P&C segment losses and LAE reported from catastrophe 46 exceeded the 2011 reinsurance deductible, the P&C segment recovered \$6,585,000 from reinsurers during the prior year. The P&C segment retained \$3,847,000 of the reported losses and LAE from catastrophe 46 during 2011 after reinsurance. In our catastrophe reinsurance model results, which we utilize to establish reinsurance coverage limits, this tornado event exceeded a one in 250 year return period, which means that this event had a probability of occurrence in the models of less than four tenths of one percentage point in any given year.

We routinely evaluate our claims frequency and severity statistics in order to better understand the nature of our risks and aid in the loss reserve liability evaluation process. Claims frequency is a measure of the number of claims incurred during a measurement period regardless of amount. Claims severity is a measure of the average dollar amount of claims during a measurement period. The P&C companies incurred 1,424 claims from nine cat events in 2012 compared to 3,085 claims from seventeen cat events in 2011. The average severity per claim related to the 2012 cat events was \$3,000 compared to \$4,600 per claim (\$1,200 net of reinsurance recoveries) in 2011. The average severity per claim related to the tornado outbreak on April 25th-27th, 2011, catastrophe 46, was \$6,300 and contributed significantly to skewing 2011's average severity upward.

The personal lines automobile program ended 2012 with incurred losses and incurred LAE of \$(177,000) compared to \$4,492,000 for the same period last year. The primary reason for the decline in current year claims was reserve releases. The automobile programs were cumulatively unprofitable and produced year over year underwriting losses prior to program termination in 2012. Because of the continued lack of underwriting profits and significant claim activity associated with this program, the decision was made to terminate the program during the fourth quarter of 2011. The automobile policies are six month coverage periods; therefore, all policies have now expired. The discontinuance of the automobile program, while contributing to a further decline in premium revenue, is expected to positively impact overall underwriting results provided we do not experience any further adverse development on reported claims, many of which are liability coverage claims which tend to take longer to settle.

The P&C segment had fewer losses and LAE from fire related claims reported in 2012 compared to 2011. For 2012, the P&C segment had reported fire losses and LAE of \$12,728,000 compared to \$14,149,000 for the same period last year; a decrease of 10%. The P&C segment had 525 claims reported from fire losses and LAE in 2012 compared to 596 fire claims reported during 2011 with an average severity per claim of \$24,000 for both years.

The P&C segment continued to be involved in litigation pertaining to claims from Hurricane Katrina which impacted our coverage areas in Louisiana and Mississippi in August 2005. The cumulative claims associated with Hurricane Katrina exceeded the \$37,500,000 million upper limit of the reinsurance agreement in effect during 2005. For the year-ended December 31, 2012, claims exceeding the upper limits of our reinsurance related to Hurricane Katrina totaled \$193,000. Nine claims remain open from Hurricane Katrina and based on presently available information, management believes reserves are adequate to cover the ultimate liability.

Deferred policy acquisition costs totaled \$2,773,000 in 2012 compared to \$3,246,000 in 2011. Deferred policy acquisition costs were comparable at 6.1% of premium revenue for 2012; down 0.5 percentage points compared to

6.6% of premium revenue for the same period last year. Deferred policy acquisition costs consist of amortization of previously capitalized distribution costs which are primarily commissions.

Commission expense for 2012 was \$7,088,000 (15.7% of premium revenue) compared to \$7,615,000 (15.4% of premium revenue) for the same period last year. The primary reason for the \$527,000 or 6.9% decrease in commission expense during 2012 compared to 2011 was a decline in contingent commissions payments to our general agents.

General and administrative expenses totaled \$6,262,000 in 2012 compared to \$6,322,000 in 2011; a 0.9% decrease. The primary reason for the \$60,000 decline in general and administrative expenses was a reduction in costs associated with the discontinued automobile programs along with continued company wide cost reduction efforts.

Insurance taxes, licenses and fees were \$1,628,000 in 2012 compared to \$1,569,000 for the same period last year. Insurance taxes, licenses and fees were up slightly at 3.6% of premium revenue in 2012 compared to 3.2% in 2011. Although premium revenue in the P&C segment was down in 2012 compared to 2011, there was an up tick in premium revenue in a couple of states in which we write business. The increases led to higher premium taxes in the current year compared to the prior year for these states and was the primary reason for the overall increase in insurance taxes, licenses and fees.

The P&C segment ended 2012 with pre-tax net income of \$2,552,000 compared to a pre-tax net loss of \$4,389,000 for the same period last year. The primary reason for the net income in the current year was the \$10,025,000 decrease in claims in 2012 compared to 2011. However, partially offsetting the decrease in claims was \$3,390,000 in reported losses and LAE related to Hurricane Isaac in 2012. In addition, decreases in deferred acquisition costs and commissions of \$473,000 and \$527,000, respectively, coupled with an increase of \$417,000 in realized investment gains all contributed to the increase in net income in 2012 compared to 2011. The combination of declining revenue and increased claims led to the negative results in the prior year.

Property & Casualty Combined Ratio:

A measure used to analyze a property/casualty insurer's underwriting performance is the combined ratio. It is the sum of two ratios:

- a. The loss and loss expense ratio, which measures losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred as a percentage of premium revenue.
- b. The underwriting expense ratio, which measures underwriting expenses incurred (e.g., agents' commissions, premium taxes, and other administrative underwriting expenses) as a percentage of premium revenue.

The results of these ratios for the past two years were:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|----------------------------|------|------|
| Loss and LAE Ratio | 64% | 79% |
| Underwriting Expense Ratio | 39% | 38% |
| Combined Ratio | 103% | 117% |

Maintaining a combined ratio below 100%, which indicates that the company is making an underwriting profit, depends upon many factors including hurricane activity in the Gulf of Mexico and the southern Atlantic coast, strict underwriting of risks, and adequate and timely premium rates. A major hurricane hitting the coast of Alabama, Georgia, South Carolina, Mississippi, Louisiana, or Texas could cause the combined ratio to fluctuate materially from prior years. The property and casualty subsidiaries maintain catastrophe reinsurance to minimize the effect of a major catastrophe; however, prohibitive catastrophe reinsurance costs associated with maintaining lower deductibles prevent us from further mitigating hurricane risks.

During 2012, the P&C segment experienced a decrease of 14 percentage points in the combined ratio compared to 2011. The primary reason for the decrease was a \$10,025,000 decline in incurred losses from catastrophe related tornado, wind and hail related claims. Cat events increased the current year combined ratio by 9.5 percentage points compared to 23.5 percentage points in 2011. While cat events are unpredictable and beyond the control of management, measures have been taken to improve underwriting results in the P&C segment. Management also continues to evaluate rate adequacy, exposure concentrations and risk management strategies in order to improve underwriting profitability and reduce earnings volatility.

Non-insurance Operations:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| REVENUE | | |
| Net investment income | 91 | 76 |
| Net realized investment gains | 72 | (40) |
| | <u>163</u> | <u>36</u> |
| BENEFITS AND EXPENSES | | |
| General and administrative expenses | 519 | 431 |
| Litigation settlement and defense costs | 13,328 | 1,626 |
| Interest expense | 1,227 | 1,091 |
| | <u>15,074</u> | <u>3,148</u> |
| LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES | <u>\$ (14,911)</u> | <u>\$ (3,112)</u> |

The non-insurance operations of the Company consist of our parent company, The National Security Group, Inc. The National Security Group has no material sources of revenue and relies almost entirely on dividends from subsidiaries to pay expenses. Dividends from subsidiaries are subject to insurance department approval and are subject to statutory restrictions. Subsidiary dividends are eliminated upon consolidation of the subsidiaries in the audited financial statements included herein. General and administrative expenses totaled \$519,000 compared to \$431,000 for the same period last year; a 20.4% increase. The expenses of NSG are primarily associated with the public listing of our stock, taxes and fees, and directors' fees. The most significant item impacting the increase in general expenses were increases in interest costs related to deferred compensation and bank charges related to the line of credit obtained during the year.

Litigation settlement and defense costs incurred by NSG for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 totaled \$13,328,000 and \$1,626,000, respectively. The \$11,826,000 increase is directly related to the settlement of the Mobile Attic litigation matter as discussed in detail in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements with additional commentary included in Liquidity and Capital Resources. On June 20, 2012, the Company and The Bagley Family Revocable Trust (Bagley Trust) reached a settlement agreement to dispose of the Mobile Attic related action. Under terms of the settlement, the Company agreed to pay Bagley Trust \$13,000,000. The Company paid \$2,500,000 to the Bagley Trust on September 18, 2012, with the balance payable in nine equal annual installments beginning in November 2013 with a final payment in 2021. The settlement agreement contains an option for the Company to defer payments in years in which its P&C subsidiaries incur substantial catastrophe losses, providing some flexibility in regards to capital management. The settlement agreement also requires annual debt service payments to equal or exceed any payment of dividends to shareholders in the preceding twelve months.

Interest cost remains a significant expense for NSG. NSG's borrowings include \$25,339,000 in long-term debt and \$1,292,000 in short-term notes payable and current portion of long-term debt. Long-term debt is composed of subordinated debentures, an installment note and a secured line of credit. The \$12,372,000 in subordinated debentures consists of two trust preferred security offerings. The first being \$9,279,000 issued in December 2005 and the second being \$3,093,000 issued in June 2007. The primary use for these proceeds was to add capital to the property and casualty subsidiaries following the hurricanes of 2004 and 2005. A \$13,000,000 promissory note was executed to finance the Mobile Attic related settlement obligation. As of December 31, 2012, a total of \$10,500,000 (\$1,167,000 included in short-term debt) was outstanding with principal payments due in equal installments of \$1,167,000 payable each November beginning in 2013. The Company also has a \$4,000,000 secured line of credit obtained in September 2012. As of December 31, 2012, \$3,634,000 was drawn on this line. The line of credit is secured by timber property. NSG maintains an unsecured line of credit in the amount of \$700,000 (\$125,000 drawn at December 31, 2012 and \$485,000 drawn at December 31, 2011). Total interest expense for the Group associated with these borrowings in both 2012 and 2011 was \$1,227,000 and \$1,091,000, respectively.

Investments:

The life insurance and property/casualty subsidiaries primarily invest in highly liquid investment grade debt and equity securities. The types of assets in which the Company can invest are influenced by various state insurance laws which prescribe qualified investment assets. While working within the parameters of these prescribed regulatory requirements

as well as liquidity and capital needs, the Company considers investment quality, investment return, asset/liability matching and composition of the investment portfolio when choosing investments.

At December 31, 2012, the Company's holdings in debt securities amounted to 77.7% of total investments and 57.3% of total assets. The Company utilizes the ratings of various Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations when classifying fixed maturity investments by quality rating. The most significant shift in quality ratings occurring in 2011 was the downgrade of US Government debt by S&P from their highest rating of AAA to AA+. Moody's and Fitch continued to carry US Government debt at their highest rating throughout 2012. Due to the split ratings of the major rating agencies, US Government and agency debt is shown in the table below in the category of AAA/AA+.

The following is a breakdown of the Company's fixed maturity investments by quality rating:

| S&P or Equivalent Ratings | % of Total Bond Portfolio | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| AAA/AA+* | 31.35% | 47.26% |
| AA | 3.32% | 6.27% |
| AA- | 11.90% | 15.16% |
| A+ | 4.89% | 4.39% |
| A | 6.85% | 4.63% |
| A- | 8.30% | 6.61% |
| BBB+ | 8.11% | 3.89% |
| BBB | 8.18% | 5.33% |
| BBB- | 12.82% | 2.81% |
| Below Investment Grade | 4.28% | 3.65% |

*Due to the downgrade of United States Government bonds, AAA and AA+ are presented consolidated for comparability. Most of the securities within this combined category are United States Government and Agency bonds, which are now rated AA+.

As depicted in the table above, the Company's investment allocation shifted slightly to lower quality investment grade securities. This shift occurred primarily in the life insurance subsidiary and resulted from an increased allocation in corporate bonds. Most of the shift was composed of purchases of intermediate term corporate bonds. While credit quality dropped moderately, the corporate bonds provided increased yield and improved cash flow predictability, better matching duration and crediting rate of insurance liabilities in what continues to be a challenging interest rate environment. The Company closely monitors its investment holdings and believes the slight reduction in credit quality will be offset by higher yields versus lower risk alternatives. The Company reviews investment allocations throughout the year and makes adjustments within the portfolio as needed.

A summary of debt and equity securities available-for-sale with unrealized losses as of December 31, 2012 along with related fair value, aggregated by length of time that the investments have been in a continuous unrealized loss position follows:

| | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Total | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Total Securities in a Loss Position |
| Fixed maturities | | | | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$ 2,226 | \$ 31 | \$ 963 | \$ 49 | \$ 3,189 | \$ 80 | 7 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 2,904 | 77 | 165 | 8 | 3,069 | 85 | 8 |
| Private label mortgage backed securities | 206 | 8 | 65 | 1 | 271 | 9 | 2 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 356 | 3 | — | — | 356 | 3 | 1 |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies | 495 | 2 | — | — | 495 | 2 | 1 |
| Equity securities | — | — | 829 | 457 | 829 | 457 | 1 |
| | <u>\$ 6,187</u> | <u>\$ 121</u> | <u>\$ 2,022</u> | <u>\$ 515</u> | <u>\$ 8,209</u> | <u>\$ 636</u> | <u>20</u> |

Other-than-temporary impairments and credit quality

At December 31, 2012, 4.28% of total investments in the fixed income portfolio were classified as below investment grade. Management has evaluated each security in a significant unrealized loss position. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company realized \$87,000 in other-than-temporary impairments. Most of the remaining unrealized losses in the fixed income portfolio, for which no impairment has been realized, are interest rate driven as opposed to credit quality driven and management believes no ultimate loss will be realized. In evaluating whether or not the equity loss positions were other-than-temporary impairments, Management evaluated financial information on each company and where available reviewed analyst reports from at least two independent sources. Based on a review of the available financial information, the prospect for future earnings of each company and consideration of the Company's intent and ability to hold the securities until market values recovered, it was determined that the remaining securities in an accumulated loss position in the portfolio were temporary impairments. There were no recoveries on securities for a portion of which other-than-temporary impairments were realized during 2012. During 2011, recoveries on securities for a portion of which other-than-temporary impairments were realized totaled \$384,000.

The amortized cost and aggregate fair value of debt securities at December 31, 2012, by contractual maturity, are as follows (dollars in thousands). Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Available-for-sale securities: | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 1,045 | \$ 1,062 |
| Due after one year through five years | 15,410 | 16,825 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 23,364 | 24,813 |
| Due after ten years | 31,859 | 33,594 |
| Total | <u>\$ 71,678</u> | <u>\$ 76,294</u> |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ — | \$ — |
| Due after one year through five years | 222 | 230 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 305 | 327 |
| Due after ten years | 975 | 1,052 |
| Total | <u>\$ 1,502</u> | <u>\$ 1,609</u> |

The amortized cost and aggregate fair values of investments in securities at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

| December 31, 2012 | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$ 31,387 | \$ 2,430 | \$ 80 | \$ 33,737 |
| Trust preferred securities | 537 | 50 | — | 587 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 8,595 | 175 | 85 | 8,685 |
| Private label mortgage backed securities | 7,679 | 294 | 9 | 7,964 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 16,160 | 1,359 | 3 | 17,516 |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and agencies | 7,320 | 487 | 2 | 7,805 |
| Total fixed maturities | 71,678 | 4,795 | 179 | 76,294 |
| Equity securities | 3,191 | 2,398 | 457 | 5,132 |
| Total | \$ 74,869 | \$ 7,193 | \$ 636 | \$ 81,426 |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | |
| Mortgage backed securities | \$ 1,194 | \$ 91 | \$ — | \$ 1,285 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 145 | 2 | — | 147 |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and agencies | 163 | 14 | — | 177 |
| Total | \$ 1,502 | \$ 107 | \$ — | \$ 1,609 |

| December 31, 2011 | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$ 19,907 | \$ 1,340 | \$ 267 | \$ 20,980 |
| Trust preferred securities | 537 | — | 58 | 479 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 7,587 | 307 | 23 | 7,871 |
| Private label mortgage backed securities | 9,716 | 199 | 62 | 9,853 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 18,355 | 1,142 | 15 | 19,482 |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and agencies | 13,878 | 534 | 3 | 14,409 |
| Total fixed maturities | 69,980 | 3,522 | 428 | 73,074 |
| Equity securities | 4,931 | 4,206 | 590 | 8,547 |
| Total | \$ 74,911 | \$ 7,728 | \$ 1,018 | \$ 81,621 |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | |
| Mortgage backed securities | \$ 2,026 | \$ 125 | \$ — | \$ 2,151 |
| Private label mortgage backed securities | 55 | 1 | — | 56 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 996 | 50 | — | 1,046 |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and agencies | 226 | 18 | — | 244 |
| Total | \$ 3,303 | \$ 194 | \$ — | \$ 3,497 |

As shown in the tables above, the Company experienced an overall increase in fixed income securities in 2012 compared to the portfolio composition at December 31, 2011. This shift was primarily into corporate debt securities in the life insurance subsidiary. As discussed previously the shift in the portfolio will allow for greater yields and provide a moderate increase in duration.

Mortgage backed security investments

The insurance subsidiaries' fixed maturity securities include residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) of \$17.5 million and \$19.3 million at amortized value at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We own no commercial mortgage backed securities. We also have no material direct exposure in sub-prime mortgage loans in our private label RMBS portfolio.

The mortgage-backed bonds are subject to risks associated with variable prepayments of the underlying mortgage loans. Prepayments cause those securities to have different actual maturities than were expected at the time of purchase. Securities that are purchased at a premium to par value and prepay faster than expected will incur a reduction in yield or loss. Securities that are purchased at a discount to par value and prepay faster than expected will generate an increase in yield or gain. The degree to which a security is susceptible to either gains or losses is influenced by the difference between amortized cost and par value, the relative sensitivity of the underlying mortgages backing the assets to prepayments in a changing interest rate environment and the repayment priority of the securities in the overall securitization structure. In order to minimize risk associated with prepayments on collateralized mortgage obligations, the Company typically invests primarily in more predictable planned amortization class (PAC) structures of CMO's and typically avoids investment in CMO's priced at significant premiums above par value.

As for the composition of the RMBS portfolio, agency mortgage backed securities compose 56% and 49% of the RMBS portfolio at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The remainder of the RMBS portfolio is composed of private label mortgage backed securities. These securities consist primarily of conventional 15 and 30 year loans with an average borrower FICO score at origination of 740. We own no mortgage backed securities with direct exposure to subprime loans and less than 1% of the RMBS portfolio is composed of loans subject to rate resets. Three securities in the private label mortgage backed security portfolio are rated below investment grade and compose less than 2% of total invested assets.

Investment portfolio income

Investment returns with respect to the investment portfolio for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net investment income | \$ 4,227 | \$ 4,261 |
| Average current yield on investments | 4.2% | 4.1% |
| Total return on investments | 6.8% | 6.6% |
| Net realized gains on investments (before taxes) | \$ 2,790 | \$ 669 |
| Change in accumulated net unrealized gains (before income taxes) | \$ (153) | \$ 1,792 |

The Company was able to maintain current yield on investments primarily by shifting invested dollars into investment grade securities with slightly lower credit quality. As discussed previously, the shift occurred primarily within the life insurance subsidiary in order to increase yield and extend duration in order to better match life company liabilities.

Repurchase agreements

The Company's subsidiaries maintain repurchase agreements under which the policy requires 102% (100% minimum) of the fair value of the securities purchased to be maintained as collateral. Cash collateral received is invested in short-term investments. The repurchase investments are limited to government securities that are highly liquid. The Company does not have any reverse repurchase agreements.

Liquidity and capital resources:

Due to regulatory restrictions, the majority of the Company's cash is required to be invested in investment-grade securities to provide ample protection for policyholders. The liabilities of the property and casualty insurance subsidiaries are of various terms, and therefore, those subsidiaries invest in securities with various effective maturities spread over periods usually not exceeding 10 years. The liabilities of the life insurance subsidiary are typically of a longer duration, and therefore, a higher percentage of securities in the life insurance subsidiary are invested for periods exceeding 10 years.

The liquidity requirements for the Company are primarily met by funds generated from operations of the life insurance and property/casualty insurance subsidiaries. All operations and virtually all investments are maintained by the insurance subsidiaries. Premium and investment income as well as maturities and sales of invested assets provide the primary sources of cash for both the life and property/casualty businesses, while applications of cash are applied by both businesses to the payment of policy benefits, the cost of acquiring new business (principally commissions), operating expenses, purchases of new investments, and in the case of life insurance, policy loans.

Virtually all invested assets of the Company are held in the insurance subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2012, the maturity schedule for all bonds and notes held by the Company, stated at amortized cost, was as follows (dollars in thousands):

| <u>Maturity</u> | <u>Available- for-Sale</u> | <u>Held-to- Maturity</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>Percentage of Total</u> |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Maturity in less than 1 year | \$ 1,045 | \$ — | \$ 1,045 | 1.43% |
| Maturity in 1-5 years | 15,410 | 222 | 15,632 | 21.36% |
| Maturity in 5-10 years | 23,364 | 305 | 23,669 | 32.34% |
| Maturity after 10 years | 31,859 | 975 | 32,834 | 44.87% |
| | <u>\$ 71,678</u> | <u>\$ 1,502</u> | <u>\$ 73,180</u> | <u>100.00%</u> |

It should be noted that the above table represents maturities based on stated maturity. Due to call and prepayment features inherent in some debt securities, actual repayment, or effective maturities, will differ from stated maturities. The Company routinely evaluates the impact of changing interest rates on the projected maturities of bonds in the portfolio and actively manages the portfolio in order to minimize the impact of interest rate risk. Currently, for every 100 basis point change in interest rates, the Company will incur a change in market price of the fixed income portfolio of approximately 4%.

At December 31, 2012, the Company had aggregate equity capital, unrealized investment gains (net of income taxes) and retained earnings of \$30,227,000, down \$7,788,000 compared to \$38,015,000 at December 31, 2011. Components of the change in equity were a net loss of \$6,671,000, net unrealized loss on investments of \$101,000, a net unrealized loss of \$214,000 related to interest rate swaps and cash dividends paid totaling \$802,000.

The Company has \$25,339,000 of long-term debt including \$12,372,000 from the proceeds of two separate trust preferred securities issuances, \$3,634,000 drawn on a \$4,000,000 secured line of credit and an outstanding promissory note balance of \$9,333,000. The line of credit is secured by timber property transferred to the Holding Company from the P&C subsidiary. The Company also has \$1,292,000 in short-term notes payable and current portion of long-term debt. This debt includes a \$700,000 operating line of credit to allow flexibility with respect to cash management at the holding company level. The outstanding balance on the line, at December 31, 2012, was \$125,000. We had \$575,000 available at December 31, 2012, under the operating credit line. Also included in short-term debt is \$1,167,000, the current portion due on a long-term notes payable.

The Company, primarily through its insurance subsidiaries, had \$6,779,000 in cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2012, compared to \$3,393,000 at December 31, 2011. Cash used in operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 totaled \$13,706,000, compared to cash used in the same period last year totaling \$6,752,000.

Net cash provided by investing activities totaled \$4,111,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to cash provided of \$9,888,000 for the same period last year. During the prior year, investment sales were necessary to increase liquidity in order to pay storm claims in the P&C segment during the second quarter as a result of losses from catastrophe 46.

The following table reflects the anticipated cash flows associated with our short- and long-term contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| Contractual Obligations | Payments due by period | | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | Years 1 through 3 | Years 4 through 5 | More than 5 years |
| Notes payable | \$ 1,292 | \$ 1,292 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Debt obligations ¹ | \$ 25,339 | \$ — | \$ 2,568 | \$ 5,734 | \$ 17,037 |
| Interest on debt obligations ¹ | \$ 18,569 | \$ 1,646 | \$ 4,607 | \$ 2,571 | \$ 9,745 |
| Property and casualty claim reserves ² | \$ 11,214 | \$ 6,839 | \$ 3,587 | \$ 561 | \$ 227 |
| Future life insurance obligations ³ | \$ 84,706 | \$ 4,849 | \$ 12,767 | \$ 7,637 | \$ 59,453 |

¹ Long-term debt, consisting of two separate issues of trust preferred securities, a line of credit and the long-term portion of an installment note is assumed to be settled at contractual maturity. Interest on long-term debt is calculated using the interest rates in effect at December 31, 2012 for each issue. Interest on long-term debt is accrued and settled quarterly on the trust preferred securities, monthly on the line of credit and annually on the installment note. Therefore, the timing and amount of interest payments may vary from the calculated value included in the table above. These calculations do not take into account any potential prepayments. For additional information regarding long-term debt and interest on long-term debt, please see Note 8, Notes Payable and Long-term Debt, in the notes to consolidated financial statements.

² The anticipated payout of property and casualty claim reserves, which includes loss and loss adjustment expenses, are based upon historical payout patterns. Both the timing and amount of these payments may vary from the payment indicated.

³ Future life insurance obligations consist primarily of estimated future contingent benefit payments and surrender benefits on policies in force at December 31, 2012. These estimated payments are computed using assumptions for future mortality, morbidity and persistency. In contrast to this table, the majority of NSIC's obligations is recorded on the balance sheet at the current account values and do not incorporate an expectation of future market growth, interest crediting or future deposits. Therefore, the estimated future life insurance obligations presented in this table significantly exceed the liabilities recorded in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, the actual amount and timing of such payments may differ significantly from the estimated amounts. Management believes that current assets, future premiums and investment income will be sufficient to fund all future life insurance obligations.

Included in long-term debt held by the Company is the issuance of \$9,279,000 in subordinated debentures completed on December 15, 2005. The proceeds from the debentures were used to make a \$6,000,000 capital infusion in the P&C subsidiary National Security Fire and Casualty with the remainder to be held for general corporate purposes. The subordinated debentures mature December 15, 2035. It is anticipated that principal payments will not be made until the expiration of the fixed rate period on the debt in 2015. Also included in long-term debt is the issuance of \$3,093,000 in subordinated debentures completed June 21, 2007. The proceeds from the debentures were used to fund general corporate expenses, thereby reducing the amount of dividends to the Group paid by the P&C subsidiary National Security Fire & Casualty in 2007 and 2008, thereby continuing to restore capital in the P&C subsidiary National Security Fire and Casualty to pre-hurricane levels. The second issue matures June 15, 2037 and may be redeemed following the fifth anniversary of issuance. Also included in long-term debt held by the Company is a line of credit in the amount of \$4,000,000 obtained in September 2012. The line of credit is secured by timber property and had \$3,634,000 drawn at December 31, 2012. In July 2012, the Company executed an installment note in the amount of \$13,000,000 to finance the settlement obligation related to the Mobile Attic litigation. As of December 31, 2012, a total of \$10,500,000 was outstanding, \$1,167,000 included in notes payable.

In estimating the time interval for payment of property and casualty claim reserves, the Company utilized historical payment patterns. By the nature of the insurance contracts under which these liabilities exist, there can be no certainty that actual payments will fall in the periods indicated above. However, management believes that current liquidity and capital resources are sufficient to pay these obligations as they come due. Also, due to the relatively short-tail nature of the majority of the Company's property and casualty claim liabilities, management can conclude with a reasonable level of confidence that historical patterns indicate that approximately 70% of claim liabilities at the end of a given year are settled within the following two year period.

The ability of the Company to meet its commitments for timely payment of claims and other expenses depends, in addition to current cash flow, on the liquidity of its investments. The Company has relatively little exposure to lower grade fixed income investments, which might be especially subject to liquidity problems due to thinly traded markets.

The Company's liquidity requirements are primarily met by funds provided from operations of the insurance subsidiaries. The Company receives funds from its subsidiaries through payments for federal income taxes and reimbursement of expenses incurred at the corporate level for the subsidiaries. These funds are used to pay stockholder dividends, interest on debt, corporate administrative expenses, federal income taxes, and for funding investments in the subsidiaries. The Company maintains minimal liquidity in order to maximize liquidity within the insurance subsidiaries in order to support ongoing insurance operations. The Company has no separate source of revenue other than

dividends and fees from the insurance subsidiaries. Also, dividends from the insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulatory restrictions and, therefore, are limited depending on capital levels and earnings of the subsidiaries.

Our P&C segment, incurred \$3,390,000 in losses from Hurricane Isaac during the third quarter triggering current year underwriting losses in the P&C segment. These underwriting losses coupled with the transfer of timber property to the Holding company contributed to a decline in statutory capital levels in the P&C segment. These reduced capital levels, while adequate to operate our existing business, have reduced the subsidiary's ability to pay dividends upstream to the holding company in the near term.

Dividends paid from the insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulatory restrictions and prior approval of the Alabama Department of Insurance. As disclosed in Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements included in the 2012 Form 10-K, the amount that The National Security Group's insurance subsidiaries can transfer in the form of dividends to the parent company during 2013 is limited to \$1,034,000 in the life insurance subsidiary and \$2,468,000 in the property/casualty insurance subsidiary. Dividends are limited to the greater of net income (operating income for life subsidiary) or 10% of statutory capital and Regulators consider dividends paid within the preceding twelve months when calculating the available dividend capacity. Therefore, all of the above referenced dividend capacity will not be available for consideration of payment until dividends paid in the preceding twelve months have been considered on a rolling basis. The Company also has to continuously evaluate other factors such as subsidiary operating performance, subsidiary capital requirements and potential impact by rating agencies in making decisions on how much capital can be allocated for dividends to NSG. These factors are considered along with the goal of growing year over year statutory surplus in the subsidiaries and these considerations along with recent adverse impacts on regulatory surplus will likely lead to dividend payments to NSG substantially below the above referenced regulatory maximums.

In July of 2012, NSFC issued an extraordinary dividend of real estate to the holding company in order to secure a bank line of credit. The payment of this extraordinary dividend will limit NSFC's ability to pay further dividends to the parent company until August of 2013. The payment of any subsidiary dividend requires prior notice to the regulatory authorities who may disallow the dividend if, in their judgment, payment of the dividend would have an adverse effect on the surplus of the subsidiary.

The Company's subsidiaries require cash in order to fund policy acquisition costs, claims, other policy benefits, interest expense, general expenses, and dividends to the Company. Premium and investment income, as well as maturities, calls, and sales of invested assets, provide the primary sources of cash for both subsidiaries. A significant portion of the Company's investment portfolio, which is held by the insurance subsidiaries, consists of readily marketable securities, which can be sold for cash.

Due to the erosion in capital levels in the P&C subsidiaries, we have made changes in order to reduce capital/surplus strain in the P&C subsidiaries and help protect capital levels from substantial further erosion resulting from a major catastrophic event. These changes include a combination of reduction in underwriting leverage and an increase in catastrophe reinsurance protection. A summary of each change follows:

- In late 2011, we discontinued both our private passenger and commercial automobile programs. These two programs accounted for approximately 6% of net written premium in 2011, down from nearly 10% in 2010 but had produced significant underwriting losses over the last five years. Due to a combination of reduced capital levels and a view that it would take some time to achieve underwriting profitability, we made the decision to discontinue the program in order to reduce surplus strain and underwriting leverage in the P&C subsidiaries. The final in-force policies in this program expired in mid 2012.
- We have incurred substantial losses from catastrophe events over the past seven years. These events have driven up catastrophe reinsurance cost and forced our catastrophe reinsurance deductible up from \$2 million in 2005 to \$4 million in our 2012 renewal. As our cat retention has increased, we have attempted to achieve higher margins in our insurance rate structure in order to compensate for the additional risk of the higher catastrophe reinsurance retention but with limited success due to regulatory constraints primarily because this additional retention was not a "hard dollar" cost in our rate structure. So, since we were limited in our ability to increase margins for this additional retained risk and due to the capital erosion incurred in 2011, in the second quarter of 2012, we placed additional reinsurance cover in the form of reinsurance premium protection (RPP). The RPP cover will serve to reduce our risk from a major catastrophe and strengthen our capital position. The effect of adding this additional RPP cover is to reduce our modeled 100 year event net cost (net of reinsurance recoveries) from approximately \$9 million (pretax) to an estimated \$4.5 million (pretax). A 100 year event is defined as an event that has approximately a 1% probability of occurring in a given year. This

additional cover added \$1.3 million to our cat reinsurance cost in 2012 and due to time lags in the rate adjustment process compressed margins in 2012 but will help protect capital from major events going forward.

As disclosed in Note 15 to these consolidated financial statements regarding contingencies, the Company was involved in litigation related to its divestiture of Mobile Attic, Inc. The Company's insurance subsidiaries were not involved in this litigation, and the Company has funded all costs associated with defending this action. The defense cost placed a strain on our liquidity in the holding company leading up to the date of the jury trial which was set for June of 2012. As was disclosed in our previous filings, the Company believed that the damages claimed in the litigation were excessive in amount and that a substantial portion of the claimed damages was unrelated to the Company's involvement in the Mobile Attic transaction. The Company believed that the damages should be limited to a diminished value of the purchaser's original investment in Mobile Attic resulting from the claimed breach of warranty in the stock purchase agreement. The Company had filed motions for summary judgment requesting a court ruling as to how damages should be measured as a matter of law. As was disclosed in our first quarter Form 10-Q, on April 24, 2012, the Court heard oral arguments on the motion for summary judgment and took the matter under advisement.

Several events unfolded in June of 2012, leading up to trial that caused the Company to reexamine positions with regard to the litigation. First, shortly before trial, Mobile Attic's former auditors and our co-defendant in the matter reached an out of court settlement with the plaintiff and therefore would not be defending their actions, which were expected to help support the defense of claims. Second, one of the remaining co-defendants with whom the Company was jointly liable for claims under the stock purchase agreement was the former president of Mobile Attic who was sentenced to Federal Prison for bank fraud in 2010 and is currently serving a five year sentence in a West Virginia Federal Penitentiary. Third, the Court had not made a definitive ruling prior to commencement of the trial on how damages would be calculated. Fourth, with the lack of clarity on potential damages, it appeared that damage amounts were potentially going to be left in the hands of a jury in a matter that involved very complex legal and accounting issues with the potential to include damages for other actions involving our co-defendants in which we had no involvement. Fifth, while we believe it is possible that a jury would have returned a verdict for less than the amount for which we settled, we believe it would have been difficult to negotiate post trial settlement terms that would allow us to support our insurance operations and maintain our rating agency ratings. Finally, with no guidance on damages, in the event of an excessive verdict we would have faced significant liquidity constraints in supporting a potentially long, expensive and arduous appeal process.

Immediately before the trial, settlement discussions with the plaintiff approached the amount of the financial benefit that NSG had received from the purchaser in the Mobile Attic transaction. After careful consideration of the aforementioned factors, management made a decision to reach a settlement agreement just as the trial was about to commence. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, the Company will pay a total of \$13 million to the plaintiff. In order to manage the liquidity constraints, the settlement will be in the form of an interest-bearing note with amounts to be paid over nine years with the ability to defer payments in years in which the Company's P&C subsidiaries incur substantial catastrophe losses thus allowing capital management flexibility in the P&C subsidiaries. Under the terms of the agreement, the annual debt service on the note cannot be less than the dividends paid to our shareholders in the last twelve months. The Company made an initial payment of \$2,500,000 in September 2012. The remaining principal will be repaid in nine annual installments of \$1,167,000. Accrued and unpaid interest shall be payable with each installment of principal based on the prime rate published in the Wall Street Journal plus 1%.

Cash to fund the litigation settlement will come from three primary sources. First, the Company owns 3,000 acres of timber property with a carrying value of approximately \$1.4 million and an estimated fair market value of \$5 million. As previously discussed, this property was used as collateral to obtain a \$4,000,000 operating line of credit through a regional bank to aide in restoring short-term liquidity and allow flexibility in managing holding company liquidity. Ultimately, the Company will seek to sell the property or use the proceeds from timber sales on the property to retire the debt. Second, the holding Company has significant deferred tax assets associated with a net operating loss (NOL) carryforward generated by a combination of this settlement and defense costs incurred over the last two years. This NOL carryforward will generate tax benefits that will offset a portion of future tax liabilities of the P&C insurance subsidiaries in our consolidated tax returns. It is expected that this NOL carryforward will generate approximately \$5 million in tax benefits over the term of the settlement. Finally, dividends and management fees paid by the insurance subsidiaries will provide an additional source of proceeds to pay this obligation. Although not a preferred source of flexibility, the Company can also defer interest payments on the trust preferred securities to provide additional operating cash flow. In the event that the Company elects to defer interest payments, shareholder dividends will be suspended until interest payments are current.

Except as discussed above and in Note 15 to these consolidated financial statements, the Company is unaware of any known trends, events, or uncertainties reasonably likely to have a material effect on its liquidity, capital resources, or operations. Additionally, the Company has not been made aware of any recommendations of regulatory authorities, which if implemented, would have such an effect.

The Company maintains an unsecured operating line of credit, with a \$700,000 limit, to allow flexibility with respect to cash management at the holding company level. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2012 was \$125,000. The Company obtained a \$4,000,000 secured line of credit in September 2012 to provide additional operating flexibility to the holding company. The outstanding balance at December 31, 2012 was \$3,634,000.

We have taken and continue to take corrective action to improve our profitability and capital position. However, due to the adverse effects of six major hurricanes in the last eight years, the financial market meltdown in 2008, continued historically low interest rates, an unprecedented tornado outbreak in April of 2011 and the recently settled litigation, we have experienced significant reduction in equity capital. While we are beginning to see improvement and have put some major obstacles behind us, we are in a position where we have to preserve capital in the near term in order to put our Company in the best position to be successful moving forward. In order to improve our capital position, we will continue to monitor our dividend policy on a quarterly basis and could take action including the suspension of dividends should operating conditions warrant such action. Due to various factors discussed herein, we must remain flexible in our dividend policy until we achieve more consistent profitability and capital growth.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no material off balance sheet arrangements.

Statutory Risk-Based Capital of Insurance Subsidiaries

The NAIC has adopted Risk-Based Capital (RBC) requirements for life/health and property/casualty insurance companies to evaluate the adequacy of statutory capital and surplus in relation to investment and insurance risks such as asset quality, mortality and morbidity, asset and liability matching, benefit and loss reserve adequacy, and other business factors. State insurance regulators will use the RBC formula as an early warning tool to identify, for the purpose of initiating regulatory action, insurance companies that potentially are inadequately capitalized. In addition, the formula defines minimum capital standards that will supplement the current system of low fixed minimum capital and surplus requirements on a state-by-state basis. Regulatory compliance is determined by a ratio of the Company's regulatory total adjusted capital, as defined by the NAIC, to its authorized control level RBC, as defined by the NAIC. Companies below specific trigger points or ratios are classified within levels, each of which requires corrective action.

The levels and ratios are as follows:

| <u>Regulatory Event</u> | <u>Ratio of Total Adjusted Capital to Authorized Control Level RBC (Less Than or Equal to)</u> |
|--------------------------|--|
| Company action level | 2.0 |
| Regulatory action level | 1.5 |
| Authorized control level | 1.0 |
| Mandatory control level | 0.7 |

The ratios of Total Adjusted Capital to Authorized Control Level RBC for The National Security Group's life/health and property/casualty insurance subsidiaries are all in excess of 3.7 to 1 at December 31, 2012.

National Security Insurance Company (life insurer) has regulatory adjusted capital of \$11.1 million and \$11.5 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and a ratio of regulatory total adjusted capital to authorized control level RBC of 15.7 and 14.6 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Accordingly, National Security Insurance Company exceeds the minimum RBC requirements.

National Security Fire & Casualty Company (property/casualty insurer) has regulatory adjusted capital of \$24.1 million and \$25.5 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and a ratio of regulatory total adjusted capital to authorized control level RBC of 3.7 and 3.8 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Accordingly, National Security Fire & Casualty Company exceeds the minimum RBC requirements.

Omega One Insurance Company (property/casualty insurer), which began writing business in late 1995, has regulatory adjusted capital of \$8.0 million and \$7.4 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and a ratio of regulatory

total adjusted capital to authorized control level RBC of 23.6 and 11.3 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Accordingly, Omega One Insurance Company exceeds the minimum RBC requirements.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are based upon the development and application of accounting policies that require management to make significant estimates and assumptions. Accounting policies may be based on (including but not limited to) GAAP authoritative literature, statutory authoritative literature, regulations and industry standards. The Company's financial results would be directly impacted by changes in assumptions and judgments used to select and apply our accounting policies. It is management's opinion that the following are some of the more critical judgment areas in regards to the application of our accounting policies and their effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

- Reinsurance
- Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs
- Income Taxes
- Fair Values of Financial Instruments
- Claim Liabilities
- Recognition of Revenue
- Contingencies
- Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Reinsurance

Risk management involves ceding risks to reinsurers for policies underwritten based on contractual agreements. The reinsurance purchased helps provide protection by individual loss or catastrophic event when claims exceed specified amounts. Although the reinsurance protects our Company in the event a loss penetrates into a particular reinsurance agreement; ultimate responsibility for claim settlement rests with our company if any reinsurer defaults on payments due. We record an asset for reinsurance recoverable on the financials for amounts due from reinsurers and monitor the balances due by reinsurer to ensure the asset is ultimately going to be collectible. If we discover an amount due may not be received, we remove the balance and charge it to an allowance for doubtful accounts or charge it off to expense based on the information available at the time.

When a claim is made under a policy we have reinsured, we initially pay the full amount owed to the policyholder or claimant. Subsequently, we initiate the process to recover any amounts due from reinsurers in accordance with the terms of applicable reinsurance treaties.

Reinsurance is maintained by the life and accident and health segment for losses that exceed \$50,000 for any one insured.

NSFC and Omega generally reinsure with third parties any liability in excess of \$225,000 on any single policy. During 2012, the property and casualty segment maintained a catastrophe contract, which covered losses related to a catastrophic event with multiple policyholders affected. In the event a catastrophe exceeded the \$4 million retention stated in the contract, reinsurers would reimburse the company 95% (5% co-pay) of gross losses and loss adjustment expenses paid up to \$17.5 million (layer one and layer two of the contract). If losses exceeded \$17.5 million, the contract allowed for 100% reimbursement of losses and loss adjustment expenses up to \$72.5 million. Any losses above the \$72.5 million upper limit were the responsibility of our Company. The contract in place during 2012 also allowed one reinstatement for coverage under the contract for a second catastrophic event if needed. The contract provided protection which exceeded a 100 year "near term" event as depicted in catastrophe modeling results. The "near term" catastrophe modeling results reflect a predicted increase in storm activity given the current weather pattern and various factors projected to impact our weather patterns in the near term.

At December 31, 2012, the estimated reinsurance recoverable recorded was \$1,541,000 compared to \$2,778,000 for the same period last year. The Company does not anticipate any issues with collection of the recorded amount. In 2012, catastrophe reinsurance premiums totaled \$6,646,000 ceded compared to \$5,629,000 in 2011. Catastrophe reinsurance premiums are based on a premium calculation applying the agreed upon rate to the earned premium of the covered lines of business. The increase in ceded premium in 2012 is attributable to a 19.3% increase in the rate applied. In addition to catastrophe reinsurance, the Company placed reinstatement premium protection (RPP) reinsurance during 2012. RPP premiums totaled \$1,346,000.

The reinsurance related amounts recorded have been estimated based upon management's interpretation of the related reinsurance treaty. Areas in which judgment has been used regarding said estimates include: assessing the financial viability and credit quality of each reinsurer as well as the ability of each reinsurer to pay amounts owed.

There is a possibility that the actual amounts recovered from reinsurers could be materially less than the estimates recorded. This possibility could result in a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations. Reinsurers may dispute claims under reinsurance treaties, such as the calculated amount of reinsurance recoverable. Management does not anticipate any issues with recoverability of reinsurance balances based on current evaluations of collectability. For more information regarding reinsurance, see the Notes to our consolidated financial statements.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) are those costs incurred in connection with acquiring new business or renewing existing business. DAC is primarily comprised of commissions, premium taxes, and underwriting costs associated with issuing new policies. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, these costs are not expensed in their entirety at policy inception; rather, they are recorded as an asset and amortized over the lives of the policies. A reduction in DAC is recognized if the sum of the expected loss and loss adjustment expenses, unamortized acquisition costs, and maintenance costs exceeds related unearned premiums and projected investment income. Management reviews DAC calculations throughout the year to establish and assess their recoverability. Changes in management's assumptions, estimates or judgment with respect to calculating DAC could materially impact our financial statements and financial condition. Changes in loss ratios, projected investment income, premium rates or overall expense levels could negatively impact the recoverability of DAC.

At December 31, 2012 or 2011, the Company recorded \$9,097,000 and \$9,558,000, respectively, as an asset for DAC in the financial statements. We do not foresee any issues related to recoverability of these capitalized costs. For more information regarding deferred policy acquisition costs, see Note 1 to our financial statements.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred income taxes arise from the recognition of temporary differences between financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of the Company's assets and liabilities and operating loss carry-forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or are settled. A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The effect of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period the new rate is enacted.

At December 31, 2012, there is no evidence to suggest to management that any deferred tax asset is unrealizable. For more information regarding deferred income taxes, see Note 7 to our financial statements.

The Company evaluates all tax positions taken on its U.S. federal income tax return. No material uncertainties exist for any tax positions taken by the Company.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

Investments are recorded at fair value based upon quoted prices when available. Quoted prices are available for most investment debt and equity securities included in the financial statements. Further discussion of fair value methodology is discussed in Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements. Periodically, the carrying values of an individual investment may become temporarily impaired because of time value, volatility, credit quality and existing market conditions. Management evaluates investments to determine whether the impairment is other-than-temporary. Evaluation criteria include credit quality of security, severity of decrease between cost and market value, length of time of the impairment and likelihood that the impairment will reverse in the near future. This evaluation requires significant assumptions, estimates and judgments by management. If the impairment is determined to be other-than-temporary, the investment is written down to the current fair value and a realized loss is recorded on the income statement. We have very limited exposure to less liquid and difficult to value investments such as collateralized debt obligations.

Claim Liabilities

Property and casualty loss reserves are maintained to cover the estimated unpaid liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses with respect to reported and unreported incurred claims. Loss reserves are an estimation based on actuarial projection techniques common in the insurance industry. Reserves are management's expectations of what the settlement and administration of claims will cost. Management estimated reserves are based on historical settlement patterns, estimated salvage and subrogation, and an appraisal of the related facts and circumstances. Management's

reserve estimates are reviewed by consulting actuaries to determine their adequacy and reasonableness. The reserve analysis performed by management is reviewed by the actuaries during the third quarter each year with a final comprehensive review and actuarial sign off performed at year-end.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the recorded liability for loss and loss adjustment expense was \$11,214,000 and \$14,386,000, respectively, a \$3,172,000 decrease. The primary reason for the decline was a reduction in losses from tornado, wind and hail related cat events as well as claims associated with the automobile line of business. We believe the estimate of unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses to be sufficient based on currently available information and a review of our historical reserving practices. For more information regarding loss and loss adjustment expense, see Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements.

Recognition of Revenue

Life insurance premiums are recognized as revenues when due. Property and casualty insurance premiums include direct writings plus reinsurance assumed less reinsurance ceded and are recognized on a pro rata basis over the terms of the policies. Unearned premiums represent that portion of direct premiums written that are applicable to the unexpired terms of policies in force and is reported as a liability. Prepaid reinsurance premiums represent the unexpired portion of premiums ceded to reinsurers and is reported as an asset.

Contingencies

Liabilities for loss contingencies arising from, but not limited to, litigation, claims, assessments, fines and penalties are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the assessment and/or remediation can be reasonably estimated. Additional details with respect to contingencies are disclosed in Note 15 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards:

Presentation of Comprehensive Income

In June and December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance amending the presentation of comprehensive income and its components. Under the new guidance, a reporting entity has the option to present comprehensive income in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. The Company adopted the new guidance in the first quarter of 2012. The Company opted to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income in two separate but consecutive statements. The Condensed consolidated financial statements included herein reflect the adoption of this updated guidance. The new guidance affects presentation only and therefore had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts

In October 2010, the FASB issued guidance modifying the definition of the types of costs incurred by insurance entities that can be capitalized in the acquisition of new and renewal insurance contracts. The guidance specifies that the costs must be directly related to the successful acquisition of insurance contracts. The guidance also specifies that advertising costs should be included as deferred acquisition costs ("DAC") only when the direct-response advertising accounting criteria are met. Under the new guidance, only acquisition costs associated with "successful sales" are allowed to be deferred. Successful sales ratios will be reviewed quarterly and the new guidance will reduce the amount of acquisition cost that can be deferred to future periods. The Company adopted the new guidance on a prospective basis as of January 1, 2012.

Amendments to Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements

In May 2011, the FASB issued guidance that clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements and amends certain fair value measurement principles, requirements and disclosures. The guidance is to be applied prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance as of January 1, 2012 had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position. The expanded disclosures required by this guidance are included in Note 5.

Accounting Changes Not Yet Adopted:

Intangibles-Goodwill and Other

In July 2012, the FASB issued guidance related to impairment of indefinite-lived intangible assets other than goodwill. The new guidance will allow an entity to first make a qualitative assessment of whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying amount before applying the quantitative

impairment test. An entity is required to perform the quantitative test only if it determines that it is more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying amount. We are currently evaluating the impact of this revised guidance on our financial statements. However, we do not expect a material effect on our results of operations or financial position upon adoption in 2013.

Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities for Financial Instruments and Derivative Instruments

In December 2011, the FASB issued guidance requiring expanded disclosures, including both gross and net information, for financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either offset in the reporting entity's financial statements or those that are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and is to be applied retrospectively. The new guidance affects disclosures only and will have no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Under smaller reporting company rules we are not required to disclose information required under Item 7A. However, in order to provide information to our investors, we have elected to provide information related to market risk.

The Company's primary objectives in managing its investment portfolio are to maximize investment income and total investment returns while minimizing overall credit risk. Investment strategies are developed based on many factors including changes in interest rates, overall market conditions, underwriting results, regulatory requirements and tax position. Investment decisions are made by management and reviewed by the Board of Directors. Market risk represents the potential for loss due to adverse changes in fair value of securities. The three potential risks related to the Company's fixed maturity portfolio are interest rate risk, prepayment risk and default risk. The primary risk related to the Company's equity portfolio is equity price risk.

Since the Company's assets and liabilities are largely monetary in nature, the Company's financial position and earnings are subject to risks resulting from changes in interest rates at varying maturities, changes in spreads over U.S. Treasuries on new investment opportunities and changes in the yield curve and equity pricing risks.

The Company is exposed to equity price risk on its equity securities. The Company holds common stock with a fair value of \$5.1 million. Our portfolio has historically been highly correlated to the S&P 500 with regard to market risk. Based on an evaluation of the historical risk measure of our portfolio relative to the S&P 500, if the market value of the S&P 500 Index decreased 10% from its December 31, 2012 value, the fair value of the Company's common stock would decrease by approximately \$500,000.

Certain fixed interest rate market risk sensitive instruments may not give rise to incremental income or loss during the period illustrated but may be subject to changes in fair values. Notes 1 and 5 in the consolidated financial statements present additional disclosures concerning fair values of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities and are incorporated by reference herein.

The Company limits the extent of its market risk by purchasing securities that are backed by stable collateral, the majority of the assets are issued by U.S. government sponsored entities. Also, the majority of all of the subsidiaries' CMO's are Planned Amortization Class (PAC) bonds. PAC bonds are typically the lowest risk CMO's, and provide greater cash flow predictability. Such securities with reduced risk typically have a lower yield, but higher liquidity, than higher-risk mortgage backed bonds. To reduce the risk of losing principal should prepayments exceed expectations, the Company does not purchase mortgage backed securities at significant premiums over par value.

The Company's investment approach in the equity markets is based primarily on a fundamental analysis of value. This approach requires the investment committee to invest in well managed, primarily dividend paying companies, which have a low debt to capital ratio, above average return on capital for a sustained period of time, and low volatility rating (beta) relative to the market. The dividends provide a steady cash flow to help pay current claim liabilities, and it has been the Company's experience that by following this investment strategy, long term investment results have been superior to those offered by bonds, while keeping the risk of loss of capital to a minimum relative to the overall equity market.

As for shifts in investment allocations, the Company has moderately increased allocations to corporate and tax free bonds. The improved yield spreads on corporate bonds has made this segment more attractive and the risk of investing in corporate bonds versus government bonds is more appropriately priced in our opinion. We have also increased our allocation to tax free securities to further enhance after-tax returns given our improved earnings performance over the last two years.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Index to Financial Statements

Consolidated Financial Statements:

| | |
|---|----|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 49 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets – December 31, 2012 and 2011 | 50 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) – Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 | 51 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) – Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 | 52 |
| Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity – Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 | 53 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 | 54 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – December 31, 2012 | 55 |

Financial Statement Schedules:

| | |
|---|----|
| Schedule I. Summary of Investments Other Than Investments in Related Parties – December 31, 2012 and 2011 | 82 |
| Schedule II. Condensed Financial Information of Registrant –December 31, 2012 and 2011 | 83 |
| Schedule III. Supplementary Insurance Information – December 31, 2012 and 2011 | 86 |
| Schedule IV. Reinsurance – Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 | 87 |
| Schedule V. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts – Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 | 87 |

All other Schedules are not required under related instructions or are not applicable and therefore have been omitted.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
The National Security Group, Inc.
Elba, Alabama

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of The National Security Group, Inc. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income (loss), comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement and financial statement schedules presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The National Security Group, Inc. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules listed in the accompanying index appearing under Item 8, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

Warren Averett, LLC

Birmingham, Alabama
March 21, 2013

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands)

| | December 31, | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Investments | | |
| Fixed maturities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (estimated fair value: 2012 - \$1,609; 2011 - \$3,497) | \$ 1,502 | \$ 3,303 |
| Fixed maturities available-for-sale, at estimated fair value (cost: 2012 - \$71,678; 2011 - \$69,980) | 76,294 | 73,074 |
| Equity securities available-for-sale, at estimated fair value (cost: 2012 - \$3,191; 2011 - \$4,931) | 5,132 | 8,547 |
| Trading securities | 40 | 80 |
| Mortgage loans on real estate, at cost | 383 | 390 |
| Investment real estate, at book value | 5,757 | 5,745 |
| Policy loans | 1,317 | 1,244 |
| Company owned life insurance | 5,931 | 5,660 |
| Other invested assets | 3,777 | 3,929 |
| Total Investments | 100,133 | 101,972 |
| Cash | 6,779 | 3,393 |
| Accrued investment income | 788 | 706 |
| Policy receivables and agents' balances, net | 9,006 | 9,302 |
| Reinsurance recoverable | 1,541 | 2,778 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | 9,097 | 9,558 |
| Property and equipment, net | 2,392 | 2,528 |
| Accrued income tax recoverable | — | 1,669 |
| Deferred income tax asset | 4,997 | — |
| Other assets | 983 | 1,045 |
| Total Assets | \$ 135,716 | \$ 132,951 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Property and casualty benefit and loss reserves | \$ 11,214 | \$ 14,386 |
| Accident and health benefit and loss reserves | 2,341 | 2,122 |
| Life and annuity benefit and loss reserves | 30,041 | 29,605 |
| Unearned premiums | 25,777 | 25,729 |
| Policy and contract claims | 995 | 652 |
| Other policyholder funds | 1,417 | 1,408 |
| Short-term notes payable and current portion long-term debt | 1,292 | 485 |
| Long-term debt | 25,339 | 12,372 |
| Accrued income taxes | 296 | — |
| Deferred income tax liability | — | 86 |
| Other liabilities | 6,777 | 8,091 |
| Total Liabilities | 105,489 | 94,936 |
| Contingencies | | |
| Shareholders' equity | | |
| Common stock | 2,467 | 2,467 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 4,951 | 4,951 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 3,325 | 3,640 |
| Retained earnings | 19,484 | 26,957 |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 30,227 | 38,015 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ 135,716 | \$ 132,951 |

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| REVENUES | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 51,815 | \$ 56,243 |
| Net investment income | 4,227 | 4,261 |
| Net realized investment gains | 2,790 | 669 |
| Other income | 720 | 919 |
| Total Revenues | 59,552 | 62,092 |
| EXPENSES | | |
| Policyholder benefits and settlement expenses | 34,105 | 44,025 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 3,711 | 4,020 |
| Commissions | 7,556 | 8,148 |
| General and administrative expenses | 8,790 | 8,834 |
| Litigation settlement and defense costs | 13,328 | 1,626 |
| Taxes, licenses and fees | 1,846 | 1,885 |
| Interest expense | 1,280 | 1,153 |
| Total Expenses | 70,616 | 69,691 |
| Loss Before Income Taxes | (11,064) | (7,599) |
| INCOME TAX (BENEFIT) EXPENSE | | |
| Current | 527 | (1,480) |
| Deferred | (4,920) | (1,163) |
| | (4,393) | (2,643) |
| Net Loss | \$ (6,671) | \$ (4,956) |
| LOSS PER COMMON SHARE | \$ (2.70) | \$ (2.01) |
| DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE | \$ 0.325 | \$ 0.55 |

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(In thousands)

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net loss | \$ (6,671) | \$ (4,956) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | | |
| Changes in: | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on securities, net of reclassification adjustment of \$1,842 and \$444 for 2012 and 2011, respectively | (101) | 1,258 |
| Unrealized loss on interest rate swap | (214) | (640) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | (315) | 618 |
| Comprehensive loss | <u>\$ (6,986)</u> | <u>\$ (4,338)</u> |

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(In thousands)

| | Total | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital |
|--|------------------|-------------------|--|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 43,710 | \$ 33,270 | \$ 3,022 | \$ 2,467 | \$ 4,951 |
| Comprehensive loss: | | | | | |
| Net loss for 2011 | (4,956) | (4,956) | | | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) (net of tax) | | | | | |
| Unrealized gain on securities, net of reclassification adjustment of \$444 | 1,258 | | 1,258 | | |
| Unrealized loss on interest rate swap, net of tax | (640) | | (640) | | |
| Other comprehensive income | 618 | | 618 | | |
| Cash dividends | (1,357) | (1,357) | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 38,015 | 26,957 | 3,640 | 2,467 | 4,951 |
| Comprehensive loss: | | | | | |
| Net loss for 2012 | (6,671) | (6,671) | | | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) (net of tax) | | | | | |
| Unrealized loss on securities, net of reclassification adjustment of \$1,842 | (101) | | (101) | | |
| Unrealized loss on interest rate swap, net of tax | (214) | | (214) | | |
| Other comprehensive loss | (315) | | (315) | | |
| Cash dividends | (802) | (802) | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | <u>\$ 30,227</u> | <u>\$ 19,484</u> | <u>\$ 3,325</u> | <u>\$ 2,467</u> | <u>\$ 4,951</u> |

The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands)

| | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | |
| Net loss | \$ (6,671) | \$ (4,956) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation expense and amortization/accretion, net | 657 | 322 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of company owned life insurance | (271) | (140) |
| Net realized gains on investments | (2,790) | (669) |
| Deferred income taxes | (4,920) | (1,163) |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 3,711 | 4,020 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Change in accrued investment income | (82) | 117 |
| Change in reinsurance recoverable | 1,237 | (1,079) |
| Policy acquisition costs deferred | (3,250) | (3,389) |
| Change in accrued income taxes/recoverable | 1,965 | (1,796) |
| Change in net policy liabilities and claims | (1,838) | 1,712 |
| Change in other assets/liabilities, net | (1,462) | 253 |
| Other, net | 8 | 16 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (13,706) | (6,752) |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | |
| Purchase of: | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | (29,166) | (19,344) |
| Trading securities and short-term investments | (40) | (234) |
| Real estate held for investment | (32) | (204) |
| Property and equipment | (303) | (473) |
| Proceeds from sale or maturities of: | | |
| Held-to-maturity securities | 1,826 | 1,664 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 31,676 | 27,755 |
| Trading securities and short-term investments | 83 | 819 |
| Real estate held for investment | 87 | 14 |
| Property and equipment | 9 | 19 |
| Other invested assets, net | (29) | (128) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 4,111 | 9,888 |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | |
| Change in other policyholder funds | 9 | 57 |
| Increase in long-term debt | 14,134 | — |
| Change in short-term notes payable | (360) | (15) |
| Dividends paid | (802) | (1,357) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 12,981 | (1,315) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 3,386 | 1,821 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 3,393 | 1,572 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 6,779 | \$ 3,393 |

The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of The National Security Group, Inc. (the Company) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries: National Security Insurance Company (NSIC), National Security Fire and Casualty Company (NSFC) and NATSCO, Inc. (NATSCO). NSFC includes a wholly-owned subsidiary - Omega One Insurance Company (Omega). The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated. The financial information presented herein should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which includes information and disclosures not presented herein.

Description of Business

NSIC is licensed in the states of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, South Carolina and Texas and was organized in 1947 to provide life and burial insurance policies to the home service market. Business is now produced by both company and independent agents. Primary products include ordinary life, accident and health, supplemental hospital, and cancer insurance products.

NSFC is licensed in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee and West Virginia. In addition, NSFC operates on a surplus lines basis in Louisiana, Missouri, and Texas. NSFC operates in various property and casualty lines, the most significant of which are: dwelling property fire and extended coverage, homeowners, mobile homeowners, ocean marine, private passenger automobile physical damage and liability and commercial auto liability.

Omega is licensed in the states of Alabama and Louisiana. Omega operates in property and casualty homeowners line of business.

The Company is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. Its common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the ticker symbol NSEC. Pursuant to the regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the Company is considered a "Smaller Reporting Company" as defined by SEC Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. The Company has elected to comply with the scaled disclosure requirements of Regulation S-K and only two years of financial statements are included herein.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Among the more significant estimates included in these consolidated financial statements are reserves for future policy benefits, liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses, reinsurance recoverable asset on associated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities, deferred policy acquisition costs, deferred income tax assets and liabilities, assessments of other-than-temporary impairments on investments and accruals for contingencies. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentration of Risk

The Company's property and casualty subsidiaries, composing nearly 88% of consolidated revenues, are licensed to operate on a surplus lines basis in 13 states. However, over 60% of segment revenue is generated in the states of Alabama, Mississippi and Louisiana, subjecting the Company to significant geographic concentration. Consequently, adverse weather conditions or changes in the legal, regulatory or economic environment could adversely impact the Company.

The Company's life, accident and health insurance subsidiary, composing approximately 12% of consolidated revenues, is licensed in six states. However, over 75% of segment revenue is generated in the states of Alabama and Georgia. Consequently, changes in the legal, regulatory or economic environment could adversely impact the Company.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2012, one agency individually produced greater than 5% of the Company's direct written premium.

Investments

The Company's securities are classified as follows:

- **Securities Held-to-Maturity.** Bonds, notes and redeemable preferred stock for which the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are reported at cost, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts which are recognized in interest income using methods which approximate level yields over the period to maturity.
- **Securities Available-for-Sale.** Bonds, notes, common stock and non-redeemable preferred stock, not classified as either held-to-maturity or trading, are reported at fair value and adjusted for other-than-temporary declines in fair value.
- **Trading Securities.** Trading securities are classified as such on the balance sheet and reported at fair value.

Unrealized gains and losses on investments, net of tax, on securities available-for-sale are reflected directly in shareholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), and accordingly, have no effect on operating results until realized.

Changes in fair value of trading securities are recognized in net income.

Realized gains and losses on the sale of investments available-for-sale are determined using the specific-identification method and include write downs on available-for-sale investments considered to have other-than-temporary declines in market value.

When a fixed maturity security has a decline in value, where fair value is below amortized cost, an other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) is triggered in circumstances where:

- the Company has the intent to sell the security
- it is more likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis
- the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security.

If the Company intends to sell the security or if it is more-likely-than-not the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery, an OTTI is recognized as a realized loss in the income statement equal to the difference between the security's amortized cost and its fair value. If the Company does not intend to sell the security or it is not more-likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery, the OTTI is separated into an amount representing the credit loss, which is recognized as a realized loss in the statement of operations, and the amount related to all other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income.

When an equity security has a decline in value, where fair value is below cost, that is deemed to be other-than-temporary, the Company reduces the book value of the security to its current fair value, recognizing the decline as a realized loss in the statement of operations. Any future increases in the market value of investments written down are reflected as changes in unrealized gains as part of accumulated other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity.

Interest on fixed income securities is credited to income as it accrues on the principal amounts outstanding adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts computed utilizing the effective interest rate method. Premiums and discounts on mortgage backed securities are amortized or accreted using anticipated prepayments with changes in anticipated prepayments accounted for prospectively. The model used to determine anticipated prepayment assumptions for mortgage backed securities uses separate home sale, refinancing, curtailment and pay-off

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

assumptions derived from a variety of industry sources. Mortgage backed security valuations are subject to prospective adjustments in yield due to changes in prepayment assumptions. The utilization of the prospective method will result in a recalculated effective yield that will equate the carrying amount of the investment to the present value of the projected future cash flows. The recalculated yield is used to accrue income on investments for subsequent periods.

Mortgage loans and policy loans are stated at the unpaid principal balance of such loans, net of any related allowance for uncollectible amounts.

Investment real estate is reported at cost, less allowances for depreciation computed on the straight-line basis. Investment real estate consists primarily of timberland and undeveloped commercial real estate. Real estate is carried at cost.

Other investments consist primarily of investments in notes and equity investments in limited liability companies. The Company has no influence or control over the operating or financial policies of the investee limited liability companies, and consequently, these investments are accounted for using the cost method.

The Company owns life insurance (COLI) contracts on certain management and supervisory employees each having a face amount of approximately \$2,000,000. The Company's original investment in company owned life insurance was \$5,000,000. The primary purpose of the program is to offset future employee benefit expenses through earnings on the cash value of the policies. The Company is the owner and principal beneficiary of these policies. The life insurance contracts are carried at their current cash surrender value. Cash surrender value at December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$5,931,000 and \$5,660,000, respectively. Changes in cash surrender values are included in income in the current period. The change in surrender value included in earnings for the periods ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$271,000 and \$140,000, respectively. Death proceeds from the contracts are recorded when the proceeds become payable under the terms of the policy. There were no proceeds received from the COLI during 2012 or 2011.

Cash and short-term investments are carried at cost, which approximates market value.

Investments with other-than-temporary impairment in value are written down to estimated realizable values and losses recognized in the determination of operating results. The fair value of the investment becomes its new cost basis.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

The Company uses the following methods and assumptions to estimate fair values:

Investments

- Fixed income security fair values are based on quoted market prices when available. If not available, fair values are based on values obtained from investment brokers and independent pricing services.
- Equity security fair values are based on quoted market prices.
- Multiple observable inputs are not available for some of our investments, primarily private placements and limited partnerships. Management values these investments either using non-binding broker quotes or pricing models that utilize market based assumptions that have limited observable inputs. These investments compose less than 1% of total assets.

Receivables and reinsurance recoverable - The carrying amounts reported approximate fair value.

Interest rate swaps - The estimated fair value of the interest rate swaps is based on valuations received from financial institution counterparties.

Trust preferred securities obligations and line of credit obligations - The carrying amounts reported for these instruments are equal to the principal balance outstanding and approximate their fair value.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Policy Receivables

Receivable balances are reported at unpaid balances, less a provision for credit losses.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are reported at net realizable value. Management determines the allowance for doubtful accounts based on historical losses and current economic conditions. On a continuing basis, management analyzes delinquent receivables, and once these receivables are determined to be uncollectible, they are written off through a charge against an existing allowance account or against earnings.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and includes expenditures that substantially increase the useful lives of existing property and equipment. Significant costs incurred for internally developed software are capitalized and amortized over estimated useful lives of 3 years. Maintenance, repairs, and minor renovations are charged to expense as incurred. Upon sale or retirement of property and equipment, the costs and related accumulated depreciation are eliminated from the respective account and the resulting gain or loss is included in the results of operations. The Company provides for depreciation of property and equipment using the straight-line method designed to amortize costs over estimated useful lives. Estimated useful lives range up to 40 years for buildings and from 3-10 years for electronic data processing equipment and furniture and fixtures. Property and equipment are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

Statement of Cash Flows

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash includes cash-on-hand, demand deposits with banks and overnight investments.

Premium Revenue

Life insurance premiums are recognized as revenues when due. Property and casualty insurance premiums include direct writings plus reinsurance assumed less reinsurance ceded and are recognized on a pro rata basis over the terms of the policies. Unearned premiums represent that portion of direct premiums written that are applicable to the unexpired terms of policies in force and is reported as a liability. Prepaid reinsurance premiums represent the unexpired portion of premiums ceded to reinsurers and are reported as an asset.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

The costs of acquiring new insurance business are deferred and amortized over the lives of the policies. Deferred costs include commissions, premium taxes, other agency compensation and expenses, and other underwriting expenses directly related to the level of new business produced.

Acquisition costs relating to life contracts are amortized over the premium paying period of the contracts, or the first renewal period of term policies, if earlier. Assumptions utilized in amortization are consistent with those utilized in computing policy liabilities.

The method of computing the deferred policy acquisition costs for property and casualty policies limits the amount deferred to a percentage of related unearned premiums.

Policy Liabilities

The liability for future life insurance policy benefits is computed using a net level premium method including the following assumptions:

| Years of Issue | Interest Rate |
|----------------|-----------------|
| 1947 - 1968 | 4% |
| 1969 - 1978 | 6% graded to 5% |
| 1979 - 2003 | 7% graded to 6% |
| 2004 - 2012 | 5.25% |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Mortality assumptions include various percentages of the 1955-60 and 1965-70 Select and Ultimate Basic Male Mortality Table. Withdrawal assumptions are based on the Company's experience.

Claim Liabilities

The liability for unpaid claims represents the estimated liability for claims reported to the Company and its subsidiaries plus claims incurred but not yet reported and the related loss adjustment expenses. The liabilities for claims and related adjustment expenses are determined using case-basis evaluations and statistical analysis and represent estimates of the ultimate net cost of all losses incurred through December 31 of each year. Although considerable variability is inherent in such estimates, management believes that the liabilities for unpaid claims and related loss adjustment expenses are adequate. The estimates are continually reviewed and adjusted as necessary; such adjustments are included in the period in which they are determined.

Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share of common stock is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each year. The adjusted weighted average shares outstanding were 2,466,600 in both 2012 and 2011.

Reinsurance

The Company's insurance operations re-insure certain risks in order to limit losses, minimize exposure to large risks, provide additional capacity for future growth and effect business-sharing arrangements. See Note 10 for additional information regarding the Company's reinsurance practices.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred income taxes arise from the recognition of temporary differences between financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of the Company's assets and liabilities and operating loss carry-forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The effect of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period the new rate is enacted.

The Company evaluates all tax positions taken on its U.S. federal income tax return. No material uncertainties exist for any tax positions taken by the Company.

Contingencies

Liabilities for loss contingencies arising from, but not limited to, litigation, claims, assessments, fines and penalties are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the assessment and/or remediation can be reasonably estimated. Significant attorney fees are estimated and recorded when incurred.

Reclassifications

Certain 2011 amounts have been reclassified from the prior year consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2012 presentation.

Advertising

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising costs charged to expense were \$128,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012 (\$107,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011). Advertising cost consists primarily of agent convention expense and print media.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company maintains cash balances which are generally held in non-interest bearing demand deposit accounts. Through December 31, 2012 these balances are insured by the FDIC with no balance limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts. The Company believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

Policy receivables are reported at unpaid balances. Policy receivables are generally offset by associated unearned premium liabilities and are not subject to significant credit risk. Receivables from agents, less provision for credit

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

losses, are composed of balances due from independent agents. At December 31, 2012, the single largest balance due from one agent totaled \$532,000.

Reinsurance contracts do not relieve the Company of its obligations to policyholders. A failure of a reinsurer to meet their obligation could result in losses to the insurance subsidiaries. Allowances for losses are established if amounts are believed to be uncollectible. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, no amounts were deemed uncollectible. The Company, at least annually, evaluates the financial condition of all reinsurers and evaluates any potential concentrations of credit risk. At December 31, 2012, management does not believe the Company is exposed to any significant credit risk related to its reinsurance program.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

Presentation of Comprehensive Income

In June and December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance amending the presentation of comprehensive income and its components. Under the new guidance, a reporting entity has the option to present comprehensive income in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. The Company adopted the new guidance in the first quarter of 2012. The Company opted to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income in two separate but consecutive statements. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included herein reflect the adoption of this updated guidance. The new guidance affects presentation only and therefore had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts

In October 2010, the FASB issued guidance modifying the definition of the types of costs incurred by insurance entities that can be capitalized in the acquisition of new and renewal insurance contracts. The guidance specifies that the costs must be directly related to the successful acquisition of insurance contracts. The guidance also specifies that advertising costs should be included as deferred acquisition costs ("DAC") only when the direct-response advertising accounting criteria are met. Under the new guidance, only acquisition costs associated with "successful sales" are allowed to be deferred. Successful sales ratios will be reviewed quarterly and the new guidance will reduce the amount of acquisition cost that can be deferred to future periods. The Company adopted the new guidance on a prospective basis as of January 1, 2012. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Amendments to Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements

In May 2011, the FASB issued guidance that clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements and amends certain fair value measurement principles, requirements and disclosures. The guidance is to be applied prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance as of January 1, 2012 had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position. The expanded disclosures required by this guidance are included in Note 5.

Accounting Changes Not Yet Adopted

Intangibles-Goodwill and Other

In July 2012, the FASB issued guidance related to impairment of indefinite-lived intangible assets other than goodwill. The new guidance will allow an entity to first make a qualitative assessment of whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying amount before applying the quantitative impairment test. An entity is required to perform the quantitative test only if it determines that it is more likely than not that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying amount. We are currently evaluating the impact of this revised guidance on our financial statements. However, we do not expect a material effect on our results of operations or financial position upon adoption in 2013.

Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities for Financial Instruments and Derivative Instruments

In December 2011, the FASB issued guidance requiring expanded disclosures, including both gross and net information, for financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either offset in the reporting entity's financial statements or those that are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The guidance is effective

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

for reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and is to be applied retrospectively. The new guidance affects disclosures only and will have no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

NOTE 2 – VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The Company holds a passive interest in a limited partnership that is considered to be a Variable Interest Entity (VIE) under the provisions of FIN 46(R). The Company is not the primary beneficiary of the entity and is not required to consolidate under FIN 46(R). The entity is a private placement investment fund formed for the purpose of investing in private equity investments. The Company owns less than 1% of the limited partnership. The carrying value of the investment totals \$325,000 and is included as a component of Other Invested Assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

In December 2005, the Company formed National Security Capital Trust I, a statutory trust created under the Delaware Statutory Trust Act, for the sole purpose of issuing, in private placement transactions, \$9,000,000 of trust preferred securities (TPS) and using the proceeds thereof, together with the equity proceeds received from the Company in the initial formation of the Trust, to purchase \$9,279,000 of variable rate subordinated debentures issued by the Company. The Company owns all voting securities of the Trust and the subordinated debentures are the sole assets of the Trust. The Trust will meet the obligations of the TPS with the interest and principal paid on the subordinated debentures. The Company received net proceeds from the TPS transactions, after commissions and other costs of issuance, of \$9,005,000. The Company also holds all the voting securities issued by the Trust and such trusts are considered to be VIE's. The Trust is not consolidated because the Company is not the primary beneficiary of the trust. The Subordinated Debentures, disclosed in Note 8, are reported in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as a component of long-term debt. The Company's equity investments in the Trust total \$279,000 and are included in Other Assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

In June 2007, the Company formed National Security Capital Trust II for the sole purpose of issuing, in private placement transactions, \$3,000,000 of trust preferred securities (TPS) and using the proceeds thereof, together with the equity proceeds received from the Company in the initial formation of the Trust, to purchase \$3,093,000 unsecured junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures. The Company owns all voting securities of the Trust and the subordinated debentures are the sole assets of the Trust. The Trust will meet the obligations of the TPS with the interest and principal paid on the subordinated debentures. The Company received net proceeds from the TPS transactions, after commissions and other costs of issuance, of \$2,995,000. The Company also holds all the voting securities issued by the Trust and such trusts are considered to be VIE's. The Trust is not consolidated because the Company is not the primary beneficiary of the Trust. The Subordinated Debentures, disclosed in Note 8, are reported in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as a component of long-term debt. The Company's equity investments in the Trust total \$93,000 and are included in Other Assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

NOTE 3 – STATUTORY ACCOUNTING PRACTICES

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) which vary in certain respects from reporting practices prescribed or permitted by insurance regulatory authorities. The significant differences for statutory reporting include: (a) acquisition costs of acquiring new business are charged to operations as incurred, (b) life policy liabilities are established utilizing interest and mortality factors specified by regulatory authorities, (c) the Asset Valuation Reserve (AVR) and the Interest Maintenance Reserve (IMR) are recorded as liabilities in the life subsidiary, and (d) non-admitted assets (primarily furniture and equipment, agents' debit balances and prepaid expenses) are charged directly to surplus.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Statutory net gains (losses) from operations and capital and surplus, excluding intercompany transactions, are summarized as follows:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-----------|------------|
| NSIC - including realized capital gains of \$1,722 and \$441, respectively | \$ 1,425 | \$ 747 |
| NSFC - including realized capital gains of \$842 and \$474, respectively; excluding intercompany gain on real estate of \$2,417 (\$1,595, net of tax) | \$ 874 | \$ (2,036) |
| Omega - including realized capital gains of \$241 and \$192, respectively | \$ 1,157 | \$ (2,071) |
| Statutory risk-based adjusted capital: | | |
| NSIC - including AVR of \$748 and \$1,075, respectively | \$ 11,092 | \$ 11,467 |
| NSFC - including investment in Omega of \$4,459 and \$3,937, respectively | \$ 24,089 | \$ 25,459 |
| Omega | \$ 7,961 | \$ 7,437 |

The above amounts exclude allocation of direct expenses of the Company. NSIC, NSFC and Omega are in compliance with statutory restrictions with regard to minimum amounts of surplus and capital.

NOTE 4 – INVESTMENTS

The amortized cost and aggregate fair values of investments in available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2012 are as follows:

| Available-for-sale securities: | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Corporate debt securities | \$ 31,387 | \$ 2,430 | \$ 80 | \$ 33,737 |
| Trust preferred securities | 537 | 50 | — | 587 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 8,595 | 175 | 85 | 8,685 |
| Private label mortgage backed securities | 7,679 | 294 | 9 | 7,964 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 16,160 | 1,359 | 3 | 17,516 |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and agencies | 7,320 | 487 | 2 | 7,805 |
| Total fixed maturities | 71,678 | 4,795 | 179 | 76,294 |
| Equity securities | 3,191 | 2,398 | 457 | 5,132 |
| Total | \$ 74,869 | \$ 7,193 | \$ 636 | \$ 81,426 |

The amortized cost and aggregate fair values of investments in held-to-maturity securities as of December 31, 2012 are as follows:

| Held-to-maturity securities: | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Mortgage backed securities | \$ 1,194 | \$ 91 | \$ — | \$ 1,285 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 145 | 2 | — | 147 |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and agencies | 163 | 14 | — | 177 |
| Total | \$ 1,502 | \$ 107 | \$ — | \$ 1,609 |

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The amortized cost and aggregate fair values of investments in available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2011 are as follows:

| Available-for-sale securities: | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Corporate debt securities | \$ 19,907 | \$ 1,340 | \$ 267 | \$ 20,980 |
| Trust preferred securities | 537 | — | 58 | 479 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 7,587 | 307 | 23 | 7,871 |
| Private label mortgage backed securities | 9,716 | 199 | 62 | 9,853 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 18,355 | 1,142 | 15 | 19,482 |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and agencies | 13,878 | 534 | 3 | 14,409 |
| Total fixed maturities | 69,980 | 3,522 | 428 | 73,074 |
| Equity securities | 4,931 | 4,206 | 590 | 8,547 |
| Total | \$ 74,911 | \$ 7,728 | \$ 1,018 | \$ 81,621 |

The amortized cost and aggregate fair values of investments in held-to-maturity securities as of December 31, 2011 are as follows:

| Held-to-maturity securities: | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Mortgage backed securities | \$ 2,026 | \$ 125 | \$ — | \$ 2,151 |
| Private label mortgage backed securities | 55 | 1 | — | 56 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 996 | 50 | — | 1,046 |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and agencies | 226 | 18 | — | 244 |
| Total | \$ 3,303 | \$ 194 | \$ — | \$ 3,497 |

The amortized cost and aggregate fair value of debt securities at December 31, 2012, by contractual maturity, are presented in the following table. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| | | (Dollars in Thousands) | |
|--|--|------------------------|------------------|
| | | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | |
| Due in one year or less | | \$ 1,045 | \$ 1,062 |
| Due after one year through five years | | 15,410 | 16,825 |
| Due after five years through ten years | | 23,364 | 24,813 |
| Due after ten years | | 31,859 | 33,594 |
| Total | | \$ 71,678 | \$ 76,294 |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | |
| Due in one year or less | | \$ — | \$ — |
| Due after one year through five years | | 222 | 230 |
| Due after five years through ten years | | 305 | 327 |
| Due after ten years | | 975 | 1,052 |
| Total | | \$ 1,502 | \$ 1,609 |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A summary of securities available-for-sale with unrealized losses as of December 31, 2012, along with the related fair value, aggregated by the length of time that investments have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, is as follows:

| December 31, 2012 | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Total | | Total Securities in a Loss Position |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| Fixed maturities | | | | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$ 2,226 | \$ 31 | \$ 963 | \$ 49 | \$ 3,189 | \$ 80 | 7 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 2,904 | 77 | 165 | 8 | 3,069 | 85 | 8 |
| Private label mortgage backed securities | 206 | 8 | 65 | 1 | 271 | 9 | 2 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 356 | 3 | — | — | 356 | 3 | 1 |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and agencies | 495 | 2 | — | — | 495 | 2 | 1 |
| Equity securities | — | — | 829 | 457 | 829 | 457 | 1 |
| | <u>\$ 6,187</u> | <u>\$ 121</u> | <u>\$ 2,022</u> | <u>\$ 515</u> | <u>\$ 8,209</u> | <u>\$ 636</u> | <u>20</u> |

A summary of securities available-for-sale with unrealized losses as of December 31, 2011, along with the related fair value, aggregated by the length of time that investments have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, is as follows:

| December 31, 2011 | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Total | | Total Securities in a Loss Position |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| Fixed maturities | | | | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$ 4,703 | \$ 166 | \$ 899 | \$ 101 | \$ 5,602 | \$ 267 | 15 |
| Trust preferred securities | 479 | 58 | — | — | 479 | 58 | 1 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 883 | 21 | 198 | 2 | 1,081 | 23 | 3 |
| Private label mortgage backed securities | 1,860 | 15 | 1,094 | 47 | 2,954 | 62 | 9 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | — | — | 1,803 | 15 | 1,803 | 15 | 5 |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and agencies | 260 | 3 | — | — | 260 | 3 | 1 |
| Equity securities | 391 | 49 | 802 | 541 | 1,193 | 590 | 6 |
| | <u>\$ 8,576</u> | <u>\$ 312</u> | <u>\$ 4,796</u> | <u>\$ 706</u> | <u>\$ 13,372</u> | <u>\$ 1,018</u> | <u>40</u> |

There were no securities held-to-maturity with unrealized losses as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

The Company conducts periodic reviews to identify and evaluate securities in an unrealized loss position in order to identify other-than-temporary impairments. For securities in an unrealized loss position, the Company assesses whether the Company has the intent to sell the security or more-likely-than-not will be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery. If either of these conditions is met, the Company is required to recognize an other-than-temporary impairment with the entire unrealized loss reported in earnings. For securities in an unrealized loss position that do not meet these conditions, the Company assesses whether the impairment of a security is other-than-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

temporary. If the impairment is determined to be other-than-temporary, the Company is required to separate the other-than-temporary impairments into two components: the amount representing the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The credit loss is the portion of the amortized book value in excess of the net present value of the projected future cash flows discounted at the effective interest rate implicit in the debt security prior to impairment. The credit loss component of other-than-temporary impairments is reported in earnings, whereas the amount relating to factors other than credit losses are recorded in other comprehensive income, net of taxes.

Management has evaluated each security in a significant unrealized loss position. The Company has no material exposure to sub-prime mortgage loans and less than 4% of the fixed income investment portfolio is rated below investment grade. In evaluating whether or not the equity loss positions were other-than-temporary impairments, Management evaluated financial information on each company and where available, reviewed analyst reports from at least two independent sources. Based on a review of the available financial information, the prospect for future earnings of each company and consideration of the Company's intent and ability to hold the securities until market values recovered, it was determined that the securities in an accumulated loss position in the portfolio were temporary impairments.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company realized \$87,000 in additional other-than-temporary impairments. The single largest accumulated loss not realized as an impairment was in the equity portfolio and totaled \$457,000. The second largest loss position was in the bond portfolio and totaled \$39,000. The third largest loss position was in the bond portfolio and totaled \$27,000.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company realized \$398,000 in other-than-temporary impairments. The single largest accumulated loss not realized as an impairment was in the equity portfolio and totaled \$501,000. The second largest loss position was in the bond portfolio and totaled \$70,000. The third largest loss position was in the bond portfolio and totaled \$58,000.

Major categories of investment income are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Fixed maturities | \$ 3,452 | \$ 3,656 |
| Equity securities | 196 | 234 |
| Mortgage loans on real estate | 26 | 13 |
| Investment real estate | 64 | 67 |
| Policy loans | 95 | 89 |
| Company owned life insurance | 271 | 140 |
| Other, principally short-term investments | 373 | 272 |
| | <u>4,477</u> | <u>4,471</u> |
| Less: Investment expenses | 250 | 210 |
| Net investment income | <u>\$ 4,227</u> | <u>\$ 4,261</u> |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Major categories of investment gains and losses are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Fixed maturities | \$ 539 | \$ 896 |
| Equity securities | 2,263 | 175 |
| Trading securities | 2 | (39) |
| Other, principally real estate | 73 | 35 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments | (87) | (398) |
| Net realized investment gains | <u>\$ 2,790</u> | <u>\$ 669</u> |

An analysis of the net change in unrealized appreciation on available-for-sale securities follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation on available-for-sale securities before deferred tax | \$ (153) | \$ 1,792 |
| Deferred income tax | 52 | (534) |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation on available-for-sale securities | <u>\$ (101)</u> | <u>\$ 1,258</u> |

NOTE 5 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND FINANCIAL LIABILITIES

Our available-for-sale securities consists of fixed maturity and equity securities which are recorded at fair value in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The change in the fair value of these investments, unless deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, is recorded as a component of other comprehensive income.

We are permitted to elect to measure financial instruments and certain other items at fair value, with the change in fair value recorded in earnings. We elected not to measure any eligible items using the fair value option.

Accounting standards define fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, and establishes a framework to make the measurement of fair value more consistent and comparable. In determining fair value, we primarily use prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets.

The Company categorizes assets and liabilities carried at their fair value based upon a fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 1 assets and liabilities consist of money market fund deposits and certain of our marketable debt and equity instruments, including equity instruments offsetting deferred compensation, that are traded in an active market with sufficient volume and frequency of transactions.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets with insufficient volume or infrequent transactions (less active markets); or model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 2 assets include certain of our marketable debt and equity instruments with quoted market prices that are traded in less active markets or priced using a quoted market price for similar instruments. Level 2 assets also include marketable equity instruments with security-specific restrictions that would transfer to the buyer, marketable debt instruments priced using indicator prices which represent non-binding market consensus prices that can be

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

corroborated by observable market quotes, as well as derivative contracts and debt instruments priced using inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. Marketable debt instruments in this category generally include commercial paper, bank time deposits, repurchase agreements for fixed-income instruments, and a majority of floating-rate notes, corporate bonds, and municipal bonds.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of fair value of assets or liabilities.

Level 3 assets and liabilities include marketable debt instruments, non-marketable equity investments, derivative contracts, and company issued debt whose values are determined using inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the values of the instruments being measured. Level 3 assets also include marketable debt instruments that are priced using indicator prices that we were unable to corroborate with observable market quotes.

Marketable debt instruments in this category generally include asset-backed securities and certain of our floating-rate notes, corporate bonds, and municipal bonds.

Assets/Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2012 are summarized in the following table by the type of inputs applicable to the fair value measurements (in thousands):

| Description | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | | |
|---|---|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Financial Assets | | | | |
| Fixed maturities available-for-sale | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$ 33,737 | \$ — | \$ 33,737 | \$ — |
| Trust preferred securities | 587 | — | 587 | — |
| Mortgage backed securities | 8,685 | — | 8,685 | — |
| Private label mortgage backed securities | 7,964 | — | 7,964 | — |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 17,516 | — | 17,516 | — |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and agencies | 7,805 | 7,805 | — | — |
| Trading securities | 40 | 40 | — | — |
| Equity securities available-for-sale | 5,132 | 4,303 | — | 829 |
| Total Financial Assets | \$ 81,466 | \$ 12,148 | \$ 68,489 | \$ 829 |
| Financial Liabilities | | | | |
| Interest rate swap | \$ 1,521 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 1,521 |
| Total Financial Liabilities | \$ 1,521 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 1,521 |

The methods and assumptions the Company uses to estimate the fair value of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below.

Fixed maturities available-for-sale — The fair values of the Company's public fixed maturity securities are generally based on prices obtained from independent pricing services. Consistent with the fair value hierarchy described above, securities with validated quotes from pricing services are generally reflected within Level 2, as they are primarily based on observable pricing for similar assets and/or other market observable inputs.

Trading securities — Trading securities consist primarily of mutual funds whose fair values are determined consistent with similar instruments described above under "Fixed Maturity Securities" and below under "Equity Securities" and "Derivative Instruments."

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Equity securities — Equity securities consist principally of investments in common and preferred stock of publicly traded companies and privately traded securities. The fair values of our publicly traded equity securities are based on quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets and are classified within Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy. Estimated fair values for our privately traded equity securities require a substantial level of judgment. Privately traded equity securities are classified within Level 3.

Interest rate swaps — Interest rate swaps are recorded at fair value either as assets, within other assets or as liabilities, within other liabilities. The fair values of our interest rate swaps are provided by a third party broker and are classified within Level 3.

As of December 31, 2012, Level 3 fair value measurements of assets include \$829,000 of equity securities in a local community bank whose value is based on an evaluation of the financial statements of the entity. The Company does not develop the unobservable inputs used in measuring fair value.

As of December 31, 2012, Level 3 fair value measurements of liabilities include \$1,521,000 net fair value of various interest rate swap agreements whose value is based on analysis provided by a third party broker. The Company does not develop the unobservable inputs used in measuring fair value. Additional information regarding the interest rate swap agreements is provided in Note 8.

The table below presents a reconciliation for all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| For the year ended December 31, 2012 | Equity Securities Available-for-Sale | Interest Rate Swap |
|---|---|--------------------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 642 | \$ (1,196) |
| Total gains or losses (realized and unrealized): | | |
| Included in earnings | — | — |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 44 | (325) |
| Purchases: | 143 | — |
| Sales: | — | — |
| Issuances: | — | — |
| Settlements: | — | — |
| Transfers in/(out) of Level 3 | — | — |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 829</u> | <u>\$ (1,521)</u> |
| The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets and liabilities still held as of December 31, 2012: | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ —</u> |

For the year ended December 31, 2012, there were no assets or liabilities measured at fair values on a nonrecurring basis.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011 are summarized in the following table by the type of inputs applicable to the fair value measurements (in thousands):

| Description | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | | |
|---|---|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Financial Assets | | | | |
| Fixed maturities available-for-sale | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$ 20,980 | \$ — | \$ 20,980 | \$ — |
| Trust preferred securities | 479 | — | 479 | — |
| Mortgage backed securities | 7,871 | — | 7,871 | — |
| Private label mortgage backed securities | 9,853 | — | 9,853 | — |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 19,482 | — | 19,482 | — |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government corporations and agencies | 14,409 | 14,409 | — | — |
| Trading securities | 80 | 80 | — | — |
| Equity securities available-for-sale | 8,547 | 7,905 | — | 642 |
| Total Financial Assets | <u>\$ 81,701</u> | <u>\$ 22,394</u> | <u>\$ 58,665</u> | <u>\$ 642</u> |
| Financial Liabilities | | | | |
| Interest rate swap | \$ 1,196 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 1,196 |
| Total Financial Liabilities | <u>\$ 1,196</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 1,196</u> |

The table below presents a reconciliation for all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| For the year ended December 31, 2011 | Equity Securities Available-for-Sale | Interest Rate Swap |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 787 | \$ (227) |
| Total gains or losses (realized and unrealized): | | |
| Included in earnings | — | — |
| Included in other comprehensive income | (145) | (969) |
| Purchases: | — | — |
| Sales: | — | — |
| Issuances: | — | — |
| Settlements: | — | — |
| Transfers in/(out) of Level 3 | — | — |
| Ending balance | <u>\$ 642</u> | <u>\$ (1,196)</u> |
| The amount of total gains or losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets and liabilities still held as of December 31, 2011: | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ —</u> |

For the year ended December 31, 2011, there were no assets or liabilities measured at fair values on a nonrecurring basis.

The Company is exposed to certain risks in the normal course of its business operations. The primary risk that is managed through the use of derivatives is interest rate risk on floating rate borrowings. This risk is managed through the use of interest rate swap agreements which are designated as cash flow hedges. For cash flow hedges, the

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

effective portion of the gain or loss on the interest rate swap is included as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged transaction is recognized in earnings. The Company does not hold or issue derivatives that are not designated as hedging instruments. See Note 8 for additional information about the interest rate swap agreements.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate fair value of each class of financial instrument for which it is practical to estimate that value:

Cash and cash equivalents — the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Mortgage loans — the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value due to the restrictive nature and limited marketability of the mortgage notes.

Policy loans — the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Company owned life insurance — the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Other invested assets — the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Other policyholder funds — the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Debt — the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

The carrying amount and estimate fair value of the Company's financial instruments as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value |
| Assets and related instruments | | | | |
| Mortgage loans | \$ 383 | \$ 383 | \$ 390 | \$ 390 |
| Policy loans | 1,317 | 1,317 | 1,244 | 1,244 |
| Company owned life insurance | 5,931 | 5,931 | 5,660 | 5,660 |
| Other invested assets | 3,777 | 3,777 | 3,929 | 3,929 |
| Liabilities and related instruments | | | | |
| Other policyholder funds | 1,417 | 1,417 | 1,408 | 1,408 |
| Short-term notes payable and current portion of long-term debt | 1,292 | 1,292 | 485 | 485 |
| Long-term debt | 25,339 | 25,339 | 12,372 | 12,372 |

NOTE 6 – PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Major categories of property and equipment are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Building and improvements | \$ 3,185 | \$ 3,185 |
| Electronic data processing equipment | 1,814 | 1,802 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 912 | 925 |
| | 5,911 | 5,912 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 3,519 | 3,384 |
| | <u>\$ 2,392</u> | <u>\$ 2,528</u> |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Depreciation expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$433,000 (\$382,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011).

NOTE 7 – INCOME TAXES

The Company recognizes tax-related interest and penalties as a component of tax expense. The Company has not incurred any income tax related interest and penalties during December 31, 2012 and \$1,000 during December 31, 2011. The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various states. The Company is not subject to examinations by authorities related to its U.S. federal or state income tax filings for years prior to 2006. Tax returns have been filed through the year 2011.

Net deferred tax liabilities are determined based on the estimated future tax effects of differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities given the provisions of the enacted tax laws. Management believes that, based on its historical pattern of taxable income, the Company will produce sufficient income in the future to realize its deferred tax assets. The Company recognized net deferred tax asset position of \$4,997,000 at December 31, 2012, and net deferred tax liability position of \$86,000 at December 31, 2011.

The tax effect of significant differences representing deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| General expenses | \$ 1,211 | \$ 1,151 |
| Unearned premiums | 1,751 | 1,714 |
| Claims liabilities | 243 | 271 |
| Litigation settlement | 3,570 | 425 |
| AMT credit | 246 | — |
| NOL carryforward | 2,711 | 1,363 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments on securities owned | 164 | 258 |
| Unrealized loss on interest rate swaps | 518 | 407 |
| Deferred tax assets | 10,414 | 5,589 |
| Trading securities | (1) | — |
| Depreciation | (94) | (144) |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | (3,093) | (3,250) |
| Unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale | (2,229) | (2,281) |
| Deferred tax liabilities | (5,417) | (5,675) |
| Net deferred tax asset (liability) | \$ 4,997 | \$ (86) |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The appropriate income tax effects of changes in temporary differences are as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | \$ (157) | \$ 376 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments | 94 | (143) |
| Trading securities | 1 | 17 |
| Unearned premiums | (37) | 81 |
| General expenses | (60) | (49) |
| Depreciation | (50) | (27) |
| Claim liabilities | 28 | 30 |
| Litigation settlement | (3,145) | (85) |
| AMT credit | (246) | — |
| NOL carryforward | (1,348) | (1,363) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Deferred income tax benefit | \$ (4,920) | \$ (1,163) |

Total income tax (benefit) expense varies from amounts computed by applying current federal income tax rates to income or loss before income taxes. The reason for these differences and the approximate tax effects are as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Federal income tax rate applied to pre-tax income/loss | 34.0% | 34.0 % |
| Dividends received deduction and tax-exempt interest | 1.4% | 3.1 % |
| Company owned life insurance | 0.8% | 0.6 % |
| Small life deduction | 3.2% | 2.2 % |
| Other, net | 0.3% | (5.1)% |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Effective federal income tax rate | 39.7% | 34.8 % |

Under pre-1984 life insurance company tax laws, a portion of NSIC's gain from operations was not subject to current income taxation, but was accumulated for tax purposes in a memorandum account designated "policyholders' surplus".

The aggregate balance in this account, \$2,520,000 at December 31, 2012, would be taxed at current rates only if distributed to shareholders or if the account exceeded a prescribed minimum. The Deficit Reduction Act of 1984 eliminated additions to policyholders' surplus for 1984 and thereafter. Deferred taxes have not been provided on amounts designated as policyholders' surplus. The deferred income tax liability not recognized is approximately \$857,000 at December 31, 2012.

At December 31, 2012, the Company has approximately \$7,974,000 of net operating loss carryforwards available (\$2,711,000 tax benefit) to be applied to future periods. These carryforwards expire in 2021 and 2022.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 8 – NOTES PAYABLE AND LONG-TERM DEBT

Short-term debt and current portion of long-term debt consisted of the following as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
|---|------------------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Line of credit with variable interest rate equal to the Wall Street Journal (WSJ) prime rate, subject to a 5.0% floor; maturity January 2013. Interest payments due quarterly. Unsecured. | \$ 125 | \$ 485 |
| Current portion of installment note payable due November 2013 with variable interest rate equal to the WSJ prime rate plus 1%; Unsecured | 1,167 | — |
| | <u>\$ 1,292</u> | <u>\$ 485</u> |

Long-term debt consisted of the following as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
|--|------------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Line of credit with variable interest rate equal to the WSJ prime rate, subject to a 4.5% floor; maturity September 2017. Interest payments due monthly. Secured. | \$ 3,634 | \$ — |
| Long term portion of installment note with variable interest rate equal to the WSJ prime rate plus 1% and adjustable each November; maturity November 2021. Interest payable annually with principal payable in equal annual installments. Next principal installment on long term portion due November 2014. Unsecured. | 9,333 | — |
| Subordinated debentures issued on December 15, 2005 with fixed interest rate of 8.83% each distribution period thereafter until December 15, 2015 when the coupon rate shall equal the 3-Month LIBOR plus 3.75% applied to the outstanding principal; maturity December 2035. Interest payments due quarterly. All may be redeemed at any time following the tenth anniversary of issuance. Unsecured. | 9,279 | 9,279 |
| Subordinated debentures issued on June 21, 2007 with a floating interest rate equal to the 3 Month LIBOR plus 3.40% applied to the outstanding principal; maturity June 15, 2037. Interest payments due quarterly. All may be redeemed at any time following the fifth anniversary of issuance. Unsecured. | 3,093 | 3,093 |
| | <u>\$ 25,339</u> | <u>\$ 12,372</u> |

The subordinated debentures (debentures) have the same maturities and other applicable terms and features as the associated trust preferred securities (TPS). Payment of interest may be deferred for up to 20 consecutive quarters; however, stockholder dividends cannot be paid during any extended interest payment period or any time the debentures are in default. All have stated maturities of thirty years. None of the TPS securities require the Company to maintain minimum financial covenants. The Company has guaranteed that amounts paid to the Trusts will be remitted to the holders of the associated TPS. This guarantee, when taken together with the obligations of the Company under the debentures, the Indentures pursuant to which the debentures were issued, and the related trust agreement (including obligations to pay related trust fees, expenses, debt and other obligations with respect to the TPS), provides a full and unconditional guarantee of amounts due the Trusts. The amount guaranteed is not expected to at any time exceed the obligations of the TPS, and no additional liability has been recorded related to the guarantee.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company has entered into various swap agreements related to the trust preferred securities. On March 19, 2009, the Company entered into a forward swap effective September 17, 2012, with a notional amount of \$3,000,000 and designated the swap as a hedge against changes in cash flows attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate (LIBOR) associated with the subordinated debentures issued June 21, 2007. Commencing September 17, 2012, under the terms of the forward swap, the Company receives interest at the three-month LIBOR rate plus 3.4% and pay interest at the fixed rate of 7.02%. This forward swap will effectively fix the interest rate on \$3,000,000 in debt until September of 2019.

On May 26, 2010, the Company entered into a forward swap with a notional amount of \$9,000,000 effective December 15, 2015, which will hedge against changes in cash flows following the termination of the fixed rate period. Quarterly, commencing March 16, 2016 under the terms of the forward swap, the Company will pay interest at a fixed rate of 8.49% until March 15, 2020.

The swaps entered into in 2009 and 2010 have fair values of \$471,000 (liability) and \$1,050,000 (liability), respectively, for a total liability of \$1,521,000 at December 31, 2012 (\$1,196,000 at December 31, 2011). The swap liability is reported as a component of other liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. A net valuation loss of \$214,000 is included in accumulated other comprehensive income related to the swap agreements for the current period. A net valuation loss of \$640,000 was included in accumulated other comprehensive income related to the swap at December 31, 2011.

We use dollar offset at the hedge's inception and for each reporting period thereafter to assess whether the derivative used in a hedging transaction is expected to be, and has been, effective in offsetting changes in the fair value of the hedged item. Since inception, no portion of the hedged item has been deemed ineffective. For all hedges, we discontinue hedge accounting if it is determined that a derivative is not expected to be, or has ceased to be, effective as a hedge.

The Company's interest rate swaps include provisions requiring the Company to post collateral when the derivative is in a net liability position. The Company has securities on deposit with fair market values of \$1,557,000 (all of which is posted as collateral). At December 31, 2011, the Company had cash collateral on deposit of \$310,000 in addition to securities on deposit with fair market values of \$877,000, all of which is posted as collateral. See Note 5 for additional information about the interest rate swaps.

In January 2012, the Company renewed an unsecured line of credit for \$700,000, with an interest rate of 5%, to be made available for general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2012, \$125,000 was drawn on this line (\$485,000 at December 31, 2011). The Company renewed this line of credit in January 2013 under the same terms as disclosed in the table above.

In July 2012, the Company executed a promissory note in the amount of \$13,000,000 payable to the Bagley Family Revocable Trust with an interest rate of WSJ prime plus 1% (5.25% at December 31, 2012). The purpose of this promissory note is to finance the settlement obligation related to the Mobile Attic litigation. As of December 31, 2012, a total of \$10,500,000 was outstanding with principal payments due in equal annual installments of \$1,167,000 payable each November beginning in 2013. Installment payments due within 12 months of the balance sheet date are classified as current portion of long term debt.

In September 2012, the Company obtained a secured line of credit in the amount of \$4,000,000 with an interest rate equal to the WSJ prime (4.5% at December 31, 2012) and subject to a 4.5% floor. As of December 31, 2012, \$3,634,000 was drawn on this line. The line of credit is secured by timber property investments of the Company.

NOTE 9 – POLICY AND CLAIM RESERVES

The Company regularly updates its reserve estimates as new information becomes available and events occur that may impact the resolution of unsettled claims. Changes in prior years' reserve estimates are reflected in the results of operations in the year such changes are determined.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table is a reconciliation of beginning and ending property and casualty reserve balances for claims and claim adjustment expense (dollars in thousands):

| | Year ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Summary of claims and claim adjustment expense reserves | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 14,386 | \$ 13,184 |
| Less reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses | 2,381 | 1,329 |
| Net balances at beginning of year | 12,005 | 11,855 |
| Net losses: | | |
| Provision for claims and claim adjustment expenses for claims arising in current year | 30,569 | 39,292 |
| Estimated claims and claim adjustment expenses for claims arising in prior years | (1,476) | 59 |
| Total increases | 29,093 | 39,351 |
| Claims and claim adjustment expense payments for claims arising in: | | |
| Current year | 26,272 | 33,012 |
| Prior years | 4,841 | 6,189 |
| Total payments | 31,113 | 39,201 |
| Net balance at end of year | 9,985 | 12,005 |
| Plus reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses | 1,229 | 2,381 |
| Claims and claim adjustment expense reserves at end of year | \$ 11,214 | \$ 14,386 |

The 2012 decrease in property and casualty benefit loss reserves was primarily due to a reduction in claim and claim adjustment expense estimates arising in prior years primarily attributable to reductions in loss reserves associated with the now discontinued private passenger auto line of business.

The Company has a geographic exposure to catastrophe losses in certain areas of the country. Catastrophes can be caused by various events including hurricanes, windstorms, earthquakes, hail, severe winter weather, explosions and fires, and the incidence and severity of catastrophes are inherently unpredictable. The extent of losses from a catastrophe is a function of both the total amount of insured exposure in the area affected by the event and the severity of the event. Most catastrophe losses are restricted to small geographic areas; however, hurricanes and earthquakes may produce significant damage in large, heavily populated areas. The Company generally seeks to reduce its exposure to catastrophes through individual risk selection and the purchase of catastrophe reinsurance. At December 31, 2012, the Company's estimate of unpaid losses and adjustment expenses for claims incurred in prior years related to catastrophes that exceeded our retention totaled \$958,000 before reinsurance (\$1,793,000 in 2011). Because the Company has exhausted its catastrophe coverage limits available for Hurricane Katrina any additional development will not be covered by reinsurance. The Company maintains case reserves of \$390,000 for losses in excess of catastrophe reinsurance (\$266,000 in 2011).

NOTE 10 – REINSURANCE

The Company's insurance operations utilize reinsurance in order to limit losses, minimize exposure to large risks, provide additional capacity for future growth and effect business-sharing arrangements. Life reinsurance is accomplished through yearly renewable term coverage. Property and casualty reinsurance is placed on both a quota-share and excess of loss basis. Reinsurance ceded arrangements do not discharge the insurance subsidiaries as the primary insurer, except for cases involving a novation. Failure of re-insurers to honor their obligations could result in losses to the insurance subsidiaries. The insurance subsidiaries evaluate the financial conditions of their reinsurance

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

companies and monitor concentrations of credit risk arising from similar geographic regions, activities, or economic characteristics of the companies to minimize their exposure to significant losses from reinsurance insolvencies.

In the normal course of business, NSFC seeks to reduce the loss that may arise from catastrophes or other individually significant large loss events that cause unfavorable underwriting results by re-insuring certain levels of risk in various areas of exposure with reinsurance companies. NSFC maintains a catastrophe reinsurance agreement to cover losses from catastrophic events, primarily hurricanes.

Under the catastrophe reinsurance program, the Company retains the first \$4,000,000 in losses from each event. Reinsurance coverage is maintained in four layers as follows:

| Layer | Reinsurers' Limits of Liability |
|--------------|--|
| First Layer | 95% of \$6,000,000 in excess of \$4,000,000 |
| Second Layer | 95% of \$7,500,000 in excess of \$10,000,000 |
| Third Layer | 100% of \$25,000,000 in excess of \$17,500,000 |
| Fourth Layer | 100% of \$30,000,000 in excess of \$42,500,000 |

Each reinsurance layer covers events occurring from January 1-December 31 of the contract year. All significant reinsurance companies under the program carry A.M. Best ratings of A- (Excellent) or higher, or equivalent ratings.

Amounts recoverable from re-insurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the claim liability associated with the underlying insurance policies. Amounts paid for prospective reinsurance contracts are reported as prepaid reinsurance premiums and amortized over the remaining contract period.

In the normal course of business, NSIC seeks to limit its exposure to loss on any single insured and to recover a portion of benefits paid by ceding reinsurance to reinsurance companies under excess coverage contracts. NSIC retains a maximum of \$50,000 of coverage per individual life. The cost of reinsurance is amortized over the contract period of the reinsurance.

At December 31, 2012, the largest reinsurance recoverable of a single reinsurer was \$295,000 (\$574,000 in 2011). Amounts reported as ceded incurred losses in 2012 were related to the development of losses from prior year catastrophes. During 2011, amounts reported as ceded incurred losses were related to April tornado losses in excess of the Company's retention in addition to development from prior year catastrophes.

The effect of reinsurance on premiums written and earned in the property and casualty segment is as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|---------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Written | Earned | Written | Earned |
| Direct | \$ 53,544 | \$ 53,469 | \$ 55,775 | \$ 56,978 |
| Assumed | — | — | — | — |
| Ceded | (8,263) | (8,263) | (7,598) | (7,605) |
| Net | <u>\$ 45,281</u> | <u>\$ 45,206</u> | <u>\$ 48,177</u> | <u>\$ 49,373</u> |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The effect of reinsurance on premiums written and earned in the life segment is as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Written | Earned | Written | Earned |
| Direct | \$ 6,806 | \$ 6,682 | \$ 6,949 | \$ 6,926 |
| Assumed | — | — | — | — |
| Ceded | (73) | (73) | (56) | (56) |
| Net | <u>\$ 6,733</u> | <u>\$ 6,609</u> | <u>\$ 6,893</u> | <u>\$ 6,870</u> |

NOTE 11 – EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

In 1989, the Company and its subsidiaries established a retirement savings plan (401K Plan) and transferred the assets from the defined contribution profit sharing plan into the new plan. All full-time employees are eligible to participate, and all employer contributions are fully vested for employees who have completed 1,000 hours of service in the year of contribution. Company matching contributions for 2012 and 2011 amounted to \$202,000 and \$216,000, respectively. The Company contributes dollar-for-dollar matching contributions up to 5% of compensation subject to government limitations.

In January 2006, the Company established a non-qualified plan under which directors are allowed to defer all or a portion of directors' fees into various investment options.

The supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP) became effective March 1, 2008 and covers named executive officers with the Company contributing 15% of executive compensation to the plan. Contributions to the plan are fully vested upon the earlier of death, disability, change in control, or ten years of participation in the plan. Costs for amounts credited of the non-qualified deferred compensation plans for 2012 and 2011 amounted to approximately \$158,000 and \$68,000, respectively.

A subsidiary of the Company established an Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) in January 2010, to enable its eligible employees to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company's common stock and to provide retirement and other benefits to such employees. During 2012, \$100,000 was contributed to the ESOP to fund the purchase of shares on the open market. There were no costs incurred during 2011.

NOTE 12 – REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AND DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

The Company is dependent on dividends from its insurance subsidiaries to fund operations and payment of shareholder dividends. Dividend payments from the insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulatory review/approval and statutory limitations. The statutory limitations are outlined as follows:

The amount of dividends paid from NSIC to the Company in any year may not exceed, without prior approval of regulatory authorities, the greater of 10% of statutory surplus as of the end of the preceding year, or the statutory net gain from operations for the preceding year. At December 31, 2012, NSIC's retained earnings unrestricted for the payment of dividends in the next twelve months amounted to \$1,034,000.

NSFC is similarly restricted in the amount of dividends payable to the Company; dividends may not exceed the greater of 10% of statutory surplus as of the end of the preceding year, or net income for the preceding year. At December 31, 2012, NSFC's retained earnings unrestricted for the payment of dividends in the next twelve months amounted to \$2,468,000.

The payment of any subsidiary dividend requires prior notice to the regulatory authorities who may disallow the dividend if, in their judgment, payment of the dividend would have an adverse effect on the surplus of the subsidiary.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

At December 31, 2012, securities with market values of \$3,785,000 (\$3,786,000 at December 31, 2011) were deposited with various states pursuant to statutory requirements.

NOTE 13 – SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, changes in shareholders' equity consisted of net losses of \$6,671,000 and \$4,956,000, respectively; dividends paid of \$802,000 in 2012 and \$1,357,000 in 2011; changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of applicable taxes, of \$315,000 in 2012 and other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes, of \$618,000 in 2011. Other comprehensive loss consists of accumulated unrealized gains and losses on securities and unrealized gains and losses on interest rate swaps.

Preferred Stock

Preferred Stock may be issued in one or more series as shall from time to time be determined and authorized by the Board of Directors. The directors may make specific provisions regarding (a) the voting rights, if any (b) whether such dividends are to be cumulative or noncumulative (c) the redemption provisions, if any (d) participating rights, if any (e) any sinking fund or other retirement provisions (f) dividend rates (g) the number of shares of such series and (h) liquidation preference.

Common Stock

The holders of the Class A Common Stock will have one-twentieth of one vote per share, and the holders of the common stock will have one vote per share.

In the event of any liquidation, dissolution or distribution of the assets of the Company remaining after the payments to the holders of the Preferred Stock of the full preferential amounts to which they may be entitled as provided in the resolution or resolutions creating any series thereof, the remaining assets of the Company shall be divided and distributed among the holders of both classes of common stock, except as may otherwise be provided in any such resolution or resolutions.

The table below provides information regarding the Company's preferred and common stock as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| | December 31, 2012 | | | December 31, 2011 | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Authorized | Issued | Outstanding | Authorized | Issued | Outstanding |
| Preferred Stock, \$1 par value | 500,000 | — | — | 500,000 | — | — |
| Class A Common Stock, \$1 par value | 2,000,000 | — | — | 2,000,000 | — | — |
| Common Stock, \$1 par value | 3,000,000 | 2,466,600 | 2,466,600 | 3,000,000 | 2,466,600 | 2,466,600 |

NOTE 14 – SEGMENTS

The Company's property and casualty insurance operations comprise one business segment. The property and casualty insurance segment consists of seven lines of business: dwelling fire and extended coverage, homeowners (including mobile homeowners), ocean marine, other liability, private passenger auto liability, commercial auto liability and auto physical damage. Management organizes the business utilizing a niche strategy focusing on lower valued dwellings. Our chief decision makers (President, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Executive Officer) review results and operating plans making decisions on resource allocations on a company-wide basis. The Company's products are primarily produced through agents within the states in which we operate. The Company's life and accident and health operations comprise the second business segment. The life and accident and health insurance segment consists of two lines of business: traditional life insurance and accident and health insurance.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Premium revenues and operating income by industry segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 are summarized below (*dollars in thousands*):

| Year ended December 31, 2012 | Total | P&C Insurance Operations | Life Insurance Operations | Non- Insurance Operations |
|---|--------------------|---|--|--|
| REVENUE | | | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 51,815 | \$ 45,206 | \$ 6,609 | \$ — |
| Net investment income | 4,227 | 2,107 | 2,029 | 91 |
| Net realized investment gains | 2,790 | 1,083 | 1,635 | 72 |
| Other income | 720 | 718 | 2 | — |
| | <u>59,552</u> | <u>49,114</u> | <u>10,275</u> | <u>163</u> |
| BENEFITS AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Policyholder benefits paid | 34,105 | 28,811 | 5,294 | — |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 3,711 | 2,773 | 938 | — |
| Commissions | 7,556 | 7,088 | 468 | — |
| General and administrative expenses | 8,790 | 6,262 | 2,009 | 519 |
| Litigation settlement and defense costs | 13,328 | — | — | 13,328 |
| Taxes, licenses and fees | 1,846 | 1,628 | 218 | — |
| Interest expense | 1,280 | — | 53 | 1,227 |
| | <u>70,616</u> | <u>46,562</u> | <u>8,980</u> | <u>15,074</u> |
| Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes | <u>\$ (11,064)</u> | <u>\$ 2,552</u> | <u>\$ 1,295</u> | <u>\$ (14,911)</u> |

| Year ended December 31, 2011 | Total | P&C Insurance Operations | Life Insurance Operations | Non- Insurance Operations |
|---|-------------------|---|--|--|
| REVENUE | | | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 56,243 | \$ 49,373 | \$ 6,870 | \$ — |
| Net investment income | 4,261 | 2,246 | 1,939 | 76 |
| Net realized investment gains (losses) | 669 | 666 | 43 | (40) |
| Other income | 919 | 916 | 3 | — |
| | <u>62,092</u> | <u>53,201</u> | <u>8,855</u> | <u>36</u> |
| BENEFITS AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Policyholder benefits paid | 44,025 | 38,836 | 5,189 | — |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 4,020 | 3,246 | 774 | — |
| Commissions | 8,148 | 7,615 | 533 | — |
| General and administrative expenses | 8,834 | 6,322 | 2,081 | 431 |
| Litigation settlement and defense costs | 1,626 | — | — | 1,626 |
| Taxes, licenses and fees | 1,885 | 1,569 | 316 | — |
| Interest expense | 1,153 | 2 | 60 | 1,091 |
| | <u>69,691</u> | <u>57,590</u> | <u>8,953</u> | <u>3,148</u> |
| Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes | <u>\$ (7,599)</u> | <u>\$ (4,389)</u> | <u>\$ (98)</u> | <u>\$ (3,112)</u> |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the Company's gross and net premiums written for the property and casualty segment and the life and accident and health segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Life, accident and health operations premiums written: | | |
| Traditional life insurance | \$ 4,936 | \$ 5,034 |
| Accident and health insurance | 1,870 | 1,915 |
| Total life, accident and health | 6,806 | 6,949 |
| Property and Casualty operations premiums written: | | |
| Dwelling fire & extended coverage | 28,161 | 26,209 |
| Homeowners (Including mobile homeowners) | 22,867 | 24,268 |
| Ocean marine | 981 | 1,218 |
| Other liability | 1,471 | 1,312 |
| Private passenger auto liability | 37 | 1,713 |
| Commercial auto liability | 6 | 363 |
| Auto physical damage | 21 | 692 |
| Total property and casualty | 53,544 | 55,775 |
| Gross premiums written | 60,350 | 62,724 |
| Reinsurance premium ceded | (8,336) | (7,654) |
| Net premiums written | \$ 52,014 | \$ 55,070 |

The following table presents the Company's gross and net premiums earned for the property and casualty segment and the life and accident and health segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Life, accident and health operations premiums earned: | | |
| Traditional life insurance | \$ 4,818 | \$ 5,008 |
| Accident and health insurance | 1,864 | 1,918 |
| Total life, accident and health | 6,682 | 6,926 |
| Property and Casualty operations premiums earned: | | |
| Dwelling fire & extended coverage | 27,206 | 26,250 |
| Homeowners (Including mobile homeowners) | 23,287 | 24,910 |
| Ocean marine | 1,090 | 1,205 |
| Other liability | 1,412 | 1,257 |
| Private passenger auto liability | 319 | 2,154 |
| Commercial auto liability | 6 | 363 |
| Auto physical damage | 149 | 839 |
| Total property and casualty | 53,469 | 56,978 |
| Gross premiums earned | 60,151 | 63,904 |
| Reinsurance premium ceded | (8,336) | (7,661) |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 51,815 | \$ 56,243 |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 15 – CONTINGENCIES

The Company and its subsidiaries continue to be named individually as parties to litigation related to the conduct of their insurance operations. These suits involve alleged breaches of contracts, torts, including bad faith and fraud claims based on alleged wrongful or fraudulent acts of agents of the Company's subsidiaries, and miscellaneous other causes of action.

The Company's property & casualty subsidiaries are defending a limited number of matters filed in the aftermath of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in Mississippi, Louisiana and Alabama. These actions include individual lawsuits with allegations of underpayment of hurricane-related claims, including allegations that the flood exclusion found in the Company's subsidiaries' policies, and in certain actions other insurance companies' policies, is either ambiguous, unenforceable as unconscionable or contrary to public policy, or inapplicable to the damage sustained.

The various suits seek a variety of remedies, including actual and/or punitive damages in unspecified amounts and/or declaratory relief. All of these matters are in various stages of development and the Company's subsidiaries intend to vigorously defend them. The outcome of these disputes is currently uncertain.

In April 2007, the Company sold substantially all of its 50% interest in Mobile Attic, Inc., to Bagley Family Revocable Trust (the "Purchaser"). The Company, Peter L. Cash and Russell L. Cash (collectively the "Sellers") sold to Purchaser 61% of the outstanding stock of Mobile Attic under the terms of a Stock Purchase Agreement dated April 5, 2007, executed by Sellers, Mobile Attic and Purchaser's assignor, James W. Bagley (the "Stock Purchase Agreement").

Under the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Purchaser paid the Company \$2,700,000 for 45% of the total outstanding stock of Mobile Attic and paid the other Sellers \$960,000 for an additional 16% of the total outstanding stock in Mobile Attic, thus obtaining a controlling interest of 61% of the outstanding stock. The Stock Purchase Agreement provided that Purchaser was to use his best efforts to cause the Company to be released from its guaranty of a bank loan to Mobile Attic having an outstanding principal balance of approximately \$9,400,000. The bank loan was secured by portable storage containers of Mobile Attic. The Sellers made certain warranties to the Purchaser in the Stock Purchase Agreement regarding the financial condition of Mobile Attic and agreed to jointly and severally indemnify the Purchaser for any damages resulting from a breach of any of the warranties.

As previously disclosed, the Company and the Purchaser have been involved in litigation regarding this transaction. The Purchaser asserted claims against the Company seeking indemnification of Purchaser's losses and damages as a result of the breach of representations and warranties regarding Mobile Attic's financial condition, as set forth in the Stock Purchase Agreement.

On June 20, 2012, the Company and Bagley Trust reached a settlement agreement to dispose of this action. Under the terms of the settlement, the Company agreed to pay Bagley Trust \$13,000,000. The terms of the agreement were formalized in the form of a promissory note in accordance with the following payment schedule: \$2.5 million on September 18, 2012, and the balance payable in 9 equal annual installments on November 15 each year beginning in 2013 with a final payment in 2021. The unpaid principal will bear interest at WSJ prime rate plus 1% per annum. The settlement allows for the Company to defer payments in years in which its P&C subsidiaries incur substantial catastrophe losses thus allowing capital management flexibility in the P&C subsidiaries. Under the terms of the agreement, annual debt service payments on the note must equal or exceed any payment of dividends to shareholders in the preceding twelve months.

NOTE 16 – SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash paid for interest during 2012 was \$1,163,000 (\$1,144,000 in 2011). Cash received for income taxes in 2012 was \$1,437,000 compared to cash paid for income taxes in 2011 of \$316,000.

NOTE 17 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Management has evaluated subsequent events and their potential effects on these consolidated financial statements.

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Schedule I. Summary of Investments Other Than Investments in Related Parties

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.
(Dollars in Thousands)

| | December 31, 2012 | | | December 31, 2011 | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | Cost | Fair Value | Amount per the Balance Sheet | Cost | Fair Value | Amount per the Balance Sheet |
| Securities Held-to-Maturity: | | | | | | |
| United States government | \$ 163 | \$ 177 | \$ 163 | \$ 226 | \$ 244 | \$ 226 |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | 145 | 147 | 145 | 996 | 1,046 | 996 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 1,194 | 1,285 | 1,194 | 2,026 | 2,151 | 2,026 |
| Private label mortgage backed securities..... | — | — | — | 55 | 56 | 55 |
| Industrial and Miscellaneous | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total Securities Held-to-Maturity..... | 1,502 | 1,609 | 1,502 | 3,303 | 3,497 | 3,303 |
| Securities Available-for-Sale: | | | | | | |
| Equity Securities: | | | | | | |
| Banks and insurance companies | 1,363 | 1,231 | 1,231 | 1,323 | 1,446 | 1,446 |
| Industrial and all other | 1,828 | 3,901 | 3,901 | 3,608 | 7,101 | 7,101 |
| Total equity securities | 3,191 | 5,132 | 5,132 | 4,931 | 8,547 | 8,547 |
| Debt Securities: | | | | | | |
| United States government | 7,320 | 7,805 | 7,805 | 13,878 | 14,409 | 14,409 |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | 16,160 | 17,516 | 17,516 | 18,355 | 19,482 | 19,482 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 8,595 | 8,685 | 8,685 | 7,587 | 7,871 | 7,871 |
| Private label mortgage backed securities..... | 7,679 | 7,964 | 7,964 | 9,716 | 9,853 | 9,853 |
| Trust preferred securities | 537 | 587 | 587 | 537 | 479 | 479 |
| Industrial and Miscellaneous | 31,387 | 33,737 | 33,737 | 19,907 | 20,980 | 20,980 |
| Total Debt Securities | 71,678 | 76,294 | 76,294 | 69,980 | 73,074 | 73,074 |
| Total Available-for-Sale | 74,869 | 81,426 | 81,426 | 74,911 | 81,621 | 81,621 |
| Total Securities | 76,371 | 83,035 | 82,928 | 78,214 | 85,118 | 84,924 |
| Trading securities | 40 | 40 | 40 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Mortgage loans on real estate | 383 | 383 | 383 | 390 | 390 | 390 |
| Investment real estate | 5,757 | 5,757 | 5,757 | 5,745 | 5,745 | 5,745 |
| Policy loans | 1,317 | 1,317 | 1,317 | 1,244 | 1,244 | 1,244 |
| Company owned life insurance | 5,000 | 5,931 | 5,931 | 5,000 | 5,660 | 5,660 |
| Other invested assets | 3,777 | 3,777 | 3,777 | 3,929 | 3,929 | 3,929 |
| Total investments | <u>\$ 92,645</u> | <u>\$ 100,240</u> | <u>\$ 100,133</u> | <u>\$ 94,602</u> | <u>\$ 102,166</u> | <u>\$ 101,972</u> |

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Schedule II. Condensed Financial Information of Registrant

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC. (PARENT COMPANY)

BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands)

| | December 31, | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Assets | | |
| Fixed maturities available-for-sale, at estimated fair value | \$ 1,557 | \$ 877 |
| Investment real estate, at book value | 1,457 | — |
| Cash | 58 | 1,074 |
| Investment in subsidiaries (equity method) eliminated upon consolidation | 49,407 | 50,162 |
| Deferred income tax asset | 6,706 | 1,901 |
| Other assets | 723 | 770 |
| Total Assets | \$ 59,908 | \$ 54,784 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Accrued general expenses | \$ 1,529 | \$ 2,716 |
| Interest rate swaps | 1,521 | 1,196 |
| Notes payable | 26,631 | 12,857 |
| Total Liabilities | 29,681 | 16,769 |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 30,227 | 38,015 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ 59,908 | \$ 54,784 |

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC. (PARENT COMPANY)
 STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)
 (Dollars in thousands)

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Income | | |
| Dividends (eliminated upon consolidation) | \$ 1,800 | \$ 2,100 |
| Property transfer from subsidiary | 1,473 | — |
| Net realized investment gains (losses) | 72 | (39) |
| Holding company management service fees | 906 | 994 |
| Other income | 91 | 76 |
| | <u>4,342</u> | <u>3,131</u> |
| Expenses | | |
| State taxes | 42 | 43 |
| Litigation settlement and defense costs | 13,328 | 1,626 |
| Interest | 1,229 | 1,091 |
| Other expenses | 477 | 388 |
| | <u>15,076</u> | <u>3,148</u> |
| Loss before income taxes and equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | (10,734) | (17) |
| Income tax benefit | <u>(4,697)</u> | <u>(784)</u> |
| Income (loss) before equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | (6,037) | 767 |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | <u>(634)</u> | <u>(5,723)</u> |
| Net loss | <u>\$ (6,671)</u> | <u>\$ (4,956)</u> |

FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC. (PARENT COMPANY)
 STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (Dollars in thousands)

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (6,671) | \$ (4,956) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 634 | 5,723 |
| Net realized investment (gains) losses | (72) | 39 |
| Deferred income tax expense | (4,697) | (719) |
| Property transfer from subsidiary | (1,473) | — |
| Other, net | (1,142) | 1,439 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | <u>(13,421)</u> | <u>1,526</u> |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | |
| Net purchases of investments | (567) | — |
| Net cash used in investing activities | <u>(567)</u> | <u>—</u> |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | |
| Proceeds (repayments) from debt | 13,774 | (15) |
| Cash dividends | (802) | (1,357) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | <u>12,972</u> | <u>(1,372)</u> |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (1,016) | 154 |
| Cash, at beginning of year | 1,074 | 920 |
| Cash, at end of year | <u>\$ 58</u> | <u>\$ 1,074</u> |

Notes to Condensed Financial Information of Registrant**Note 1 - Basis of Presentation**

Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Condensed Financial Information of the Registrant does not include all of the information and notes normally included with financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. It is, therefore, suggested that this Condensed Financial Information be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in the Registrant's Annual Report as referenced in Form 10-K, Part II, Item 8, page 40.

Note 2 - Cash Dividends and Asset Transfers from Insurance Subsidiaries

In 2012, cash dividends of \$1.8 million were paid to the Registrant by its subsidiaries (\$2.1 million in 2011). Also in 2012, timber property with a carrying value of \$1.5 million was transferred to the Registrant from an insurance subsidiary.

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Schedule III. Supplementary Insurance Information

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

(Dollars in thousands)

| | | | | Deferred Acquisition Costs | Future Policy Benefits | Unearned Premiums |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|---|
| At December 31, 2012: | | | | | | |
| Life and accident and health insurance | | | | \$ 5,960 | \$ 33,377 | \$ 16 |
| Property and casualty insurance | | | | 3,137 | — | 25,761 |
| Total | | | | <u>\$ 9,097</u> | <u>\$ 33,377</u> | <u>\$ 25,777</u> |
| At December 31, 2011: | | | | | | |
| Life and accident and health insurance | | | | \$ 6,231 | \$ 32,379 | \$ 16 |
| Property and casualty insurance | | | | 3,327 | — | 25,713 |
| Total | | | | <u>\$ 9,558</u> | <u>\$ 32,379</u> | <u>\$ 25,729</u> |
| | Premium Revenue | Net Investment Income | Other Income | Benefits, Claims, Losses and Settlement Expenses | Commissions, Amortization of Policy Acquisition Costs | General Expenses, Taxes, Licenses and Fees |
| For the year ended December 31, 2012: | | | | | | |
| Life and accident and health insurance | \$ 6,609 | \$ 2,029 | \$ 2 | \$ 5,294 | \$ 1,406 | \$ 2,227 |
| Property and casualty insurance | 45,206 | 2,107 | 718 | 28,811 | 9,861 | 7,890 |
| Other | — | 91 | — | — | — | 13,847 |
| Total | <u>\$ 51,815</u> | <u>\$ 4,227</u> | <u>\$ 720</u> | <u>\$ 34,105</u> | <u>\$ 11,267</u> | <u>\$ 23,964</u> |
| For the year ended December 31, 2011: | | | | | | |
| Life and accident and health insurance | \$ 6,870 | \$ 1,939 | \$ 3 | \$ 5,189 | \$ 1,307 | \$ 2,397 |
| Property and casualty insurance | 49,373 | 2,246 | 916 | 38,836 | 10,861 | 7,891 |
| Other | — | 76 | — | — | — | 2,057 |
| Total | <u>\$ 56,243</u> | <u>\$ 4,261</u> | <u>\$ 919</u> | <u>\$ 44,025</u> | <u>\$ 12,168</u> | <u>\$ 12,345</u> |

Note: Investment income and other operating expenses are reported separately by segment and not allocated.

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Schedule IV. Reinsurance

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

(Amounts in thousands)

| | Gross Amount | Ceded to Other Companies | Assumed from Other Companies | Net Amount | Percentage of Amount Assumed to Net |
|--|-------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| For the year ended December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Life insurance in force | <u>\$ 211,778</u> | <u>\$ 11,200</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 200,578</u> | <u>—%</u> |
| Premiums: | | | | | |
| Life insurance and accident and health insurance | \$ 6,682 | \$ 73 | \$ — | \$ 6,609 | —% |
| Property and casualty insurance | 53,469 | 8,263 | — | 45,206 | —% |
| Total premiums | <u>\$ 60,151</u> | <u>\$ 8,336</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 51,815</u> | <u>—%</u> |
| For the year ended December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| Life insurance in force | <u>\$ 217,970</u> | <u>\$ 12,341</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 205,629</u> | <u>—%</u> |
| Premiums: | | | | | |
| Life insurance and accident and health insurance | \$ 6,926 | \$ 56 | \$ — | \$ 6,870 | —% |
| Property and casualty insurance | 56,978 | 7,605 | — | 49,373 | —% |
| Total premiums | <u>\$ 63,904</u> | <u>\$ 7,661</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 56,243</u> | <u>—%</u> |

Schedule V. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

The National Security Group, Inc
Years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011
(Dollars in thousands)

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|--------------|
| Balance, January 1 Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | \$ 29 | \$ — |
| Additions | — | 29 |
| Deletions | (23) | — |
| Balance, December 31 Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | <u>\$ 6</u> | <u>\$ 29</u> |

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Company management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that all material information required to be filed in this annual report has been made known to them in a timely fashion. There have been no significant changes in internal controls, or in factors that could significantly affect internal controls, subsequent to the date the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer completed their evaluation.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of The National Security Group, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to management and board of directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Item 9B. Other Information

None

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Executive Officers

JACK E. BRUNSON (56) has served as a director since 1999 and as President of NSFC since 1997. He also serves on the Boards of Directors of NSFC and Omega. He joined the Company in 1982. Mr. Brunson is a Chartered Property and Casualty Underwriter.

W. L. BRUNSON, JR. (54) has served as a director since 1999 and as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 2000. He also holds the position of President of NSIC. He joined the Company in 1983. Mr. Brunson is also a director of NSFC, NATSCO, NSIC, and Omega. Mr. Brunson is a member of the Alabama State Bar.

BRIAN R. MCLEOD (44) currently serves as Vice President of Finance & Operations, CFO and Treasurer of the Company. From 1992-2002 he served as Controller. He joined the Company in 1992. Mr. McLeod is a Director of NSIC, NSFC, Omega and NATSCO. Mr. McLeod is also a member of the Board of Directors for Trinity Bank, a community bank in Dothan, Alabama. Mr. McLeod is a Certified Public Accountant.

The information contained in The National Security Group's definitive proxy statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before April 5, 2013 with respect to directors and executive officers of the Company as well as Corporate Governance, is incorporated herein by reference in response to this item.

The information contained in The National Security Group's definitive proxy statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before April 5, 2013 with respect to Audit Committee and Audit Committee financial expert, is incorporated herein by reference in response to this item.

The information contained in The National Security Group's definitive proxy statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before April 5, 2013 with respect to information on the beneficial ownership reporting for directors and executive officers, is incorporated herein by reference in response to this item.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information contained in The National Security Group's definitive proxy statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before April 5, 2013, with respect to executive compensation and transactions, is incorporated herein by reference in response to this item.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information contained in The National Security Group's definitive proxy statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before April 5, 2013, with respect to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated herein by reference in response to this item.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

The information contained in The National Security Group's definitive proxy statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before April 5, 2013, with respect to certain relationships and related transactions, is incorporated herein by reference in response to this item.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information contained in The National Security Group's definitive proxy statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before April 5, 2013, with respect to principal accountant fees and services, is incorporated herein by reference in response to this item.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

1. Consolidated financial statements, notes thereto and related information of The National Security Group, Inc. (the "Company") are included in Item 8 of Part II of this report:
 - Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
 - Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2012 and 2011
 - Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) - Years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

- Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity - Years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011
 - Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011
 - Consolidated Notes to the Financial Statements
2. Additional financial statement schedules and report of independent registered accounting firm are furnished herewith pursuant to the requirements of Form 10-K:

The National Security Group, Inc.

| | |
|--------------|--|
| Schedule I | Summary of Investments Other Than Investments in Related Parties |
| Schedule II | Condensed Financial Information of the Registrant |
| Schedule III | Supplementary Insurance Information |
| Schedule IV | Reinsurance |
| Schedule V | Valuation and Qualifying Accounts |

3. Exhibits filed as part of this Form 10-K:

- 11. Computation of Earnings Per Share Filed Herewith, See Note 1 to Consolidated Financial Statements.
- 14. Code of Ethics, See Additional Information in Part I, Item 1 of This Report
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 31.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U. S. C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U. S. C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U. S. C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

- (b) During the last fiscal quarter of the period covered by this Report, the Company filed the following Current Reports on Form 8-K:

| Date of Report | Date Filed | Description |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---|
| October 5, 2012 | October 5, 2012 | Press release announcing preliminary Hurricane Isaac losses. |
| October 26, 2012 | October 31, 2012 | Press release announcing quarterly dividend. |
| November 14, 2012 | November 14, 2012 | Press release announcing financial results for the period ended September 30, 2012. |
| November 30, 2012 | November 30, 2012 | Press release announcing subsidiary A.M. Best ratings. |

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THE NATIONAL SECURITY GROUP, INC.

/s/ Brian R. McLeod

Brian R. McLeod
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

/s/ William L. Brunson, Jr.

William L. Brunson, Jr.
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

Date: March 21, 2013

POWER OF ATTORNEY

Know all by these present, that the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Brian R. McLeod, with full power of substitution and/or revocation, the undersigned's true and lawful attorney-in-fact: to execute for and on behalf of the undersigned, in the undersigned's capacity as a director of National Security Group, inc. (the "Company"), any and all forms (including, without limitation Form 10-K) required or desired to be executed by or on behalf of the Company pursuant to section 13 or 15(D) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, after said form has been approved by the Company's audit committee; to do and perform any and all acts for and on behalf of the undersigned which may be necessary or desirable to complete and execute any such Form and timely file such Form with the appropriate governmental authority (including, without limitation, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission) and any stock exchange or similar authority; and Take any other action of any type whatsoever in connection with the foregoing which, in the opinion of such attorney-in-fact, may be of benefit to, in the best interest of, or legally required by, the undersigned, it being understood that the documents executed by any such attorney-in-fact on behalf of the undersigned pursuant to this Power of Attorney shall be in such form and shall contain such terms and conditions as such attorney-in-fact may approve in such attorney-in-fact's discretion.

The undersigned hereby grants to each such attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary, or proper to be done in the exercise of any of the rights and powers herein granted, as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, with full power of substitution or revocation, hereby ratifying and confirming all that such attorney-in-fact, or such attorney-in-fact's substitute or substitutes, shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue of this Power of Attorney and the rights and powers herein granted. The undersigned acknowledges that the foregoing attorneys-in-fact, and each of them, in serving in such capacity at the request of the undersigned, are not assuming, nor is the Company assuming, any of the undersigned's responsibilities to comply with section 13 or 15(D) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in their capacity as a Director of The National Security Group, Inc. on March 21, 2013:

SIGNATURE

/s/ Winfield Baird

/s/ Fleming Brooks

/s/ Jack E. Brunson

/s/ William L. Brunson, Jr.

/s/ Fred D. Clark, Jr.

/s/ Frank B. O'Neil

/s/ Mickey L. Murdock

/s/ Donald Pittman

/s/ Paul C. Wesch

/s/ L. Brunson White

/s/ Walter P. Wilkerson

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302
OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, William L. Brunson, Jr., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of The National Security Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: March 21, 2013

/s/ William L. Brunson, Jr.

William L. Brunson, Jr.

President and Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302
OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Brian R. McLeod, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of The National Security Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: March 21, 2013

/s/ Brian R. McLeod

Brian R. McLeod

Chief Financial Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

Exhibit 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, the undersigned officer of the National Security Group, Inc. (the “Company”), hereby certifies, to such officer’s knowledge, that the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2012 (the “Report”) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15 (d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: March 21, 2013

/s/ William L. Brunson, Jr.

Name: William L. Brunson, Jr.
Title: Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Brian R. McLeod

Name: Brian R. McLeod, CPA
Title: Chief Financial Officer



Insuring your world.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Winfield Baird

Chairman of the Board
The National Security Group, Inc.
Chartered Financial Analyst
Retired Advisor, Baird Financial Management
Birmingham, Alabama

William L. Brunson, Jr.

President & Chief Executive Officer
The National Security Group, Inc.
Elba, Alabama

Jack E. Brunson

President
National Security Fire and
Casualty Company
Elba, Alabama

Mickey Murdock

Mayor, City of Elba
Retired Executive
National Security Insurance Company
Elba, Alabama

Walter P. Wilkerson, CPA

Partner
Brunson, Wilkerson, Bowden & Associates, P.C.
Enterprise, Alabama

Fred Clark, Jr.

President & Chief Executive Officer
Alabama Municipal Electric Authority
Montgomery, Alabama

Paul C. Wesch

Executive Vice President
& General Counsel
The Mitchell Company
Mobile, Alabama

L. Brunson White

Principal & Owner
Brunson White Advisors, LLC
Birmingham, Alabama

Donald S. Pittman

Attorney at Law
Enterprise, Alabama

Fleming Brooks

President
Brooks Peanut Company, Inc.
Samson, Alabama

Frank B. O'Neil

Senior Vice President
Corporate Communications
& Investor Relations
& Assistant Corporate Secretary
Pro Assurance
Birmingham, Alabama

Directors Emeritus

James B. Saxon

Director Emeritus
Retired Executive
Anderson Products
Square D Company
Birmingham, Alabama

Carolyn E. Brunson

Managing Partner
Brunson Properties
Elba, Alabama

CORPORATE INFORMATION

For Copy of Annual Report, Proxy or 10K, or For More Information Contact:

Brian McLeod
Chief Financial Officer
The National Security Group, Inc.
661 East Davis Street
Elba, Alabama 36323
334-897-2273

Annual Shareholders Meeting:

May 17, 2013
Executive Offices
Elba, Alabama

Auditors:

Warren Averett, LLC
2500 Acton Road
Birmingham, Alabama 35243

Life Company Actuaries:

Wakely Actuarial Services, Inc.
34125 US Highway 19 North
Suite 310
Palm Harbor, Florida 34684

The Common Stock of the Company trades on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol NSEC. Quotations are furnished by the National Association of Security Dealers Automated Quotations System (NASDAQ) and appear in the Wall Street Journal and other financial publications.

Trade Symbol: NSEC

Transfer Agent: Registrar and Transfer Company
10 Commerce Drive
Cranford, New Jersey 07016



The
National Security
Group

Insuring your world.

661 East Davis Street
Elba, Alabama 36323

www.nationalsecuritygroup.com